Region of Peel



Transportation Report Coleraine Drive Grade Separation EA

Project 16-4315

June 2017

B000738





Transportation Report

Coleraine Drive Grade Separation Schedule 'C' Class Environmental Assessment

Project nº 16-4315

Okeoy

Approved by :

Stephen Keen, P.Eng., M.Sc.

CIMA+

3027 Harvester Road Suite 400 Burlington (ON) L7N 3G7

June 2017

Table of contents

1.	Inti	roduction1
	1.1	Background1
	1.2	Purpose1
	1.3	Study Area1
2.	Exi	sting Conditions4
	2.1	Road Network 4
	2.2	Traffic Volumes
	2.3	Intersection Operations
	2.4	Collision History9
	2.5	Active Transportation Facilities11
	2.6	Access Management 11
	2.7	Train Operations
	2.8	Goods Movement
3.	Fie	Id Investigation Findings14
	3.1	Railway Crossing
	3.2	Intersection Geometry 14
	3.3	Signs and Pavement Markings 15
	3.4	Pavement Condition
	3.5	Roadside Safety
	3.6	Traffic Operations and Conflicts 18
	3.7	Active Transportation Facilities
	3.8	Access Management
4.	Pro	posed Grade Separation25
5.	Fut	ure Traffic Operations27
	5.1	Background Traffic Growth (Without Grade Separation)
	5.2	Traffic Redirection (With Grade Separation)
	5.3	Intersection Operations
6.	Tra	Insportation Improvements35

// i

	6.1	Interse	ection Operations	35
		6.1.1	Intersection Capacity Improvements Without Grade Separation	35
		6.1.2	Intersection Capacity Improvements Without Grade Separation	35
		6.1.3	Roundabout Option	38
	6.2	Traffic	Safety	39
		6.2.1	Safety Improvements Without Grade Separation	39
		6.2.2	Safety Improvements With Grade Separation	41
		6.2.3	Roundabout Option	41
	6.3	Active	Transportation	42
	6.4	Acces	s Management	44
7.	Со	nclus	ions	46

Appendices

Appendix A: Turning Movement Counts Appendix B: Synchro/SimTraffic/Arcady Outputs

іі

List of Tables

Table 1 – Turning Movement Counts5
Table 2 – LOS Criteria for Signalized and Unsignalized Intersections7
Table 3 – Existing Intersection Operations
Table 4 – Summary of Collision History 9
Table 5 – Coleraine Drive Trucks and Heavy Vehicles13
Table 6 – Departure Sight Distances at #13351 and #13371 Coleraine Drive
Table 7 – Rail/Road Crossing Exposure Index
Table 8 – 2017 Existing and 2041 Projected Link Volumes
Table 9 – Proportion of Traffic between Harvest Moon Drive and Old Ellwood Drive 29
Table 10 – 2041 Intersection Operations Without Grade Separation 31
Table 11 – 2041 Intersection Operations With Grade Separation
Table 12 – Storage Length Improvements without Grade Separation
Table 13 – 2041 Intersection Operations With Grade Separation + Intersection Improvements36
Table 14 – Storage Length Improvements with Grade Separation 38
Table 15 – 2041 Roundabout Operations at Coleraine Dr and King St/Harvest Moon Dr
Table 16 – Safety Improvements without Grade Separation
Table 17 – Safety Improvements with Grade Separation41
Table 18 – Transportation Improvements with and without Grade Separation

// iii

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Study Area	2
Figure 2 – Existing Lane Configuration	5
Figure 3 – Existing Intersection Volumes	6
Figure 4 – Collision Diagram	10
Figure 5 – Existing Active Transportation Facilities	11
Figure 6 – Railway Signal/Gate Strucutre Obstructing Sight Line	14
Figure 7 – Left-Turn Lane Offsets	15
Figure 8 – Railway Crossing Warning Sign Too Close to Tracks	15
Figure 9 – School Crossing Ahead Warning Signs on Coleraine Drive	16
Figure 10 - Bodies of Water at the Bottom of Non-recoverable Slopes	17
Figure 11 - Guide Rail Installed Behind Barrier Curb	17
Figure 12 – Potential Conflict at Coleraine Drive and Ellwood Drive West	19
Figure 13 – Potential Conflict at Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive	20
Figure 14 - Right-turning Vehicles Driving Over Shoulder at Old Ellwood Drive	20
Figure 15 - Damaged Sidewalk	21
Figure 16 – Asphalt 'Strips' South of Old Ellwood Drive	21
Figure 17 – Sidewalk Termination with No Facilities to Cross Railway Tracks	22
Figure 18 – Narrow Sidewalk Between Guide Rail and Retaining Wall	22
Figure 19 – Pedestrian Pushbutton Not Fully Accessible	23
Figure 20 – Accesses with Potential Departure Sight Distance Restrictions	23
Figure 21 – 2041 Intersection Volumes (Without Grade Separation)	28
Figure 22 – 2041 Intersection Volumes (With Grade Separation)	
Figure 23 – 2041 AM Southbound Queues During Train Crossing	32
Figure 24 – Roundabout Concept	
Figure 25 – Long-term Regional Cycling Network in Bolton	42
Figure 26 – Bolton Transportation Master Plan: Active Transportation Strategy	43
Figure 27 – OTM Book 18 Desired Cycling Facility Pre-Selection Nomograph	

iv

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

CIMA Canada Inc. (CIMA) was retained by the Region of Peel (the Region) to conduct a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) Study for the proposed grade separation of the rail tracks on Coleraine Drive, near Old Ellwood Drive. This project was initiated as a Schedule C project, following Phases 1 to 4 of the Class EA planning process.

1.2 Purpose

This Transportation and Traffic Study report reviews the existing and future conditions in the study area, in terms of traffic operations, traffic safety, active transportation facilities, and access management, both with and without the proposed grade separation.

The findings of this report will assist in the identification of problems and evaluation of opportunities for the environmental assessment. In addition, the report will assist in the development of alternative solutions. For this study, CIMA completed the following main tasks:

- + Data collection;
- + Traffic operational analysis;
- + Collision analysis;
- + Field investigation; and
- + Study findings and recommendations.

1.3 Study Area

The main study area for the proposed grade separation is illustrated in **Figure 1** and extends along Coleraine Drive, between King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive and Holland Drive. The study area has been extended for the purposes of the traffic operational analysis because of the expected redirection of trips resulting from the proposed grade separation (resulting from eliminating the intersections of Coleraine Drive with Ellwood Drive and Old Ellwood Drive). It includes the intersections of Station Road with King Street West and Old Ellwood Drive. The railway crossing which is subject of the proposed grade separation is located immediately south of the intersection of Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive.

3000738



Figure 1 – Study Area

Coleraine Drive plays a major role for vehicular traffic commuting from the northern section of Bolton through Highway 50 to Brampton and Mississauga. The land use along the study area of Coleraine Drive is mainly residential north of the railway, the majority without direct access to the road. South of the railway, the land use is predominantly industrial, with two signalized intersections at Manchester Court and Holland Drive, which provide access to large industrial developments, and several minor accesses (unsignalized).

The study area includes the following intersections:

- + Main study area:
 - Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive (4-leg, signalized);
 - Coleraine Drive and Ellwood Drive West (3-leg, stop control on Ellwood Drive West);
 - Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive (3-leg, signalized);
 - Coleraine Drive and Manchester Court (4-leg, signalized access);
 - Coleraine Drive and Holland Drive (4-leg, signalized with access on west leg);

- + Extended study area:
 - King Street West and Station Road (4-leg, signalized); and
 - Old Ellwood Drive and Station Road (3-leg, all-way stop control).

3

2. Existing Conditions

2.1 Road Network

The roads within the study area under Region of Peel's jurisdiction include Coleraine Drive and King Street West. The remaining roads are under Town of Caledon's jurisdiction. The following provides a summary of the roads within the study area, and the role they play in the Regional and Municipal road network.

Coleraine Drive is an arterial road aligned in the north-south direction with a 4-lane cross section plus a wide "buffer", which is occasionally used as a two-way left-turn lane to provide access to industrial developments, or as exclusive left-turn lanes at intersections. Land use along Coleraine Drive is mainly residential north of the railway; and predominantly industrial south of the railway. The posted speed limit on Coleraine Drive is 60 km/h for most of its section within the study area, and 70 km/h south of a point approximately 100 metres south of Manchester Court.

King Street West is an arterial road aligned in the east-west direction with a 2-lane urban cross section. Land use along King Street varies from commercial downtown use on the east end to residential and rural as it connects to Coleraine Drive. The posted speed limit on King Street is 60 km/h at Coleraine Drive and reduces to 50 km/h approximately 100 m west of Station Road.

Harvest Moon Drive is a residential collector road with a 2-lane urban cross section. Land use along Harvest Moon Drive is residential. The posted speed limit is 40 km/h.

Old Ellwood Drive is a collector road with a 2-lane rural cross section at its west end. There are no developments along Old Ellwood Drive within the study area, however it serves as a connection between residential development east of the study area and Coleraine Drive. Old Ellwood Drive runs parallel to the railway and has a posted speed limit of 40 km/h.

Ellwood Drive West is a local road with a 2-lane urban cross section. Land use along Old Ellwood Drive is residential. The posted speed limit is 40 km/h.

Manchester Court is a local road with a 2-lane urban cross section. Manchester Court provides access to the industrial lands west of Coleraine Drive and does not connect to any other roads except for Coleraine Drive. There is no posted speed limit (therefore it is assumed to be 50 km/h per Highway Traffic Act).

Holland Drive is a collector road with a 2-lane urban cross section. Land use along Holland Drive is commercial/industrial. There is no posted speed limit (therefore it is assumed to be 50 km/h per Highway Traffic Act).

Station Road is a collector road with a 2-lane urban cross section. Land use along Station Road is residential, however there is no direct access to properties along the road. Station Road extends between Old Ellwood Drive and King Street West, and provides access to the residential areas on the north and south sides of King Street West. North of King Street West the road is Deer Valley Drive. The posted speed limit is 40 km/h.

// 4

3000738

Figure 2 illustrates the existing lane configuration at the intersections in the study area for which traffic operational analysis was conducted.¹





2.2 Traffic Volumes

CIMA obtained the following Turning Movement Counts (TMC) from Pyramid Traffic Inc. and Trans-Plan Inc. to be used as input for the operational analysis. The TMCs provided are listed in **Table 1**. The TMCs, which are provided in Appendix A, indicate that the AM Peak Hour occurs between 7:15 and 8:15, and the PM Peak Hour occurs between 16:45 and 17:45.

Table 1 – Turning Movement Counts

Intersection	Date	Source
Coleraine Drive at Ellwood Drive West	Feb. 02 <i>,</i> 2017	Pyramid Traffic Inc.
King Street West at Deer Valley Drive/Station Road	Feb. 02 <i>,</i> 2017	Pyramid Traffic Inc.
Coleraine Drive at Old Ellwood Drive	Feb. 02, 2017	Pyramid Traffic Inc.
Station Road at Old Ellwood Drive	Feb. 02, 2017	Pyramid Traffic Inc.
King Street/Harvest Moon Drive at Coleraine Drive	Feb. 10, 2016	Trans-Plan Inc.

¹ The intersections of Coleraine Drive with Manchester Court and Holland Drive are not expected to be operationally affected. Any traffic redirection resulting from the proposed grade separation is expected to occur north of the railway.

The differences in trips between upstream and downstream intersections along Coleraine Drive were reviewed to determine the need to balance volumes between each pair of intersections, since the counts at the King Street and Coleraine Drive intersection were conducted on a different date than the remaining intersections. Station Road intersects with local streets leading to residential areas (Plummer Road and Wakely Boulevard/Rolling Hills Lane), which were recognized as potential origins and destinations that can contribute to volume differences between the intersections at King Street West and at Old Ellwood Drive. Volume differences along Station Road were under 74 vehicles and were considered reasonable based on the presence of these local streets. Minor volume balancing was done by adjusting through volumes along Coleraine Drive and King Street West. The balanced turning movements for the network are shown in **Figure 3**.





2.3 Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis was undertaken using procedures described in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). The analysis primarily focuses on performance measures such as level-of-service (LOS), volume to capacity (v/c) ratio, and 95th percentile queues.

B000738

LOS is a qualitative measure of operational performance and is based on control delay. The LOS criteria for signalized and unsignalized intersections are shown in **Table 2**.

	Control Delay (seco	onds/vehicle)	- Traffic Flow Characteristics	
LOS	Signalized Intersections	Unsignalized Intersections		
А	0 - 10	0 - 10	Very Good	
В	> 10 - 20	>10 - 15	Good	
С	> 20 – 35	>15 – 25	Typically preferred planning objective	
D	> 35 – 55	>25 – 35	Typically acceptable	
E	> 55 – 80	>35 – 50	Undesirable; potentially unstable traffic flow	
F	> 80	>50	Failing movements may impede traffic flow	

 Table 2 – LOS Criteria for Signalized and Unsignalized Intersections

The v/c ratio is the ratio between traffic volumes and the capacity of an intersection movement. A v/c ratio greater than 1.0 indicates that the movement is operating over capacity.

The 95th Percentile Queue is the queue length that has only a 5 percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis period. It is industry practice and accepted methodology to use the 95th percentile queue length for design and operational analysis purposes.

Additionally, the review of intersection operations followed the Region of Peel's Traffic Impact Studies Terms of Reference,² which indicates that the analysis must identify intersections where:

- + v/c ratios for overall intersection operations, through movements or shared through/turning movements are 0.90 or above;
- + v/c ratios for exclusive movements are above 1.00; and
- + 95th percentile queue lengths for individual movements exceed available lane storage.

Existing intersection operations are summarized in **Table 3**. The results indicate that all intersections and individual movements are operating well under capacity (v/c ratio not higher than 0.72) and with acceptable level of service (LOS C or better). Movements where 95th percentile queues exceed available storage include the eastbound right-turn at Harvest Moon Drive and Coleraine Drive, and the southbound left-turn at Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive.

Detailed Synchro/SimTraffic outputs are provided in Appendix B for further reference.

² <u>https://www.peelregion.ca/pw/transportation/business/impact-study.htm</u>

Divertion	Mov.	. Storage Length	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour				
Direction			v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue
Coleraine Drive and King St/Harvest Moon Drive (Signalized)										
50	L/T	-	0.22	25.9	С	30	0.29	31.4	С	34
EB	R	20	0.24	26.4	С	46	0.13	29.1	С	19
	L	57	0.41	19.1	В	44	0.15	23.3	С	20
WB	т	-	0.07	16.7	В	10	0.17	24.3	С	24
	R	60	0.14	17.5	В	N/R	0.14	24.0	С	N/R
NB	L	65+	0.14	22.5	С	23	0.58	18.0	В	64
	т	-	0.14	25.5	С	31	0.46	25.6	С	74
	R	35	0.05	24.6	С	17	0.18	22.5	С	24
CP	L	70	0.33	21.7	С	37	0.49	21.9	С	39
30	T/R	0	0.34	26.7	С	45	0.17	27.5	С	29
Over	all	-	0.40	23.4	С	-	0.47	24.2	С	-
		Coler	aine Driv	e and Ol	d Ellwo	ood Drive (Signalize	ed)		
WB	L/R	-	0.35	28.0	С	27	0.72	31.1	С	33
NB	T/R	-	0.11	4.1	А	30	0.58	10.4	В	108
CD.	L	20	0.18	3.9	Α	40	0.48	14.0	В	25
SB	Т	-	0.43	4.8	А	100	0.13	5.8	А	25
Over	all	-	0.44	66.8	Α	-	0.62	13.7	В	-
		Colerai	ne Drive	and Ellw	ood Dr	ive West (Unsignal	ized)		
WB	L/R	-	0.22	12.8	В	9	0.10	13.8	В	15
NB	T/R	-	0.09	0	А	-	0.45	0	А	-
	L	60	0.01	8.2	А	9	0.04	10.6	В	11
SB	т	-	0.31	0	А	78	0.10	0	А	-
Over	all	-	0.37	1.2	Α	-	0.41	0.6	Α	-
		Sta	tion Roa	d and Kir	ng Stre	et West (Si	gnalized)		
	L	23	0.01	3.4	Α	2	0.05	2.7	А	10
EB	T/R	-	0.29	4.8	А	27	0.31	3.8	А	38
	L	75	0.03	3.5	Α	6	0.07	2.8	А	12
WB	T/R	-	0.37	5.3	Α	34	0.27	3.6	А	30
•	L	28	0.07	28.4	С	5	0.17	34.1	С	9
NB	T/R	-	0.08	28.4	С	13	0.16	33.9	С	16
	L	30	0.16	29.0	С	11	0.14	33.9	С	9
SB	T/R	-	0.22	29.3	С	16	0.10	33.5	С	11
Over	all	-	0.34	10.8	В	-	0.30	7.5	Α	-

Table 3 – Existing Intersection Operations

CIMA+ // Partners in excellence

8

Direction	Mov.	Storage	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
Direction		Length	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue
Old Ellwood Drive at Station Road										
EB	L/T	-	0.22	8.6	А	19	0.27	9.3	А	18
WB	T/R	-	0.14	8.0	А	15	0.47	11.0	В	25
SB	L/R	-	0.16	8.5	А	15	0.12	9.1	А	15
Overall		-	0.20	8.4	Α	-	0.30	10.2	В	-

<u>Notes</u>:

The northbound left-turn lane on Coleraine and King continues as a two-way left-turn lane NR: Not reported (channelized right-turn)

2.4 Collision History

Historical collision data were provided by the Region for the intersections and road segments along Coleraine Drive within the study area, and were reviewed to gain an understanding of any collision patterns and potential contributing factors. A total of 19 collisions were reported in the main study area between 2012 and 2016.³ **Table 4** summarizes collisions by location and severity, and **Figure 4** illustrates each individual collision. No major collision patterns were identified.

Intersection	Severity	Impact Type	Notes	
Coleraine Drive and King Street/Harvest Moon Drive	3 P.D.O. 2 Injury	2 Sideswipe 1 Turning Movement 1 Rear end 1 Single Motor Vehicle	4 out of the 5 collisions involved a southbound vehicle	
Coleraine Drive and Ellwood Drive W	1 P.D.O. 1 Injury	1 Rear end 1 Sideswipe	No patterns identified	
Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive / CPR Crossing	5 P.D.O. 3 Injury	4 Rear end 2 Angle 1 Single Motor Vehicle 1 Sideswipe	1 angle collision involving a train (automobile driver disobeyed traffic control) No patterns identified	
Coleraine Drive and Manchester Court	1 P.D.O.	1 Single Motor Vehicle	No pattorps identified	
Coleraine Drive and Holland Drive	No co	ollisions reported	No patterns identified	
Segment	Severity	Impact Type	Impact Type	
King Street/Harvest Moon Drive to Ellwood Drive W	1 P.D.O.	1 Rear end		
Ellwood Drive W to Old Ellwood Drive	1 P.D.O.	1 Sideswipe	None identified	
Old Ellwood Drive to Manchester Court	No co	ollisions reported		
Manchester Court to Holland Drive	1 Injury	1 Angle		

Table 4 – Summary of Collision History

³ The Region provided collision data between 2010 and 2016. The typical study period used in collision analyses is 3 to 5 years, since longer periods may not fully represent current traffic conditions. In fact, the intersection of Coleraine Drive & King Street West was recently reconstructed and relocated. For this reason, the data was filtered to include only the 5-year period between 2012 and 2016.



Figure 4 – Collision Diagram

Region of Peel Coleraine Drive Grade Separation EA Transportation Report | June 2017

2.5 Active Transportation Facilities

Currently, no bicycle facilities are provided along Coleraine Drive within the study area. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of the road, extending between King Street/Harvest Moon Drive and Old Ellwood Drive. The sidewalk does not continue south of Old Ellwood Drive (and of the railway tracks), although raised asphalt 'splash strips' adjacent to the curb extend towards the south end of the study area which could potentially be used by pedestrians (further details are provided in Section 3.7). Opportunities to cross Coleraine Drive are provided at each signalized intersection (**Figure 5**), however there are no appropriate pedestrian crossing facilities to cross the railway from the north side to the south side. A pedestrian access is provided between the west side of Coleraine Drive and Grapevine Road (opposite to Ellwood Drive West).





2.6 Access Management

The amount and nature of accesses on Coleraine Drive differs considerably between the north side and the south side of the railway tracks. On the north side, there are only three residential driveways on the west side of the road, all of which will potentially need to be closed when the grade separation is implemented. On the south side of the railway tracks, there are approximately 8 industrial driveways on both sides of the road. It is expected that 3 of these accesses will need to be closed and relocated.

11

There are two major accesses (signalized intersections) south of the rail crossing, at Manchester Court and at Holland Drive, providing access to large industrial developments. A TMC conducted in 2016 shows 70 vehicles entering and 21 vehicles exiting the Manchester Court on the west side of Coleraine Drive in the AM peak hour, and 13 vehicles entering and 62 vehicles exiting in the PM peak hour. A TMC conducted in 2015 shows no volumes entering or exiting Holland Drive, however this access appears to have been recently built (based on Google Street View imagery). A high-level trip generation estimate results in approximately 106 inbound and 48 outbound vehicles in the AM peak hour, and 52 inbound and 116 outbound vehicles in the PM peak hour.⁴

Section 3.8 provides further discussion relating to access management, including sight distance assessments for driveways not expected to be closed/relocated.

2.7 Train Operations

According to the Transport Canada Grade Crossings Inventory,⁵ the Coleraine Drive at-grade rail crossing serves 18 freight trains per day and no passenger trains. This means that the grade crossing signals and gates are activated, on average, once every 1 hour and 20 minutes. Although trains do not have a fixed schedule, it is reasonable to assume that, on most occasions, no more than one or two trains use the crossing during peak hours, meaning that their impacts on traffic operations are not expected to be sustained over long periods. This was confirmed during the field investigation, when only one train was observed during the AM peak hour, and another one during the PM peak hour.

The maximum train speed indicated in the Transport Canada Inventory is 55 mph (88 km/h). For the purposes of the traffic operational analysis, a train speed of 50 km/h and a train length of 2.5 km were assumed at the crossing in order to estimate signal timing parameters.

In the future, there are plans by Metrolinx to extend electrified GO Train service to a new Bolton station, to be located to the north of King Street. This will increase train frequency considerably.

2.8 Goods Movement

Coleraine Drive is part of an important Goods Movement corridor, providing a by-pass route to Highway 50, preventing heavy truck traffic from entering Downtown Bolton. Coleraine Drive also provides access to several industrial destination, including a Canadian Tire Distribution Centre. Based on recent intersection counts, truck and heavy vehicle traffic ranges between 10 and 15% of volumes, as summarized in **Table 5**.

3000738

⁴ Based on ITE Trip Generation Manual (9th Edition) average rates for Land Use Code 152 (High-Cube Warehouse Distribution Centre) and considering the approximate area of 1,400,000 ft² of the Canadian Tire distribution centre located at #13226 Coleraine Drive.

⁵ http://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/d0f54727-6c0b-4e5a-aa04-ea1463cf9f4c

ТМС	Date	Source	Count Period	Truck Volume	Truck %
King Street/Harvest Moon	Feb. 10,	Trans-Plan	9.5 hours	NB: 332	NB: 14%
Drive at Coleraine Drive	2016	Inc.		SB: 305	SB: 13%
Coleraine Drive at Ellwood	Feb. 02,	Pyramid	9.5 hours	NB: 355	NB: 11%
Drive West	2017	Traffic Inc.		SB: 330	SB: 10%
Coleraine Drive at Holland	May 13,	Trans-Plan	6 hours	NB: 333	NB: 15%
Drive	2015	Inc.		SB: 385	SB: 13%

Table 5 – Coleraine Drive Trucks and Heavy Vehicles

If the percentages in Table 5 are extrapolated to Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT), the estimated truck and heavy vehicle volume ranges between 1,304 and 1,956 (both directions combined).⁶

It should be noted that this report does not include a detailed review of goods movement. This subject will be discussed in further detail in the Transportation Demand Management White Paper.

⁶ Based on the data available in the Region of Peel Data Centre website, the AADT on Coleraine Drive is 13,038 for the year 2015. Station 15008000 COLERAINE DRIVE 0.15 KM SOUTH OF HARVEST MOON. Data retrieved from http://opendata.peelregion.ca/data-categories/transportation/traffic-count-stations.aspx.

3. Field Investigation Findings

CIMA+ undertook a field investigation on Thursday, March 9, 2017 to identify any potential safety and operational issues in the study area. During the field investigation, the study team observed the conformance, consistency and conditions of site geometrics, traffic control devices, physical characteristics and roadside safety and road user interface with the study area. The following sections summarize our field investigation findings.

3.1 Railway Crossing

The railway crossing gate and signal structure was observed to partially obstruct visibility for westbound drivers on Old Ellwood Drive (looking south), as illustrated in **Figure 6**. Although its intersection with Coleraine Drive is signalized, right-turn on red is permitted, and this could lead to drivers entering the major road with insufficient gaps, forcing northbound drivers to brake or change lanes, which could contribute to rear end or sideswipe collisions.



Figure 6 – Railway Signal/Gate Strucutre Obstructing Sight Line

Additionally, the surface at the railway crossing was noticed to be uneven, which could contribute to loss of control if drivers cross at higher speeds.

3.2 Intersection Geometry

The left-turn lanes at the intersection of Coleraine Drive with King Street/Harvest Moon Drive present negative offsets, which can create visibility restrictions to drivers attempting to turn left during the permissive phase when drivers in the opposite direction are attempting to do the same (**Figure 7**). Introducing positive offsets can improve visibility and reduce turning movement collisions by 38% (although these collisions were not found to be a concern in the study area, the grade separation project provides an opportunity to improve the design of the intersection).



B00073E



Figure 7 – Left-Turn Lane Offsets

3.3 Signs and Pavement Markings

The RAILWAY CROSSING AHEAD (Wc-4) signs are installed 31 and 60 metres in advance of the stop bars in the northbound and southbound directions, respectively (**Figure 8**). According to OTM Book 6, Wc-4 signs are classified as "Condition B – Potential/Actual Stop Condition". As such, the minimum advance placement should be 225 metres (considering posted speed of 60 km/h and stopping condition).



Figure 8 – Railway Crossing Warning Sign Too Close to Tracks

Coleraine Drive, in the northbound and southbound directions, presents SCHOOL CROSSING AHEAD (Wc-2A) warning signs on approach to King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive. OTM Book 6 states that "signed school crossings must not be located at pedestrian crossovers, at intersections with traffic signals, or at intersections with pedestrian signals".

15



Figure 9 – School Crossing Ahead Warning Signs on Coleraine Drive

The speed limit along King Street West changes from 60 km/h to 50 km/h approximately 350 metres east of Coleraine Drive. The speed limit on Harvest Moon Drive is 40 km/h. The *Canadian Guidelines for Establishing Posted Speed Limits*⁷ recommends that speed zone lengths of less than 500 metres should be avoided.

Pavement markings were observed to be in good condition through most of the study area during the field investigation. Only at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and Holland Drive some pavement markings were relatively faded.

3.4 Pavement Condition

Pavement was observed to be in good condition north of the railway tracks, and in fair condition south thereof. Pavement condition outside of the at-grade railway crossing area did not raise any concerns.

3.5 Roadside Safety

Two bodies of water were identified within the study area, located at the bottom of non-recoverable slopes. One is located at the southwest quadrant of Coleraine Drive and Harvest Moon Drive, and the other is located at the southwest quadrant of the intersection of King Street West and Station Road, as illustrated in **Figure 10**. While they are currently located outside of the clear zone based on current posted speed limits (and assumed design speeds of 20 km/h over posted speed) and the presence of barrier curb, potential widening to accommodate increased traffic may place them within the clear zone in the future. If this occurs, the design speeds (which influence the clear zone requirements) and, if necessary, include measures to create a recoverable area or shield any potential roadside hazards.

⁷ Transportation Association of Canada, 2009.



Figure 10 - Bodies of Water at the Bottom of Non-recoverable Slopes

The guide rail on the east side of Coleraine Drive, immediately south of King Street West, is installed approximately 1.1 metres behind the barrier curb (Figure 11). NCHRP Report 537 - *Recommended Guidelines for Curb and Curb-Barrier Installations* recommends that, depending on the operating speed, guide rails be installed at certain minimum offsets behind the barrier curb, as follows:

- + 60 to 70 km/h Offset of 0 m or not closer than 2.5 m;
- + 71 to 85 km/h Offset of 0 m or not closer than 4.0 m; and
- + Greater than 85 km/h Guide rails should not be located behind a curb.

Figure 11 - Guide Rail Installed Behind Barrier Curb



According to the recommended guidelines, the vehicle bumper may rise above the critical height of the guide rail for many road departure angles, making vaulting the barrier likely. The recommended offsets allow the vehicle suspension to return to its pre-departure state.

Although speed studies were not provided, it is not unreasonable to expect speeds over the posted speed limit of 60 km/h (assuming that the current design speed of the road is higher than the speed

// 17

limit). If that is the case, the guide rail may pose a vaulting hazard. It should be noted that this guide rail is used to shield an embankment approximately 10 metres high, therefore, should a vehicle vault the guide rail, it could fall into the embankment, which could cause severe injuries to vehicle occupants.

The energy attenuator at the approach end of the guide rail is also installed behind the barrier curb. The approach to an energy attenuator should be as smooth as possible so that errant vehicles strike it at the appropriate height/angle for which the end treatment is designed.

3.6 Traffic Operations and Conflicts

Signalized intersections in the study area were observed to operate well during both the AM and PM peak hours. No excessive queues were noted, and all movements at all intersections would clear within 1 signal cycle. The only source of longer queues was the railway crossing. When the gates closed (1 occasion observed during the AM peak hour and 1 during the PM peak hour), northbound and southbound queues on Coleraine Drive extended beyond the typical length, however upstream intersections were not affected. Once the gates opened, all queued vehicles were able to clear the crossing within a reasonable time.

Some conflicts were observed in the study area which could represent safety concerns. During the AM peak hour, one vehicle was observed turning left from Ellwood Drive West onto Coleraine Drive southbound and positioning on the hatched area to wait for an opportunity to merge onto southbound traffic. Other vehicles were observed driving over the same hatched area to align with the southbound left-turn lane at Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive, as illustrated in **Figure 12**. If these two manoeuvres occur simultaneously, they may contribute to angle, sideswipe, or rear end collisions.

// 18

3000738



Figure 12 – Potential Conflict at Coleraine Drive and Ellwood Drive West

During the PM peak hour, when the railway gate was closed and drivers had to wait for a long time, some drivers were observed turning right from Old Ellwood Drive onto Coleraine Drive northbound, and then making a U-turn (presumably expecting that Coleraine southbound would receive the green indication before Old Ellwood westbound left-turn), as illustrated in **Figure 13**.

19



Figure 13 – Potential Conflict at Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive

On Old Ellwood Drive, when the railway gate was closed during the PM peak hour, westbound rightturning vehicles were observed driving over the unpaved shoulder to go around a left-turning vehicle that was waiting at the stop bar (**Figure 14**). This appears to result in deterioration of the shoulder, creating pavement edge drop-offs.



Figure 14 - Right-turning Vehicles Driving Over Shoulder at Old Ellwood Drive

3.7 Active Transportation Facilities

As described in Section 2.5, sidewalks are provided on both side of the road, extending between King Street/Harvest Moon Drive and Old Ellwood Drive. The sidewalks are 1.5 metres wide, which conforms

20

to AODA requirements,⁸ and were generally in good condition, only one location presenting minor damage at a manhole cover opposite to Ellwood Drive West (**Figure 15**).



Figure 15 - Damaged Sidewalk

The sidewalks do not continue south of Old Ellwood Drive (and of the railway tracks), although raised asphalt 'splash strips' adjacent to the curb (**Figure 16**) extend towards the south end of the study area.

Figure 16 – Asphalt 'Strips' South of Old Ellwood Drive

These paved strips are approximately 1.0 metre wide and could encourage pedestrians to use them as sidewalks, particularly considering that the intersections of Coleraine Drive with Manchester Court and Holland Drive have marked crosswalks and pedestrian signal heads. Additionally, there are no facilities of devices allowing pedestrians to cross the railway tracks (**Figure 17**), therefore occasional pedestrians are likely to either walk on the roadway or on the rails.

⁸ O.Reg. 191/11 – Integrated Accessibility Standards, Part IV.1 80.23



Figure 17 – Sidewalk Termination with No Facilities to Cross Railway Tracks

The east sidewalk near King Street West is located behind a steel beam guide rail and in front of a retaining wall (**Figure 18**). This narrows the sidewalk's effective width to approximately 1.3 metres which is less than the AODA requirement. This confined space could pose severe inconvenience if, for example, two wheelchair or mobility scooter users meet in opposite directions.



Figure 18 – Narrow Sidewalk Between Guide Rail and Retaining Wall

The pedestrian pushbuttons at the intersection of Coleraine Drive with King Street West/Harvest Moon are not fully accessible to pedestrians. Ontario Regulation 413/12 – Integrated Accessibility Standards (Section 80.28) mandates that, by January 2015, all new or replaced pedestrian signals should meet a series of accessibility requirements currently not present at the intersection. For example, there is no locator tone or audible and vibro-tactile walk indicators. The same occurs at King Street West and Station Road, and Coleraine Drive and Old Ellwood Drive. **Figure 19** illustrates the existing pushbuttons. Although there is no legal requirement to immediately replace the push buttons, the grade separation project provides an opportunity to upgrade them and make them fully accessible.

22



Figure 19 – Pedestrian Pushbutton Not Fully Accessible

As noted in Section 2.5, there are no bicycle facilities along Coleraine Drive; no cyclists were observed during the site visit.

3.8 Access Management

During the field investigation, sight distances at accesses along Coleraine Drive were reviewed. Because Coleraine Drive has a predominantly straight and flat alignment, most accesses do not present any sight distance concerns. The exceptions are the departure sight distances for the right-turn movement at # 13351 and #13371 Coleraine Drive (**Figure 20**).



Figure 20 – Accesses with Potential Departure Sight Distance Restrictions

There is a small grade south of Holland Drive which may contribute to restricting visibility for the aforementioned movement. The available departure sight distances were measured and compared to TAC requirements. The measurements were made considering a driver eye height of 1.05 m for a

23

B000738

vehicle turning right onto Coleraine Drive northbound from the two driveways, and a 1.30 m height representing the top of a passenger car approaching in the northbound direction. The results are summarized in **Table 6**. The table provides a comparison considering design speeds of 80 and 90 km/h, 10 and 20 km/h over the posted speed limit at this section of Coleraine Drive, respectively.

Measurement	Design Speed	TAC Requirement ⁹	Location	Available	Requirement Met
	80 km/b	250	#13351	300 m	Yes
Dight turn looking loft	80 KIII/II	250	#13371	240 – 250 m	Borderline
Right-turn, looking left	00 line //r	210	#13351	300 m	No
	90 km/n	310	#13371	240 – 250 m	No

Table 6 – Departure Sight Distances at #13351 and #13371 Coleraine Drive

The required turning sight distance is not met at both driveways for a design speed of 90 km/h. For a design speed of 80 km/h, the requirement is met at #13351, and is borderline met at #13371.

No conflicts were observed at any of the driveways during the field investigation. The two major accesses in the study area (at the intersections of Manchester Court and Holland Drive), which could have the potential for conflicts (depending on volumes), are signalized and present exclusive left-turn lanes to enter their respective sites (or, in the case of the northbound approach at Holland Drive, a hatched area wide enough next to the centre median to accommodate a left-turn lane, if required).

⁹ Based on Figure 2.3.3.4 – Sight Distance for Turning Movements from Stop; Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads.

4. Proposed Grade Separation

The need for grade separation on Coleraine Drive is justified by the Rail/Road Crossing Exposure Index (EI), which is the product of daily bi-directional road traffic and train volumes. Typically, grade separation is considered for grade separation where the EI value results 200,000 or higher.

As mentioned in Section 2.7, the Coleraine Drive at-grade rail crossing currently serves 18 freight trains per day and no passenger trains. Information provided by Town of Caledon's technical staff during the completion of the Bolton Commuter Rail Feasibility Report indicates that the projected rail volumes for the 2021 and 2031 horizon years will be 52 and 63, respectively. However, Canadian Pacific Railway (CP) indicated that, although growth in railway traffic may occur as a result of increasing population and economic activity, forecasts of CP traffic levels are not available.

With respect to projected commuter rail service between the communities of Bolton and the City of Toronto, the Bolton Commuter Rail Feasibility Report estimates 6 to 10 peak period commuter trains servicing the corridor by the 2021 horizon year.

Estimated Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) for the same years are 15,848 and 20,530, respectively.¹⁰ **Table 7** summarizes the Exposure Indices for the 2015, 2021, and 2031 horizon years. The results indicate that grade separation is justified since 2015.

It should be noted that the table only includes existing train volumes and commuter trains. If volume projections from the Bolton Commuter Rail Feasibility Report are considered, the EI is expected to reach 1,293,390 by 2031.

Bi-directional Daily Crossings	2015	2021	2031
Vehicular	13,038	15,848	20,530
Train	18	30	30
Exposure Index	234,684	475,440	615,900

Table 7 – Rail/Road	Crossing	Exposure	Index
---------------------	----------	----------	-------

There are two options under consideration to undertake the proposed railway grade separation on Coleraine Drive: Road Over Rail and Rail Over Road. Both options are relatively similar in terms of traffic and transportation implications. For the purposes of the traffic assessment, the following changes in the road network have been assumed:

- + Elimination of the intersections of Coleraine Drive with Ellwood Drive West and Old Ellwood Drive;
- + Elimination of three private accesses on the west side of Coleraine Drive, between King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive and Old Ellwood Drive;

¹⁰ Based on the data available in the Region of Peel Data Centre website, the AADT on Coleraine Drive is 13,038 for the year 2015. This AADT was compared to the AM peak hour volumes from recent traffic counts and with the 2041 EMME outputs provided by the Region, resulting in an estimated AADT of 25,213 in 2041. 2021 and 2031 volumes were estimated assuming linear growth between 2015 and 2041. Station 15008000 COLERAINE DRIVE 0.15 KM SOUTH OF HARVEST MOON. Pata retrieved from http://opendata.peelregion.ca/data-categories/transportation/traffic-count-stations.aspx.

- + Relocation of the signalized access at Coleraine Drive and Manchester Court approximately 140 metres southerly (opposite to #13351 Coleraine Drive); and
- Relocation of two accesses on the east side of Coleraine Drive (opposite to Manchester Court and 60 metres south thereof), connecting them internally to the existing access at #13351 Coleraine Drive (which will be opposite to the relocated Manchester Court).

The following sections discuss the impacts of these modifications (particularly relating to traffic operations resulting from the closure of the two intersections, which will result in increased traffic volumes at the intersections of Coleraine Drive and King Street West and King Street West and Station Road) as well as recommended improvements to mitigate impacts, provide active transportation facilities, and address safety concerns.

26

5. Future Traffic Operations

This section discusses the impacts of the proposed railway grade separation on traffic operations in the study area. Sections 5.1 to 5.3 include future traffic volume projections, traffic redirection resulting from the elimination of the intersections of Coleraine Drive with Old Ellwood Drive and Ellwood Drive West, and summarize the resulting intersection operational performance of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive, King Street West and Station Road/Deer Valley Drive, and Old Ellwood Drive and Station Road. Intersection improvements are discussed in Section 6.1.

5.1 Background Traffic Growth (Without Grade Separation)

Future intersection volumes resulting from background traffic growth (i.e. resulting from future developments projected by the Region's Planning Department) area were estimated based on EMME model outputs provided the Region for the 2041 horizon year, AM Peak Hour. The volumes for the PM Peak Hour were estimated by applying the same proportion between the 2017 AM and PM link volumes to the 2041 AM volumes from EMME. **Table 8** summarizes the link volumes for 2017 and 2041, for each direction of travel and peak hour, along Coleraine Drive and King Street West.

Deed Cestion	Direction	2017 Volumes (vph)		2041 Volumes (vph)	
Road Section		AM ¹¹	PM	AM ¹²	PM13
Coloraine Drive couth of King Street W	NB	249	1,070	349	1,500
Coleranie Drive south of King Street W	SB	933	336	1,824	657
King Chront W. between Coloraine Drive and Station Dead	EB	294	433	486	716
King street w between coleraine Drive and station Road	WB	422	338	982	787

 Table 8 – 2017 Existing and 2041 Projected Link Volumes

The 2041 link volumes above were then used to estimate turning movement volumes at each intersection in the study area. This was done by applying proportions between individual turning movements similar to existing volumes, as well as the following assumptions:

- + Volumes entering or exiting Harvest Moon Drive, Station Road/Deer Valley Drive, and Ellwood Drive West were assumed to present no growth by 2041, since no further development is expected in the residential areas to which these roads provide access; and
- + Consequently, all background traffic growth originates from the north/south directions on Coleraine Drive, and from the east/west directions on King Street West.

As an example, the northbound volumes at Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive were estimated, for the PM Peak Hour, as follows:

+ The northbound left-turn (NBL) movement remained unchanged from the existing volume (363), since this movement enters the residential area via Harvest Moon Drive;

¹¹ From Turning Movement Counts.

¹² From EMME model outputs provided by the Region.

¹³ Estimated.

- The combined northbound through (NBT) and northbound right-turn (NBR) volumes are the difference between the northbound link volume from Table 8 and the NBL volume (1,500 363 = 1,137);
- Based on 2017 volumes, the NBR volume makes up 23.2% of NBR+NBT (164+543). Therefore, NBR = 0.232 x 1,137 = 264, and NBT = 1,137 264 = 873;
- + The procedure is then repeated for the remaining directions and the calculated volumes are carried over to the remaining intersections until all future volumes have been estimated.

The resulting background traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 21.





5.2 Traffic Redirection (With Grade Separation)

With the elimination of the intersections of Coleraine Drive with Ellwood Drive West and Old Ellwood Drive, the drivers using these intersections need to find alternatives to complete their trips. Most of these traffic volumes are expected to migrate to the intersections of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive and King Street West and Station Road/Deer Valley Drive.

The procedure to reassign the traffic volumes resulting from the elimination of these two intersections included two steps. The first corresponds to the redirection of traffic associated with trips between Harvest Moon Drive and Old Ellwood Drive. The reason for this is that, upon reviewing existing traffic volumes (refer to Section 2.2, Figure 3), the eastbound right-turn from Harvest Moon Drive and the southbound left-turn onto Old Ellwood Drive were found to be relatively high during the AM Peak Hour (364 and 121, respectively); the same occurs in the PM peak hour, however in the opposite direction (i.e. westbound right-turn from Old Ellwood Drive and northbound left-turn onto Harvest Moon Drive: 224 and 363, respectively). It was assumed that residents from the neighbourhood located west of Coleraine Drive and Harvest Moon Drive use Old Ellwood Drive as alternative route between this neighbourhood and Highway 50, bypassing Downtown Bolton.

For this reason, there was a need to determine the volumes associated with these movements, since they should be reassigned to different movements at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive, compared to general traffic. For example, the portion of the 224 vehicles turning right from Old Ellwood Drive, and then left onto Harvest Moon Drive in the PM Peak Hour should be reassigned to the westbound through movement at King Street/Harvest Moon and Coleraine Drive, and the remaining portion should be reassigned to the westbound right-turn movement.

In order to determine these proportions, Bluetooth detectors were deployed to identify vehicles travelling between Harvest Moon Drive and Old Ellwood Drive. However, the detection rate of the devices resulted very low and the Bluetooth data was inconclusive. As such, CIMA conducted a manual count during the field investigation on Thursday, March 9, 2017. The results are summarized in **Table 9** and were used to estimate the amount of traffic redirected to the eastbound and westbound through movements at King Street/Harvest Moon Drive and Coleraine Drive.

Movement	AM Peak	PM Peak	%	
Total right turns Harvest Moon \rightarrow Coleraine	raine287-11%raine \rightarrow Old Ellwood32-11%		110/	
Harvest Moon $ ightarrow$ Coleraine $ ightarrow$ Old Ellwood			1170	
Total right turns Old Ellwood → Coleraine		210	52%	
Old Ellwood \rightarrow Coleraine \rightarrow Harvest Moon	-	110		

Table 9 – Proportion of Traffic betv	ween Harvest Moon Drive and Old Ellwood Drive
--------------------------------------	---

The second step consisted in reassigning the remaining volumes (i.e. after the first step was completed) to the appropriate movements. In order to complete this, the following assumptions were used:

29

3000738

- + All traffic turning north onto Coleraine Drive from Ellwood Drive West and from Old Ellwood Drive were reassigned to the northbound left-turn at Station Road and King Street west, then to the westbound right-turn at King Street West and Coleraine Drive;
- + 50% of traffic turning south onto Coleraine Drive from Ellwood Drive West and from Old Ellwood Drive were reassigned to the northbound left-turn at Station Road and King Street west, then to the westbound left-turn at King Street West and Coleraine Drive; and
- The remaining 50% of traffic turning south onto Coleraine Drive from Ellwood Drive West and from Old Ellwood Drive were assumed to take alternative routes towards Highway 50 (i.e. proceeding eastbound on Old Ellwood Drive).

The resulting traffic volumes, which were used in the review of intersection operations, are illustrated in **Figure 22**.



Figure 22 – 2041 Intersection Volumes (With Grade Separation)



B000738

30
5.3 Intersection Operations

Table 10 summarizes intersection operations in the study area for the 2041 horizon year, without implementing the railway grade separation (i.e. Do-Nothing option). Under this scenario, there are no major capacity or LOS issues, with all intersections and individual movements operating under capacity (v/c ratio not higher than 0.90) and with LOS E or better, the longest delays being slightly over 1 minute for non-through movements, which is expected at busy intersections during peak hours.

Although 95th percentile queues associated with the railway crossing were not reported to be a major concern, the SimTraffic animation shows that, during the AM peak hour, southbound queues on Coleraine Drive, may reach the King Street West/Harvest Moon intersection (**Figure 23**).

Additionally, several movements, particularly at Coleraine Drive and King Street West, are expected to present queues that exceed available storage.

Direction Mov		Storage		AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
Direction		Length	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	
Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive (Signalized)											
гр	L/T	-	0.26	34.2	С	57	0.42	51.6	D	42	
EB	R	20	0.61	42.9	D	77	0.23	47.5	D	22	
	L	57	0.92	44.4	D	154	0.50	37.8	D	54	
WB	Т	-	0.07	16.3	В	235	0.21	35.8	D	29	
	R	60	0.36	20.1	С	19	0.68	48.8	D	74	
	L	65+	0.42	33.2	С	25	0.69	27.0	С	75	
NB	Т	-	0.35	35.2	D	52	0.82	45.2	D	203	
	R	35	0.07	32.3	С	21	0.46	35.5	D	117	
CD	L	70	0.79	38.0	D	105	0.95	66.8	E	109	
30	T/R	-	0.89	47.8	D	145	0.32	26.2	С	58	
Ove	rall	-	0.94	38.7	D	-	0.89	43.2	D	-	
		Colerai	ne Drive	and Ellw	ood Dr	ive West (Unsignal	ized)			
WB	L/R	-	0.27	15.5	С	71	0.13	17.4	С	19	
NB	T/R	-	0.15	0	А	-	0.64	0	А	4	
CD	L	60	0.02	8.8	А	13	0.06	12.9	В	13	
30	Т	-	0.61	0	А	135	0.20	0	А	11	
Ove	rall	-	0.62	0.8	В	-	0.53	0.5	Α	-	
			Colerain	ne Drive	and Ol	d Ellwood	Drive				
WB	L/R	-	0.63	33.1	С	52	0.86	61.3	E	196	
NB	T/R	-	0.31	13.6	В	19	0.88	27.0	С	22	
CD	L	20	0.41	5.4	А	52	0.83	45.7	D	51	
3D	Т	-	0.86	13.8	В	108	0.23	5.4	А	71	
Ove	rall	-	0.91	14.4	В	-	0.89	30.1	С	-	

tion

B000738

Direction Mov		Storage	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
Direction	IVIOV.	Length	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue
King Street West and Station Road/Deer Valley Drive										
ED	L	23	0.03	4.5	А	3	0.09	3.1	А	13
ED	T/R	-	0.48	7.1	А	45	0.53	5.5	А	69
\A/D	L	75	0.04	4.0	Α	7	0.11	3.1	А	14
VVD	T/R	-	0.90	20.7	С	92	0.63	7.0	А	62
ND	L	28	0.06	29.9	С	5	0.17	34.1	С	10
IND	T/R	-	0.08	29.9	С	13	0.16	33.9	С	16
CD	L	30	0.14	30.4	С	11	0.14	33.9	С	8
30	T/R	-	0.52	33.9	С	19	0.10	33.5	С	11
Over	all	-	0.84	18.1	В	-	0.58	8.1	Α	-
			Old Ell	wood Dr	ive and	d Station R	oad			
EB	L/T	-	0.47	11.5	В	25	0.47	11.9	В	25
WB	T/R	-	0.29	9.5	А	18	0.62	14.4	В	28
SB	L/R	-	0.18	9.5	А	18	0.14	9.8	А	15
Over	all	-	0.28	10.5	Α	-	0.35	13.1	Α	-

<u>Notes</u>:

The northbound left-turn lane on Coleraine and King continues as a two-way left-turn lane NR: Not reported

Figure 23 – 2041 AM Southbound Queues During Train Crossing



32

If the grade separation is implemented, vehicles on Coleraine Drive no longer need to wait for trains to clear the crossing, therefore the queuing issue described above will no longer be a concern. However, due to traffic redirection (as described in Section 5.2), increased volumes are expected to cause some movements to operate over capacity and/or with excessive delays. **Table 11** summarizes intersection operations in the study area for the 2041 horizon year, with the railway grade separation in place.

Discution		Storage		AM Pea	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Direction	IVIOV.	Length	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue
Coleraine Drive at King Street/Harvest Moon Drive										
FD	L/T	-	0.39	43.6	D	60	0.36	43.8	D	46
ED	R	20	0.55	48.4	D	69	0.18	40.4	D	18
	L	57	1.04	76.2	E	181	0.53	35.3	D	51
WB	т	-	0.10	16.8	В	640	0.40	35.8	D	68
	R	60	0.39	21.0	С	11	0.83	54.8	D	96
	L	65+	0.12	38.5	D	16	0.58	37.0	D	71
NB	т	-	0.25	43.6	D	40	0.90	64.8	E	169
	R	35	0.10	42.0	D	29	0.77	58.8	E	118
CD.	L	70	1.04	87.0	F	510	1.01	76.9	E	169
28	T/R	-	0.63	37.8	D	505	0.15	20.0	С	71
Over	all	-	0.81	51.4	D	-	0.90	54.3	D	-
Station Road at King Street West										
	L	23	0.07	11.2	В	15	0.17	12.2	В	52
EB	T/R	-	1.11	85.8	F	122	0.99	49.6	D	268
	L	75	0.32	24.5	С	49	0.93	123.3	F	43
WB	T/R	-	0.97	42.8	D	702	0.75	22.3	С	132
ND	L	28	1.43	249.1	F	79	1.23	162.9	F	93
NB	T/R	-	0.05	32.9	С	52	0.06	32.6	С	74
65	L	30.0	0.15	50.2	D	13	0.16	59.7	E	8
28	T/R	-	0.59	58.2	E	24	0.12	60.1	E	13
Over	all	-	1.08	93.2	F	-	1.05	68.3	E	-
			Old E	llwood D	rive at	Station Ro	ad			
EB	L/T	-	0.00	8.1	А	0	0.02	8.1	А	8
WB	T/R	-	0.41	9.8	А	13	0.57	12.0	В	26
SB	L/R	-	0.41	11.3	В	22	0.29	10.3	В	18
Over	all	-	0.27	10.5	Α	-	0.45	11.5	Α	-

Table 11 – 2041 Intersection Operations With Grade Separation

<u>Notes</u>:

The northbound left-turn lane on Coleraine and King continues as a two-way left-turn lane

// 33

Based on these results, the following movements are expected to require improvements (beyond signal timing optimization:

- + Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive:
 - Westbound left-turn, with a v/c of 1.04 in the AM peak;
 - Southbound left-turn, with a v/c of 1.04 in the AM peak, and 1.01 in the PM peak;
- + King Street West and Station Road/Deer Valley Drive:
 - Eastbound through, with a v/c of 1.11 in the AM peak, and 0.99 in the PM peak;
 - Westbound through, with a v/c of 0.97 in the AM peak; and
 - Northbound left-turn, with a v/c of 1.43 in the AM peak, and 1.23 in the PM peak.

Additionally, various movements present increased queues compared with the scenario without the grade separation. This is due to the increase volumes, particularly for some left-turn movements. Excessive delays (LOS F) are expected for the southbound left-turn at Coleraine and King, and for the eastbound through and northbound left-turn at King and Station.

Improvements to address these operational concerns are discussed in Section 6.1.

34

6. Transportation Improvements

This section provides explores improvements to address operational and safety concerns in the study area, as well as for active transportation facilities. Improvements are discussed for scenarios with and without the Coleraine Drive grade separation being in place.

6.1 Intersection Operations

6.1.1 Intersection Capacity Improvements Without Grade Separation

As discussed in Section 5.3 the expected intersection operational issues by the 2041 horizon year include southbound queues on Coleraine Drive occasionally reaching the King Street West/Harvest Moon intersection, and some movements where queues exceed available storage. In order to address these issues, the following intersection improvements can be implemented:

- + Coordinate the traffic signal at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive with the railway signals/gates to prevent queues from reaching the former;
- + Extend storage lengths as indicated in Table 12.

Intersection	Movement	Existing Storage (m)	Recommended Storage (m)
	EBR	20	80*
Coleraine Drive	WBL	57	155
and King Street W/Harvest	WBR	60	75
Moon Drive	NBR	35	120
	SBL	70	110
Coleraine Drive			
and	SBL	20	55
Old Elwood Drive			

Table 12 – Storage Length Improvements without Grade Separation

* Consider extending to Frank Johnston Road

6.1.2 Intersection Capacity Improvements Without Grade Separation

In order to address the operational issues resulting from the grade separation (as identified in Section 5.3), the following improvements were included in the Synchro/SimTraffic model:

- + Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive:
 - Dual left-turn lanes in the southbound and westbound approaches;
- + King Street West and Station Road/Deer Valley Drive:
 - Exclusive right-turn lane in the eastbound direction;
 - One additional through lane in the westbound direction;
 - Exclusive northbound left-turn phase.

35

Additionally, because of the need for additional receiving lanes on both ends of the King Street West segment (resulting from the dual southbound left-turn lanes at Coleraine and King and the additional westbound through lane at King and Station), and considering the exclusive right-turn lanes at King and Coleraine westbound and King and Station eastbound, the entire section of King Street West between Coleraine Drive and Station Road would be widened to four lanes. Although this does not affect operational performance, it reduces the need for frequent lane changes, which could contribute to rear end and sideswipe collisions.

The resulting traffic operations are summarized in Table 13.

Disection		Storage		AM Pea	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Direction	IVIOV.	Length	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue
Coleraine Drive at King Street/Harvest Moon Drive										
50	L/T	-	0.38	41.1	D	73	0.32	38.5	D	41
EB	R	20	0.58	45.1	D	81	0.16	35.7	D	20
	L	57	0.92	68.6	E	96	0.68	65.4	E	34
WB	Т	-	0.11	17.7	В	20	0.34	28.1	С	50
	R	60	0.39	22.0	С	22	0.87	50.5	D	90
	L	65+	0.37	67.9	E	24	0.84	71.7	E	88
NB	т	-	0.25	43.3	D	39	0.87	59.0	E	110
	R	35	0.10	41.7	D	28	0.73	53.9	D	79
CD	L	70	0.92	72.3	E	85	0.94	73.1	E	88
30	T/R	-	0.62	36.6	D	105	0.26	38.9	D	42
Over	Overall -		0.73	47.2	D	-	0.91	55.0	E	-
			Stati	on Road	at King	Street We	est			
	L	23	0.03	16.4	В	3	0.13	14.3	В	38
EB	Т	-	0.68	27.9	С	95	0.73	25.0	С	128
	R		0.46	22.2	С	40	0.29	15.6	В	30
	L	75	0.08	17.2	В	9	0.27	1.0	В	17
VVD	T/R	-	0.66	25.3	С	72	0.45	17.2	В	60
NR	L	28	0.84	37.9	D	52	0.99	66.2	E	86
IND	T/R	-	0.04	20.5	С	11	0.05	23.3	С	52
C P	L	30.0	0.16	49.7	D	15	0.17	53.7	D	9
30	T/R	-	0.60	56.8	E	19	0.11	53.0	D	13
Overall		-	0.71	29.2	С	-	0.82	31.2	С	-

Table 13 – 2041 Intersection Operations With Grade Separation + Intersection Improvements

36

Direction	Direction Storage		AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
Direction	Length	Length	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue
Old Ellwood Drive at Station Road										
EB	L/T	-	0.05	8.5	Α	13	0.02	8.1	А	10
WB	T/R	-	0.41	10.1	В	14	0.57	12.0	В	31
SB	L/R	-	0.41	11.6	В	24	0.29	10.3	В	19
Overa	all	-	0.27	10.6	Α	-	0.45	11.5	В	-

<u>Notes</u>:

The northbound left-turn lane on Coleraine and King continues as a two-way left-turn lane NR: Not reported

With the improvements, most of the movements that were over capacity are expected to operate under capacity and within the thresholds outlined in Region of Peel's Traffic Impact Studies Terms of Reference, as follows:

- + Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive:
 - Westbound left-turn v/c improves from 1.04 to 0.92 in the AM peak;
 - Southbound left-turn v/c improves from 1.04 to 0.92 in the AM peak, and from 1.01 to 0.94 in the PM peak;
- + King Street West and Station Road/Deer Valley Drive:
 - Eastbound through v/c improves from 1.11 to 0.68 in the AM peak, and from 0.99 to 0.73 in the PM peak;
 - Westbound through v/c improves from 0.97 to 0.66 in the AM peak; and
 - Northbound left-turn v/c improves from 1.43 to 0.84 in the AM peak, and from 1.01 to 0.99 in the PM peak.

However, some queues still exceed available storage. **Table 14** provides the required storage length improvements for both existing and new lanes.

37

Intersection	Movement	Existing Storage (m)	Recommended Storage (m)	Notes
	EBR	20	80	Consider extending to Frank Johnston Road
Coleraine Drive	WBL	57	100	
King Street W/Harvest	WBR	60	500	Extend to Station Road
Moon Drive	NBR	35	80	
	SBL	70	90	
	EBL	23	40	
King Street W	EBR	-	500	Extend to Coleraine Drive
Station Road/Deer Valley	WBT/R	-	75	
Drive	NBT/R	28	90	To prevent lane starvation caused by NBL queues

Table 14 – Storage Length Improvements with Grade Separation

6.1.3 Roundabout Option

As an alternative to a signalized intersection, consideration may also be given to converting the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive to a roundabout. A review of intersection operations was conducted using Arcady software, for the horizon year 2041, with and without the proposed grade separation. The results of the roundabout analysis are summarized in **Table 15**.

Direction Movement			AM Pea	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Direction		v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue	v/c	Delay	LOS	Queue
	2041 Without Grade Separation								
EB	LTR	0.72	85.2	F	10	0.18	7.1	А	1
WB	LTR	0.60	10.2	В	2	0.57	19.5	С	6
NB	LTR	0.23	3.2	Α	1	0.91	23.1	С	48
SB	LTR	0.85	16.4	С	28	0.49	5.0	А	2
Ov	verall	-	22.9	С	-	-	16.8	С	-
			2041 Wit	th Grad	le Separati	ion			
EB	LTR	1.29	394.8	F	35	0.19	7.2	А	1
WB	LTR	0.68	11.7	В	6	0.41	6.5	А	3
NB	LTR	0.21	3.3	Α	1	0.38	3.8	А	3
SB	LTR	0.87	19.3	С	32	0.47	4.7	А	2
Ov	verall	-	69.0	F	-	-	5.1	Α	-

Table 15 – 2041 Roundabout Operations at Coleraine Dr and King St/Harvest Moon Dr

Note: analysis considered 90% intercept (i.e. capacity reduced to 90%) as a conservative approach.

The results correspond to a two-lane roundabout, with two entry lanes on Coleraine Drive, and one entry lane with right-turn by-pass on King Street West and Harvest Moon Drive (Figure 24). The results

38

show that a roundabout may be feasible from a capacity perspective, although some movements approach or exceed capacity (most notably the eastbound approach under the scenario with grade separation, with a v/c ratio of 1.29 and LOS F in the AM peak hour). It should be noted, however, that these results represent a conservative approach, where the modelled roundabout capacity was reduced to 90%. If this capacity reduction is not applied, the eastbound approach v/c ratio is improved from 1.29 to 0.57, and the LOS improves from F to D.



Figure 24 – Roundabout Concept

6.2 Traffic Safety

6.2.1 Safety Improvements Without Grade Separation

Based on the findings from the field investigation, the improvements in **Table 16** can address safety concerns within the study area if the Coleraine Drive grade separation is not implemented.

Finding	Recommendation
Railway crossing gate obstructing visibility at Old Ellwood Drive and Coleraine Drive.	Consider relocating the railway gate structure (increase offset from the road) to improve sight lines.
Negative left-turn lane offsets at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive.	Provide positive left-turn lane offsets to improve sight lines for left-turning vehicles.
Uneven pavement surface at railway crossing.	Resurface pavement at and on approach to the railway crossing.
RAILWAY CROSSING AHEAD (Wc-4) warning signs installed too close to railway crossing.	Relocate signs in accordance with OTM Book 6 guidance

Table 16 – Safety Improvements without Grade Separation



Finding	Recommendation
SCHOOL CROSSING AHEAD (Wc-2A) signs located in advance of signalized intersection (Coleraine and King/Harvest Moon).	Remove the Wc-2A signs in advance of Coleraine and King/Harvest Moon.
Speed limit variation over a short distance on King Street West.	Implement a uniform speed limit on King Street West between Coleraine Drive and Station Road.
Potential water hazards on Coleraine and Harvest Moon and Station and King.	No action is required.
Guide rail installed 1 metre from barrier curb and reducing sidewalk width.	All required guide rails within the study area should be installed either flush with the barrier curb or not closer than 2.5 metres from the barrier curb (4.0 metres desirable if design speed is greater than 70 km/h).
Potential vehicle conflicts at Coleraine and Old Ellwood and Coleraine and Ellwood.	Consider adding an exclusive right-turn lane on Old Ellwood Drive, and providing a raised median island on Coleraine Drive, immediately south of Elwood Drive West.
Absence of sidewalks south of the railway and of pedestrian facilities to cross the railway.	Consider providing sidewalks along Coleraine Drive through the entire study area (refer to Section 6.2.3 for further details, including bicycle facilities), as well as proper crossing facilities at the railway.
Non-AODA pedestrian pushbuttons.	Upgrade pedestrian signal pushbuttons at all intersections in the study area to meet AODA requirements.
Restricted turning sight distances at #13351 and #13371 Coleraine Drive.	Consider modifying the vertical profile of Coleraine Drive in the vicinity of Holland Drive to ensure turning sight distances at all accesses meet design guidelines.

B000738

40

6.2.2 Safety Improvements With Grade Separation

Based on the findings from the field investigation, the improvements in **Table 17** can address safety concerns within the study area if the Coleraine Drive grade separation is implemented.

Finding	Recommendation
Railway crossing gate obstructing visibility at Old Ellwood Drive and Coleraine Drive.	No action is required. This issue will be eliminated with the implementation of the grade separation.
Negative left-turn lane offsets at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive.	Provide positive left-turn lane offsets to improve sight lines for left-turning vehicles.
Uneven pavement surface at railway crossing.	No action is required. This issue will be eliminated with the implementation of the grade separation.
RAILWAY CROSSING AHEAD (Wc-4) warning signs installed too close to railway crossing.	No action is required. This issue will be eliminated with the implementation of the grade separation.
SCHOOL CROSSING AHEAD (Wc-2A) signs located in advance of signalized intersection (Coleraine and King/Harvest Moon).	Remove the Wc-2A signs in advance of Coleraine and King/Harvest Moon.
Speed limit variation over a short distance on King Street West.	Implement a uniform speed limit on King Street West between Coleraine Drive and Station Road.
Potential water hazards on Coleraine and Harvest Moon and Station and King.	As part of the design efforts, review clear zone requirements and embankment hazard protection warrants for the bodies of water located at the southwest quadrants of Coleraine Drive and Harvest Moon Drive, and Station Road and King Street West; install guide rails as required.
Guide rail installed 1 metre from barrier curb and reducing sidewalk width.	All required guide rails within the study area should be installed either flush with the barrier curb or not closer than 2.5 metres from the barrier curb (4.0 metres desirable if design speed is greater than 70 km/h).
Potential vehicle conflicts at Coleraine and Old Ellwood and Coleraine and Ellwood.	No action is required. This issue will be eliminated with the implementation of the grade separation.
Absence of sidewalks south of the railway and of pedestrian facilities to cross the railway.	Provide sidewalks along Coleraine Drive through the entire study area (refer to Section 6.2.3 for further details, including bicycle facilities). Crossing facilities at the railway are not necessary due to the grade separation.
Non-AODA pedestrian pushbuttons.	Upgrade pedestrian signal pushbuttons at all intersections in the study area to meet AODA requirements.
Restricted turning sight distances at #13351 and #13371 Coleraine Drive.	Consider modifying the vertical profile of Coleraine Drive in the vicinity of Holland Drive to ensure turning sight distances at all accesses meet design guidelines.

Table 17 – Safety Improvements with Grade Separation

6.2.3 Roundabout Option

A roundabout has several safety benefits, since the number of conflict points is reduced compared to a typical signalized 4-leg intersection; speeds and the impact angles at a roundabout are also reduced. Converting a signalized intersection to a modern roundabout can reduce total collisions by 21%, and

41

severe collisions (i.e. fatal and injury) by 66%.¹⁴ The feasibility of implementing a roundabout at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive should be considered.

6.3 Active Transportation

The Region's Active Transportation Study¹⁵ does not identify any planned bicycle facilities along Coleraine Drive, however the proposed long-term Regional cycling network (Map 10a, illustrated in **Figure 25**) indicates that bicycle lanes are planned along King Street, between The Gore and Queen Street. This includes Emil Kolb Parkway, which is a direct continuation of Coleraine Drive north of King Street West. However, the Bolton Transportation Master Plan¹⁶ identifies a proposed buffered bicycle lane along King Street and Coleraine Drive (**Figure 26**).



Figure 25 – Long-term Regional Cycling Network in Bolton

B000738

¹⁴ <u>http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org/detail.cfm?facid=4184</u> and <u>http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org/detail.cfm?facid=4185</u>.

¹⁵ The Region of Peel's Active Transportation Study, IBI Group, November 2011.

¹⁶ <u>https://www.caledon.ca/en/townhall/resources/D3BoltonTransportationMasterPlan.pdf</u>



Figure 26 – Bolton Transportation Master Plan: Active Transportation Strategy

OTM Book 18 – Bicycle Facilities outlines a process for the selection of bicycle facilities, including preselection and detailed assessment steps. However, the process is more focused on the selection of bicycle facilities on two-lane roads. If the OTM Book 18 "Desirable Cycling Facility Pre-Selection Nomograph" is assumed to be applicable for a four-lane road (and also considering that future AADT is expected to be 67% higher¹⁷ than the upper limit of the nomograph), the resulting desirable facility would include alternate road or separate facilities such as buffered paved shoulders and separated bicycle lanes/cycle tracks (**Figure 27**).

¹⁷ Based on the data available in the Region of Peel Data Centre website, the AADT on Coleraine Drive is 13,038 for the year 2015. This AADT was compared to the AM peak hour volumes from recent traffic counts and with the 2041 EMME outputs provided by the Region, resulting in an estimated AADT of 25,213 in 2041. Station 15008000 COLERAINE DRIVE 0.15 KM SOUTH OF HARVEST MOON. Data retrieved from http://opendata.peelregion.ca/data-categories/transportation/traffic-covnt_stations.aspx.



Figure 27 – OTM Book 18 Desired Cycling Facility Pre-Selection Nomograph

Another important consideration is the land use of the study area, which contains major industrial accesses, therefore large trucks are to be expected which could be dangerous for cyclists on a shared facility. Additionally, separate facilities may attract new bicycle users that are not comfortable riding on a space shared with motor vehicles (especially trucks).

Considering all these elements, the buffered bicycle lanes proposed in the Bolton Transportation Master Plan appears to be adequate, since it provides system continuity and the potential to attract new bicycle users due to increased comfort and safety. For increased safety, however, separated bicycle lanes can also be considered.

6.4 Access Management

The implementation of the proposed grade separation will reduce the total number of access points on Coleraine Drive compared to the existing conditions, by either eliminating or combining multiple accesses into a smaller number, as outlined in Section 4. The only potential concern relates to the relocation of the Manchester Court signalized access, which would be approximately 230 metres north from the Holland Drive signalized access.

The Region of Peel's Road Characterization Study recommends a minimum spacing of 300 metres on Suburban Connectors, and 450 metres on Industrial Connectors, between median openings for full-movement accesses. Therefore, if a median were to be provided, the minimum recommended spacing would not be met.

44

Currently, Coleraine Drive has a centre left-turn lane between Manchester Court and Holland Drive, which turns into exclusive left-turn lanes at the signals. This configuration should be maintained in order to remove vehicles entering any remaining accesses in the study area from through traffic, reducing potential conflicts.

It should also be noted that the distance between the proposed signalized accesses after relocation (230 metres) is close to the minimum spacing recommended in OTM Book 12 – Traffic Signals. Although a distance of 215 metres is usually sufficient to allow motorists to recognize and react to the signal at each intersection (i.e. without mistaking one for the other), this may not be sufficient to provide optimal coordination. As such, traffic signal design efforts should carefully review coordinated operations to estimate efficient progression along Coleraine Drive.

45

7. Conclusions

Based on the findings from this traffic assessment report, the following can be concluded:

- + The Rail/Road Crossing Exposure Index (EI) already exceeded 200,000, the minimum value typically used to consider grade separation, in 2015 (234,648). The EI is expected to reach 615,900 by 2031.
- + With the increase in motor vehicle and train traffic, queues generated at the at-grade crossing are expected to extend beyond the intersection of Coleraine Drive & King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive. This issue is expected to be more intense as the frequency of trains during peak hours increases, particularly due to the planned extension of GO Train service to a new Bolton station.
- + Expected benefits of providing grade separation on Coleraine Drive include:
 - Elimination of queuing issues originating at the railway crossing;
 - Reduced exposure to collisions with trains (although recent collision history did not indicate this to be a major concern, increased motor vehicle and train volumes could change this);
 - Elimination of certain motor vehicle conflicts with the closing of the intersections of Coleraine Drive with Elwood Drive West and Old Elwood Drive;
 - Improved truck network and, consequently, increased reliability for the goods movement corridor (fewer delays and reduced exposure to collisions); and
 - The associated reconstruction of Coleraine Drive provides an opportunity to provide bicycle facilities and to upgrade pedestrian facilities to meet current accessibility standards.
- A by-product of providing grade separation on Coleraine Drive is the redirection of traffic from its minor intersections with Elwood Drive West and Old Elwood Drive to the intersections of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive and King Street West and Station Road.

The decision with respect to whether the grade separation will be constructed or not will be subject to the next stages of the EA process and budgeting. As such, **Table 18** provides a list of recommended improvements that can address issues identified during the completion of this Transportation Report. It should be noted that these recommendations are not final, and are still subject to discussion with other stakeholders as part of the EA process.

46

//

Improvement	With Grade Separation	Without Grade Separation
Traffic Operations		
Coordinate the traffic signal at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive with the railway signals/gates to prevent queues from reaching the former	N/A	M
Extend storage lengths as indicated in Table 12.		\square
Provide dual left-turn lanes in the southbound and westbound approaches at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive.	V	
 At the intersection of King Street West and Station Road/Deer Valley Drive: Provide an exclusive right-turn lane in the eastbound direction, and extend it to Coleraine Drive (merge with the additional southbound left-turn receiving lane); Provide one additional through lane in the westbound direction, and extend the receiving lane to Coleraine Drive (merge with the eastbound channelized right-turn lane); and Provide exclusive left-turn signal phase in the northbound approach. 	Ø	
Provide storage lengths as indicated in Table 14.	\square	
Consider the feasibility of converting the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive from a signalized intersection to a multi-lane roundabout.	\checkmark	
Traffic Safety		
Consider relocating the railway gate structure (increase offset from the road) to improve sight lines.	N/A	$\mathbf{\overline{A}}$
Provide positive left-turn lane offsets at the intersection of Coleraine Drive and King Street West/Harvest Moon Drive to improve sight lines for left-turning drivers.	\checkmark	
Resurface pavement at and on approach to the railway crossing.	Automatically addressed by reconstruction	M
Relocate RAILWAY CROSSING AHEAD (Wc-4) warning signs in accordance with OTM Book 6 guidance.	N/A	${\bf \boxtimes}$
Remove the Wc-2A signs in advance of Coleraine and King/Harvest Moon.	Ø	Ø
Implement a uniform speed limit on King Street West between Coleraine Drive and Station Road.	V	\checkmark

Table 18 – Transportation Improvements with and without Grade Separation

Improvement	With Grade Separation	Without Grade Separation
As part of the design efforts, review clear zone requirements and embankment hazard protection warrants for the bodies of water located at the southwest quadrants of Coleraine Drive and Harvest Moon Drive, and Station Road and King Street West; install guide rails as required.		
All required guide rails within the study area should be installed either flush with the barrier curb or not closer than 2.5 metres from the barrier curb (4.0 metres desirable if design speed is greater than 70 km/h), and energy attenuator end treatments should not be used with barrier curb.	M	
Consider adding an exclusive right-turn lane on Old Ellwood Drive, and providing a raised median island on Coleraine Drive, immediately south of Elwood Drive West.	N/A	
Consider providing pedestrian crossing facilities at the railway.	N/A	V
Upgrade pedestrian signal pushbuttons at all intersections in the study area to meet AODA requirements.	\square	V
Consider modifying the vertical profile of Coleraine Drive in the vicinity of Holland Drive to ensure turning sight distances at all accesses meet design guidelines.	N/A	M
Active Transportation		
Consider providing sidewalks along Coleraine Drive through the entire study area (refer to Section 6.3 for further details, including bicycle facilities).	\checkmark	V
Provide buffered or separated bicycle lanes on both sides Coleraine Drive, per Bolton Transportation Master Plan; desirable bicycle lane width is 1.8 metres, per OTM Book 18.	V	
Access Management		
Carefully review coordinated operations between Holland Drive and Manchester Court to estimate efficient progression along Coleraine Drive.	\checkmark	
Provide a centre left-turn lane along Coleraine Drive between Holland Drive and the relocated Manchester Court; the centre left-turn lane should be converted into exclusive left turn lanes at the approaches to the intersections.	M	

CIMA+ // Partners in excellence



Turning Movement Counts



Company name:Trans-Plan Inc.Company address:24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, CanadaCompany phone:(647) 931-7383

Site:00903381Location:King Street at Coleraine Drive / Harvest Moon Drive, CaledonN/S Street:Coleraine Drive / Harvest Moon DriveE/W Street:King StreetGPS Coordinates:43.871948, -79.752320Date:10 February 2016Day of week:WednesdayAnalyst(s):Doug Barr

VEHICLE TRAFFIC

Interval		South	Bound			West	Bound			North	Bound			East	Bound		Total
	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	
10/02/2016 07:00	29	61	0	90	35	10	32	77	17	14	15	46	5	14	61	80	293
10/02/2016 07:15	26	93	1	120	57	5	42	104	10	31	10	51	0	18	87	105	380
10/02/2016 07:30	30	81	1	112	42	5	56	103	11	26	16	53	2	21	95	118	386
10/02/2016 07:45	40	100	2	142	49	10	46	105	9	34	17	60	2	28	96	126	433
Hourly Total	125	335	4	464	183	30	176	389	47	105	58	210	9	81	339	429	1492
10/02/2016 08:00	41	94	1	136	53	15	42	110	13	16	18	47	0	29	86	115	408
10/02/2016 08:15	32	87	2	121	54	13	28	95	14	26	16	56	1	16	83	100	372
10/02/2016 08:30	32	76	0	108	44	10	40	94	16	35	9	60	1	16	59	76	338
10/02/2016 08:45	37	81	0	118	34	13	35	82	27	34	10	71	2	12	37	51	322
Hourly Total	142	338	3	483	185	51	145	381	70	111	53	234	4	73	265	342	1440
10/02/2016 09:00	1	2	0	3	7	3	6	16	0	2	1	3	0	0	2	2	24
10/02/2016 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	1	2	0	3	7	3	6	16	0	2	1	3	0	0	2	2	24
Grand Total	268	675	7	950	375	84	327	786	117	218	112	447	13	154	606	773	2956
Approach %	28	71	1	100	48	11	42	101	26	49	25	100	2	20	78	100	-
Total %	9	23	0	32	13	3	11	27	4	7	4	15	0	5	21	26	-

AM Peak Hour 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM

Vehicle Total	137	368	5	510	201	35	186	422	43	107	61	211	4	96	364	464	1607
Car	131	319	5	455	196	33	182	411	42	78	57	177	3	88	361	452	1495
Truck	6	49	0	55	5	2	4	11	1	29	4	34	1	8	3	12	112
Bicycle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Interval		South	Bound			West	tBound			North	Bound			East	Bound		Total
	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	
10/02/2016 11:00	18	38	0	56	3	4	17	24	19	32	10	61	0	7	14	21	162
10/02/2016 11:15	22	41	0	63	5	8	17	30	18	19	16	53	1	6	21	28	174
10/02/2016 11:30	22	34	2	58	9	7	18	34	20	27	5	52	1	8	30	39	183
10/02/2016 11:45	15	29	0	44	8	12	26	46	27	28	15	70	0	7	17	24	184
Hourly Total	77	142	2	221	25	31	78	134	84	106	46	236	2	28	82	112	703
10/02/2016 12:00	17	27	0	44	4	7	18	29	29	34	7	70	1	11	24	36	179
10/02/2016 12:15	21	36	0	57	7	6	15	28	22	39	20	81	0	7	22	29	195
10/02/2016 12:30	24	26	2	52	8	8	23	39	31	43	17	91	0	6	24	30	212
10/02/2016 12:45	25	32	0	57	8	14	17	39	21	30	5	56	0	9	21	30	182
Hourly Total	87	121	2	210	27	35	73	135	103	146	49	298	1	33	91	125	768
10/02/2016 13:00	19	35	1	55	14	11	41	66	34	46	8	88	1	6	24	31	240
10/02/2016 13:15	15	33	0	48	12	8	23	43	18	32	6	56	0	7	18	25	172
10/02/2016 13:30	22	36	0	58	13	4	31	48	24	27	16	67	0	5	19	24	197
10/02/2016 13:45	20	37	0	57	12	8	17	37	19	27	13	59	2	5	18	25	178
Hourly Total	76	141	1	218	51	31	112	194	95	132	43	270	3	23	79	105	787
10/02/2016 14:00	2	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	9
10/02/2016 14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	2	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	9
Grand Total	242	408	5	655	103	97	263	463	283	385	138	806	6	84	253	343	2267
Approach %	37	62	1	100	22	21	57	100	35	48	17	100	2	24	74	100	-
Total %	11	18	0	29	5	4	12	21	12	17	6	35	0	4	11	15	-
			4	4	I	4	I.	1	1			1	1		L		

Midday Peak Hour 12:15 PM - 1:15 PM

Vehicle Total	89	129	3	221	37	39	96	172	108	158	50	316	1	28	91	120	829
Car	88	91	3	182	36	39	93	168	108	112	49	269	1	28	90	119	738
Truck	1	38	0	39	1	0	3	4	0	46	1	47	0	0	1	1	91
Bicycle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Interval		South	Bound			West	Bound			North	Bound			East	Bound		Total
	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	
10/02/2016 15:00	25	20	0	45	10	23	34	67	42	65	36	143	1	12	29	42	297
10/02/2016 15:15	23	35	2	60	20	18	35	73	64	84	21	169	2	5	22	29	331
10/02/2016 15:30	31	35	0	66	17	24	36	77	59	97	43	199	1	7	25	33	375
10/02/2016 15:45	34	34	2	70	10	10	42	62	60	82	23	165	1	21	50	72	369
Hourly Total	113	124	4	241	57	75	147	279	225	328	123	676	5	45	126	176	1372
10/02/2016 16:00	45	37	3	85	16	31	33	80	58	96	41	195	3	14	33	50	410
10/02/2016 16:15	41	44	3	88	17	20	37	74	72	84	26	182	0	8	26	34	378
10/02/2016 16:30	42	26	0	68	8	16	35	59	69	112	52	233	1	7	33	41	401
10/02/2016 16:45	33	37	1	71	14	22	43	79	91	109	39	239	0	14	37	51	440
Hourly Total	161	144	7	312	55	89	148	292	290	401	158	849	4	43	129	176	1629
10/02/2016 17:00	42	31	3	76	16	24	56	96	90	109	54	253	1	15	25	41	466
10/02/2016 17:15	51	33	1	85	11	30	46	87	96	88	37	221	1	6	40	47	440
10/02/2016 17:30	38	32	4	74	14	18	44	76	86	92	34	212	4	13	46	63	425
10/02/2016 17:45	39	30	1	70	10	25	48	83	80	65	32	177	1	18	41	60	390
Hourly Total	170	126	9	305	51	97	194	342	352	354	157	863	7	52	152	211	1721
10/02/2016 18:00	3	1	0	4	0	0	2	2	7	6	1	14	0	0	0	0	20
10/02/2016 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	3	1	0	4	0	0	2	2	7	6	1	14	0	0	0	0	20
Grand Total	447	395	20	862	163	261	491	915	874	1089	439	2402	16	140	407	563	4742
Approach %	52	46	2	100	18	29	54	101	36	45	18	99	3	25	72	100	-
Total %	9	8	0	17	3	6	10	19	18	23	9	50	0	3	9	12	-
PM Peak Hour 4:45	PM - 5:45 P	M				•		•		•					•		

Vehicle Total	164	133	9	306	55	94	189	338	363	398	164	925	6	48	148	202	1771
Car	162	102	8	272	55	93	187	335	363	371	163	897	6	48	147	201	1705
Truck	2	31	0	33	0	0	2	2	0	27	1	28	0	0	0	0	63
Bicycle	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3

PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

No pedestrian crossing for AM.

10/02/2016 11:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 11:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 11:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 11:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 12:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 12:15:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 12:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 12:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 13:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 13:15:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 13:30:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 13:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
10/02/2016 14:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 14:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Midday Peak Hour 12:45 F	PM - 1:45 PM												
Pedestrians	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

		North East			North West			South West			South East		Total
	Left	Right	Total	Left	Right	Total	Left	Right	Total	Left	Right	Total	
10/02/2016 15:00:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 15:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 15:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 15:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 16:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 16:15:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 16:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 16:45:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hourly Total	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
10/02/2016 17:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 17:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 17:30:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10/02/2016 17:45:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Hourly Total	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
10/02/2016 18:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/02/2016 18:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6
PM Peak Hour 5:00 PM - 6	:00 PM												

	Pedestrians	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
--	-------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive / Harvest Moon Drive	Count Date:	10/02/2016
East/West Street:	King Street	Count Period:	AM, Noon, PM
GPS Coordinates:	43.871948, -79.752320	Peak Period:	7:15 AM - 8:15 AM, 12:15
Site Number:	00903381	Major Road:	King Street
Control:	Signalized	Surveyor:	Doug Barr



5 PM - 1:15 PM, 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM

City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive / Harvest Moon Drive	Count Date:	10/02/2016
East/West Street:	King Street	Count Period:	АМ
GPS Coordinates:	43.871948, -79.752320	Peak Period:	7:15 AM - 8:15 AM
Site Number:	00903381	Major Road:	King Street
Control:	Signalized	Surveyor: Doug Barr	





City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive / Harvest Moon Drive	Count Date:	10/02/2016
East/West Street:	King Street	Count Period:	Noon
GPS Coordinates:	43.871948, -79.752320	Peak Period:	12:15 PM - 1:15 PM
Site Number:	00903381	Major Road:	King Street
Control:	Signalized	Surveyor: Doug Barr	





City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive / Harvest Moon Drive	Count Date:	10/02/2016
East/West Street:	King Street	Count Period:	РМ
GPS Coordinates:	43.871948, -79.752320	Peak Period:	4:45 PM - 5:45 PM
Site Number:	00903381	Major Road:	King Street
Control:	Signalized	Surveyor: Doug Barr	





TMC chart data



Total Traffic at Peak Hour





Total Pedestrians at Peak Hour



Bounds

SouthBound	NorthEast
WestBound	NorthWest
NorthBound	SouthWest
EastBound	SouthEast





Total Approach at Peak Hour



7%

Hour

NOTES & IMAGES



Emil Kolb Northbound

King Street Eastbound



Coleraine Drive Southbound





Harvest Moon Drive Westbound

Page 11












King St W @ Deer V	alley Dr / Station Rd
Morning Peak Diagram	Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 7:00:00 From: 7:15:00 To: 10:00:00 To: 8:15:00
Municipality:BoltonSite #:000000004Intersection:King St W & Station RdTFR File #:3Count date:2-Feb-2017	Weather conditions: Cloudy/Dry Person(s) who counted: Ola
** Signalized Intersection **	Major Road: King St W runs W/E
North Leg Total: 79 Heavys 1 0 0 1 North Entering: 69 Trucks 0 1 0 1 North Peds: 2 Cars 41 8 18 67 Peds Cross: IM Totals 42 9 18	Heavys1East Leg Total:530Trucks0East Entering:294Cars9East Peds:0Totals10Peds Cross:X
Heavys Trucks Cars Totals	eer Valley Dr Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 3 0 0 3 270 9 3 282 8 0 1 9
King St W	E E
Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 0 0 2 2 193 193 5 5 101 5 101 5 101	King St W
Peds Cross: Image: Construction of the sector of the s	ars 3 4 25 32 Peds Cross: ⊠ ars 3 4 25 32 South Peds: 0 ars 0 0 0 0 South Peds: 0 ars 3 5 25 South Leg Total: 56
Comr	nents
Comr	nents

King St W @ Deer V	alley Dr / Station Rd
Afternoon Peak Diagram	Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 15:00:00 From: 17:00:00 To: 18:00:00 To: 18:00:00
Municipality:BoltonSite #:0000000004Intersection:King St W & Station RdTFR File #:3Count date:2-Feb-2017	Weather conditions: Cloudy/Dry Person(s) who counted: Ola
** Signalized Intersection **	Major Road: King St W runs W/E
North Leg Total: 101 Heavys 0 0 0 North Entering: 34 Trucks 0 0 0 North Peds: 2 Cars 14 6 14 34 Peds Cross: Image: March 14 Totals 14 6 14	Heavys 0 Trucks 1 Cars 66 Totals 67 Heavys 0 East Leg Total: 754 East Entering: 319 East Peds: 0 Peds Cross: X
Heavys Trucks Cars Totals	Cars Trucks Heavys Totals 18 1 0 19 254 12 0 266 34 0 0 34
King St W	306 13 0
Heavys Trucks Cars Totals 0 0 30 30 1 9 379 389	King St W
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cars Trucks Heavys Totals
Station Rd	
Peds Cross: Image: Carse in the state in	Irs 11 18 32 61 Peds Cross: Image: mail of the sector s
Com	nents











Peel Region 10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B - 4th Floor Brampton ON, Canada, L6T 4B9

Turning Movement Count (20 . COLERAINE DR & MANCHESTER CT) CustID: 15007550 MioID: 363875

Start Time			(Southbo COLERAIN	und NE DR				PR	Westbou IVATE AC	nd CESS				1 0	Northbou Oleraine	nd E DR				MAN	Eastbour CHESTEF	nd R COURT	-	Int. Total (15 min)	Int. Total (1 hr)
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total		
07:00:00	0	221	1	0	0	222	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	48	0	0	0	54	1	0	11	0	0	12	289	
07:15:00	0	223	5	0	0	228	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	44	0	0	0	47	1	0	2	0	0	3	278	
07:30:00	0	219	11	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	44	0	0	0	61	1	0	1	0	0	2	293	
07:45:00	0	234	19	0	0	253	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	45	0	0	0	53	1	0	3	0	0	4	310	1170
08:00:00	0	196	7	1	0	204	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	47	1	0	0	59	1	0	2	0	0	3	266	1147
08:15:00	0	183	2	0	0	185	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	60	1	0	0	66	0	0	1	0	0	1	253	1122
08:30:00	0	155	7	0	0	162	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	51	1	0	0	61	0	0	2	0	0	2	227	1056
08:45:00	0	145	7	0	0	152	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	41	1	0	0	48	0	0	3	0	0	3	203	949
***BREAK*	**	•										-				-										
11:00:00	0	53	0	0	0	53	2	0	0	0	1	2	3	48	0	0	0	51	0	0	6	0	0	6	112	
11:15:00	0	52	1	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	61	0	0	0	63	0	0	8	0	1	8	124	
11:30:00	0	59	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	62	0	0	0	68	1	0	4	0	0	5	132	
11:45:00	0	75	0	0	0	75	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	48	1	0	0	49	2	0	3	0	0	5	130	498
12:00:00	0	70	1	0	0	71	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	56	1	0	0	64	3	0	7	0	0	10	146	532
12:15:00	0	66	3	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	67	0	0	0	69	1	0	8	0	0	9	147	555
12:30:00	0	67	5	0	0	72	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	75	0	0	0	82	2	0	5	0	0	7	162	585
12:45:00	0	62	1	0	0	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	62	0	0	0	66	1	0	6	0	0	7	136	591
13:00:00	0	63	2	1	0	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	80	0	0	0	87	1	0	5	0	0	6	159	604
13:15:00	0	46	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	58	0	0	0	66	2	0	5	0	0	7	119	576
13:30:00	0	54	5	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	61	0	0	0	69	5	0	5	0	0	10	138	552
13:45:00	0	62	3	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	57	1	0	0	68	2	0	6	0	0	8	141	557
***BREAK*	**	,																								
15:00:00	0	64	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	108	0	0	0	114	6	0	29	0	0	35	213	
15:15:00	0	75	1	0	0	76	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	115	1	0	0	121	4	0	5	0	0	9	207	
15:30:00	0	67	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	170	1	0	0	175	3	0	6	0	0	9	251	
15:45:00	0	94	3	0	0	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	153	2	0	0	161	4	0	4	0	0	8	266	937
16:00:00	0	68	1	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	177	0	0	0	180	4	0	10	0	0	14	263	987
16:15:00	0	71	1	0	0	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	183	0	0	0	187	3	0	5	0	0	8	267	1047
16:30:00	0	83	0	0	0	83	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	219	0	0	0	220	6	0	10	0	0	16	319	1115
16:45:00	0	84	0	0	0	84	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	196	0	0	1	201	5	0	7	0	3	12	300	1149
17:00:00	0	62	1	0	0	63	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	287	0	0	0	288	10	0	13	0	0	23	375	1261
17:15:00	1	77	0	0	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	259	0	0	0	264	7	0	4	0	0	11	353	1347



Peel Region 10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B - 4th Floor Brampton ON, Canada, L6T 4B9

17:30:00	0	71	1	0	0	72	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	219	0	0	0	222	5	0	6	0	0	11	306	1334
17:45:00	0	82	0	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	203	0	0	0	203	5	0	8	0	0	13	298	1332
Grand Total	1	3203	88	2	0	3294	13	0	2	0	3	15	172	3404	11	0	1	3587	87	0	200	0	4	287	7183	-
Approach%	0%	97.2%	2.7%	0.1%		-	86.7%	0%	13.3%	0%		-	4.8%	94.9%	0.3%	0%		-	30.3%	0%	69.7%	0%		-	-	-
Totals %	0%	44.6%	1.2%	0%		45.9%	0.2%	0%	0%	0%		0.2%	2.4%	47.4%	0.2%	0%		49.9%	1.2%	0%	2.8%	0%		4%	-	-
Heavy	0	346	5	0		-	8	0	0	0		-	67	347	3	0		-	6	0	60	0		-	-	-
Heavy %	0%	10.8%	5.7%	0%		-	61.5%	0%	0%	0%		-	39%	10.2%	27.3%	0%		-	6.9%	0%	30%	0%		-	-	-
Bicycles	0	2	0	0		-	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	0	0		-	-	-
Bicycle %	0%	0.1%	0%	0%		-	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	-	-



Peel Region 10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B - 4th Floor Brampton ON, Canada, L6T 4B9

Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM Weather: Clear (3.4 °C)

Start Time			و د	Southbo OLERAIN	und Ne Dr				PF	Westbou	I nd CCESS				L C	Northbou Oleraini	nd E DR				MAN	Eastbou CHESTEF	nd R COURT		Int. Total (15 min)
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
07:00:00	0	221	1	0	0	222	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	48	0	0	0	54	1	0	11	0	0	12	289
07:15:00	0	223	5	0	0	228	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	44	0	0	0	47	1	0	2	0	0	3	278
07:30:00	0	219	11	0	0	230	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	44	0	0	0	61	1	0	1	0	0	2	293
07:45:00	0	234	19	0	0	253	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	45	0	0	0	53	1	0	3	0	0	4	310
Grand Total	0	897	36	0	0	933	1	0	0	0	0	1	34	181	0	0	0	215	4	0	17	0	0	21	1170
Approach%	0%	96.1%	3.9%	0%			100%	0%	0%	0%			15.8%	84.2%	0%	0%		-	19%	0%	81%	0%		-	-
Totals %	0%	76.7%	3.1%	0%		79.7%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%		0.1%	2.9%	15.5%	0%	0%		18.4%	0.3%	0%	1.5%	0%		1.8%	-
PHF	0	0.96	0.47	0		0.92	0.25	0	0	0		0.25	0.5	0.94	0	0		0.88	1	0	0.39	0		0.44	-
Heavy	0	45	0	0		45	1	0	0	0		1	5	49	0	0		54	0	0	4	0		4	
Heavy %	0%	5%	0%	0%		4.8%	100%	0%	0%	0%		100%	14.7%	27.1%	0%	0%		25.1%	0%	0%	23.5%	0%		19%	-
Lights	0	852	36	0		888	0	0	0	0		0	29	132	0	0		161	4	0	13	0		17	-
Lights %	0%	95%	100%	0%		95.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	85.3%	72.9%	0%	0%		74.9%	100%	0%	76.5%	0%		81%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	28	0	0		28	1	0	0	0		1	1	27	0	0		28	0	0	1	0		1	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	0%	3.1%	0%	0%		3%	100%	0%	0%	0%		100%	2.9%	14.9%	0%	0%		13%	0%	0%	5.9%	0%		4.8%	-
Buses	0	2	0	0		2	0	0	0	0		0	0	5	0	0		5	0	0	0	0		0	-
Buses %	0%	0.2%	0%	0%		0.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	2.8%	0%	0%		2.3%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	15	0	0		15	0	0	0	0		0	4	17	0	0		21	0	0	3	0		3	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	1.7%	0%	0%		1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	11.8%	9.4%	0%	0%		9.8%	0%	0%	17.6%	0%		14.3%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Pedestrians%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Bicycles on Road%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-



Peel Region 10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B - 4th Floor Brampton ON, Canada, L6T 4B9

Peak Hour: 12:15 PM - 01:15 PM Weather: Mostly Cloudy (12.2 °C)

Start Time			S	Southbou OLERAIN	und E DR				PF	Westbou NVATE A	I nd CCESS				l C	Northbou OLERAIN	nd E DR				MAN	Eastboun CHESTER	d COURT		Int. Total (15 min)
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
12:15:00	0	66	3	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	67	0	0	0	69	1	0	8	0	0	9	147
12:30:00	0	67	5	0	0	72	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	75	0	0	0	82	2	0	5	0	0	7	162
12:45:00	0	62	1	0	0	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	62	0	0	0	66	1	0	6	0	0	7	136
13:00:00	0	63	2	1	0	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	80	0	0	0	87	1	0	5	0	0	6	159
Grand Total	0	258	11	1	0	270	1	0	0	0	0	1	20	284	0	0	0	304	5	0	24	0	0	29	604
Approach%	0%	95.6%	4.1%	0.4%		-	100%	0%	0%	0%			6.6%	93.4%	0%	0%		-	17.2%	0%	82.8%	0%		-	-
Totals %	0%	42.7%	1.8%	0.2%		44.7%	0.2%	0%	0%	0%		0.2%	3.3%	47%	0%	0%		50.3%	0.8%	0%	4%	0%		4.8%	-
PHF	0	0.96	0.55	0.25		0.94	0.25	0	0	0		0.25	0.71	0.89	0	0		0.87	0.63	0	0.75	0		0.81	
Heavy	0	42	2	0		44	1	0	0	0		1	8	56	0	0		64	0	0	10	0		10	-
Heavy %	0%	16.3%	18.2%	0%		16.3%	100%	0%	0%	0%		100%	40%	19.7%	0%	0%		21.1%	0%	0%	41.7%	0%		34.5%	-
Lights	0	216	9	1		226	0	0	0	0		0	12	228	0	0		240	5	0	14	0		19	-
Lights %	0%	83.7%	81.8%	100%		83.7%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	60%	80.3%	0%	0%		78.9%	100%	0%	58.3%	0%		65.5%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	24	1	0		25	0	0	0	0		0	4	33	0	0		37	0	0	5	0		5	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	0%	9.3%	9.1%	0%		9.3%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	20%	11.6%	0%	0%		12.2%	0%	0%	20.8%	0%		17.2%	-
Buses	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	-
Buses %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	18	1	0		19	1	0	0	0		1	4	23	0	0		27	0	0	5	0		5	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	7%	9.1%	0%		7%	100%	0%	0%	0%		100%	20%	8.1%	0%	0%		8.9%	0%	0%	20.8%	0%		17.2%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Pedestrians%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0		-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Bicycles on Road%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-



Peel Region 10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B - 4th Floor Brampton ON, Canada, L6T 4B9

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM Weather: Mostly Cloudy (15.8 °C)

Start Time			S	outhbou DLERAINE	nd E DR				Ρ	Westbou RIVATE A	und ACCESS				1 0	Northbou Oleraini	nd E DR				MAN	Eastbou	nd R COURT		Int. Total (15 min)
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
16:30:00	0	83	0	0	0	83	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	219	0	0	0	220	6	0	10	0	0	16	319
16:45:00	0	84	0	0	0	84	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	196	0	0	1	201	5	0	7	0	3	12	300
17:00:00	0	62	1	0	0	63	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	287	0	0	0	288	10	0	13	0	0	23	375
17:15:00	1	77	0	0	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	259	0	0	0	264	7	0	4	0	0	11	353
Grand Total	1	306	1	0	0	308	4	0	0	0	2	4	12	961	0	0	1	973	28	0	34	0	3	62	1347
Approach%	0.3%	99.4%	0.3%	0%		-	100%	0%	0%	0%		-	1.2%	98.8%	0%	0%		-	45.2%	0%	54.8%	0%		-	-
Totals %	0.1%	22.7%	0.1%	0%		22.9%	0.3%	0%	0%	0%		0.3%	0.9%	71.3%	0%	0%		72.2%	2.1%	0%	2.5%	0%		4.6%	-
PHF	0.25	0.91	0.25	0		0.92	0.33	0	0	0		0.33	0.6	0.84	0	0		0.84	0.7	0	0.65	0		0.67	
Heavy	0	54	0	0		54	0	0	0	0		0	8	42	0	0		50	0	0	8	0		8	-
Heavy %	0%	17.6%	0%	0%		17.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	66.7%	4.4%	0%	0%		5.1%	0%	0%	23.5%	0%		12.9%	-
Lights	1	252	1	0		254	4	0	0	0		4	4	919	0	0		923	28	0	26	0		54	-
Lights %	100%	82.4%	100%	0%		82.5%	100%	0%	0%	0%		100%	33.3%	95.6%	0%	0%		94.9%	100%	0%	76.5%	0%		87.1%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	40	0	0		40	0	0	0	0		0	4	29	0	0		33	0	0	2	0		2	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	0%	13.1%	0%	0%		13%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	33.3%	3%	0%	0%		3.4%	0%	0%	5.9%	0%		3.2%	-
Buses	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	-
Buses %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	14	0	0		14	0	0	0	0		0	4	13	0	0		17	0	0	6	0		6	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	4.6%	0%	0%		4.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	33.3%	1.4%	0%	0%		1.7%	0%	0%	17.6%	0%		9.7%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	2		-	-	-	-	1		-	-	-	-	2	-	-
Pedestrians%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	33.3%		-	-	-	-	16.7%		-	-	-	-	33.3%		-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	16.7%		-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Bicycles on Road%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-







Peel Region 10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B - 4th Floor Brampton ON, Canada, L6T 4B9







Company name:Trans-Plan Inc.Company address:24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, CanadaCompany phone:(647) 931-7383

Site:15007180Location:Coleraine Drive at Holland Drive, CaledonN/S Street:Coleraine DriveE/W Street:Holland DriveGPS Coordinates:43.864787, -79.742777Date:13 May 2015Day of week:WednesdayAnalyst(s):Muhammad Ali

VEHICLE TRAFFIC

Interval		South	Bound			West	Bound			North	Bound			East	Bound		Total
	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	
29/05/2015 07:00	26	161	0	187	9	0	20	29	0	38	4	42	0	0	0	0	258
29/05/2015 07:15	30	160	0	190	4	0	16	20	0	40	1	41	0	0	0	0	251
29/05/2015 07:30	37	137	0	174	3	0	11	14	0	27	4	31	0	0	0	0	219
29/05/2015 07:45	41	141	0	182	0	0	12	12	0	39	7	46	0	0	0	0	240
Hourly Total	134	599	0	733	16	0	59	75	0	144	16	160	0	0	0	0	968
29/05/2015 08:00	36	131	0	167	0	0	11	11	0	32	7	39	0	0	0	0	217
29/05/2015 08:15	47	108	0	155	5	0	7	12	0	31	10	41	0	0	0	0	208
29/05/2015 08:30	27	92	0	119	0	0	15	15	0	33	9	42	0	0	0	0	176
29/05/2015 08:45	41	76	0	117	2	0	10	12	0	42	10	52	0	0	0	0	181
Hourly Total	151	407	0	558	7	0	43	50	0	138	36	174	0	0	0	0	782
29/05/2015 09:00	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	7
29/05/2015 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	7
Grand Total	285	1009	0	1294	23	0	103	126	0	284	53	337	0	0	0	0	1757
Approach %	22	78	0	100	18	0	82	100	0	84	16	100	0	0	0	0	-
Total %	16	57	0	73	1	0	6	7	0	16	3	19	0	0	0	0	-

AM Peak Hour 7:00 AM - 8:00 AM

Vehicle Total	134	599	0	733	16	0	59	75	0	144	16	160	0	0	0	0	968
Car	119	542	0	661	13	0	49	62	0	102	16	118	0	0	0	0	841
Truck	15	57	0	72	3	0	10	13	0	41	0	41	0	0	0	0	126
Bicycle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

Interval		South	Bound			West	Bound			North	Bound			East	Bound		Total
	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	
13/05/2015 11:00	14	44	0	58	1	0	14	15	0	33	0	33	0	0	0	0	106
13/05/2015 11:15	20	51	0	71	0	0	19	19	0	39	2	41	0	0	0	0	131
13/05/2015 11:30	13	31	0	44	1	0	14	15	0	39	0	39	0	0	0	0	98
13/05/2015 11:45	11	51	0	62	6	0	16	22	0	59	1	60	0	0	0	0	144
Hourly Total	58	177	0	235	8	0	63	71	0	170	3	173	0	0	0	0	479
13/05/2015 12:00	13	65	0	78	2	0	30	32	0	53	2	55	0	0	0	0	165
13/05/2015 12:15	17	56	0	73	1	0	22	23	0	54	4	58	0	0	0	0	154
13/05/2015 12:30	8	30	0	38	2	0	35	37	0	41	1	42	0	0	0	0	117
13/05/2015 12:45	19	60	0	79	2	0	20	22	0	40	2	42	0	0	0	0	143
Hourly Total	57	211	0	268	7	0	107	114	0	188	9	197	0	0	0	0	579
13/05/2015 13:00	17	51	0	68	2	0	15	17	0	35	1	36	0	0	0	0	121
13/05/2015 13:15	17	34	0	51	3	0	22	25	0	45	0	45	0	0	0	0	121
13/05/2015 13:30	10	36	0	46	4	0	21	25	0	33	0	33	0	0	0	0	104
13/05/2015 13:45	27	38	0	65	4	0	22	26	0	54	3	57	0	0	0	0	148
Hourly Total	71	159	0	230	13	0	80	93	0	167	4	171	0	0	0	0	494
13/05/2015 14:00	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
13/05/2015 14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Grand Total	186	549	0	735	28	0	250	278	0	525	16	541	0	0	0	0	1554
Approach %	25	75	0	100	10	0	90	100	0	97	3	100	0	0	0	0	-
Total %	12	35	0	47	2	0	16	18	0	34	1	35	0	0	0	0	-
Middless Deels Llasse 4				1		L	L	1	1	1						1	

Midday Peak Hour 11:45 AM - 12:45 PM

Vehicle Total	49	202	0	251	11	0	103	114	0	207	8	215	0	0	0	0	580
Car	44	167	0	211	5	0	90	95	0	156	6	162	0	0	0	0	468
Truck	5	35	0	40	6	0	13	19	0	51	2	53	0	0	0	0	112
Bicycle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Interval		South	Bound			West	Bound			North	Bound			East	Bound		Total
	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	Left	Thru	Right	B. Total	
13/05/2015 15:00	15	74	0	89	5	0	44	49	0	65	0	65	0	0	0	0	203
13/05/2015 15:15	23	46	0	69	4	0	29	33	0	72	1	73	0	0	0	0	175
13/05/2015 15:30	21	58	0	79	3	0	52	55	0	93	2	95	0	0	0	0	229
13/05/2015 15:45	29	48	0	77	2	0	35	37	0	81	4	85	0	0	0	0	199
Hourly Total	88	226	0	314	14	0	160	174	0	311	7	318	0	0	0	0	806
13/05/2015 16:00	28	74	0	102	9	0	68	77	0	119	2	121	0	0	0	0	300
13/05/2015 16:15	29	57	0	86	1	0	48	49	0	102	5	107	0	0	0	0	242
13/05/2015 16:30	18	48	0	66	14	0	58	72	0	101	0	101	0	0	0	0	239
13/05/2015 16:45	29	43	0	72	5	0	76	81	0	118	3	121	0	0	0	0	274
Hourly Total	104	222	0	326	29	0	250	279	0	440	10	450	0	0	0	0	1055
13/05/2015 17:00	16	54	0	70	6	0	82	88	0	118	3	121	0	0	0	0	279
13/05/2015 17:15	19	47	0	66	6	0	72	78	0	139	1	140	0	0	0	0	284
13/05/2015 17:30	19	61	0	80	10	0	66	76	0	145	4	149	0	0	0	0	305
13/05/2015 17:45	25	56	0	81	5	0	63	68	0	112	2	114	0	0	0	0	263
Hourly Total	79	218	0	297	27	0	283	310	0	514	10	524	0	0	0	0	1131
13/05/2015 18:00	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	13
13/05/2015 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	13
Grand Total	272	666	0	938	70	0	694	764	0	1276	27	1303	0	0	0	0	3005
Approach %	29	71	0	100	9	0	91	100	0	98	2	100	0	0	0	0	-
Total %	9	22	0	31	2	0	23	25	0	42	1	43	0	0	0	0	_
PM Peak Hour 4:45	PM - 5:45 P	M	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1

Vehicle Total Car Truck Bicycle

0	0	0	0	1142
0	0	0	0	1032
0	0	0	0	109
0	0	0	0	1

PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

			North East	-		North West			South West			Total		
		Left	Right	Total	Left	Right	Total	Left	Right	Total	Left	Right	Total	
29/05	05/2015 07:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29/05	05/2015 07:15:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
29/05	05/2015 07:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29/05	05/2015 07:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Hourly Total	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
29/05	05/2015 08:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29/05	05/2015 08:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29/05	05/2015 08:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29/05	05/2015 08:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29/05	05/2015 09:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29/05	05/2015 09:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(Grand Total	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
AM Peak H	Hour 7:00 AM - 8:0	00 AM												
F	Pedestrians	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
13/05	05/2015 11:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 11:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 11:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 11:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ŀ	Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 12:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 12:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
13/05	05/2015 12:30:00	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
13/05	05/2015 12:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ŀ	Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	4
13/05	05/2015 13:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 13:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 13:30:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 13:45:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 14:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13/05	05/2015 14:15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(Grand Total	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	4
Midday Pea	eak Hour 11:45 AN	M - 12:45 PM												
F	Pedestrians	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	4

No pedestrian crossing for PM.

TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive	Count Date:	13/05/2015
East/West Street:	Holland Drive	Count Period:	AM, Noon, PM
GPS Coordinates:	43.864787, -79.742777	Peak Period:	7:00 AM - 8:00 AM, 11:45
Site Number:	15007180	Major Road:	Coleraine Drive
Control:	Non signalized	Surveyor:	Muhammad Ali



5 AM - 12:45 PM, 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM

City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive	Count Date:	13/05/2015
East/West Street:	Holland Drive	Count Period:	АМ
GPS Coordinates:	43.864787, -79.742777	Peak Period:	7:00 AM - 8:00 AM
Site Number:	15007180	Major Road:	Coleraine Drive
Control:	Non signalized	Surveyor:	Muhammad Ali





City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive	Count Date:	13/05/2015
East/West Street:	Holland Drive	Count Period:	Noon
GPS Coordinates:	43.864787, -79.742777	Peak Period:	11:45 AM - 12:45 PM
Site Number:	15007180	Major Road:	Coleraine Drive
Control:	Non signalized	Surveyor:	Muhammad Ali





City:	Caledon	Weather:	Partly Cloudy
North/South Street:	Coleraine Drive	Count Date:	13/05/2015
East/West Street:	Holland Drive	Count Period:	РМ
GPS Coordinates:	43.864787, -79.742777	Peak Period:	4:45 PM - 5:45 PM
Site Number:	15007180	Major Road:	Coleraine Drive
Control:	Non signalized	Surveyor:	Muhammad Ali





TMC chart data



Total Traffic at Peak Hour













Total Approach

Total Approach at Peak Hour





Page 9

NOTES & IMAGES





Coleraine Drive South Leg

Coleraine Drive North Leg



Halland Drive East Leg









APPENDIX B

Synchro/SimTraffic Outputs



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

2017 Existing Conditions Timing Plan: Weekday AM Peak

	٨	-	7	1	+	×.	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		et.	1	5	+	7	7	**	7	5	*b	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	96	364	201	35	186	43	107	61	137	368	5
Future Volume (vph)	4	96	364	201	35	186	43	107	61	137	368	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1659	1568	1675	1812	1601	1691	2874	1526	1725	3225	
Flt Permitted		0.99	1.00	0.63	1.00	1.00	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.63	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1641	1568	1106	1812	1601	928	2874	1526	1144	3225	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.83	0.95	0.88	0.58	0.83	0.83	0.79	0.85	0.84	0.98	0.63
Adj. Flow (vph)	8	116	383	228	60	224	52	135	72	163	376	8
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	250	0	0	121	0	0	48	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	124	133	228	60	103	52	135	24	163	383	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	25%	8%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	27%	7%	0%	13%	4%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Actuated Green, G (s)		37.9	37.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	40.2	36.3	36.3	44.4	38.4	
Effective Green, g (s)		37.9	37.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	40.2	36.3	36.3	44.4	38.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.35	0.35	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.37	0.33	0.33	0.41	0.35	
Clearance Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		571	545	553	830	733	369	958	508	498	1137	
v/s Ratio Prot				c0.03	0.03		0.01	0.05		c0.02	c0.12	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.08	0.09	c0.15		0.06	0.05		0.02	0.12		
v/c Ratio		0.22	0.24	0.41	0.07	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.05	0.33	0.34	
Uniform Delay, d1		25.0	25.3	18.6	16.5	17.1	22.4	25.4	24.6	21.3	25.9	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.9	1.1	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.8	
Delay (s)		25.9	26.4	19.1	16.7	17.5	22.5	25.5	24.6	21.7	26.7	
Level of Service		С	С	В	В	В	С	С	С	С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		26.3			18.1			24.6			25.2	
Approach LOS		С			В			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			23.4	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.40									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			108.9	Sum of lost time (s)				19.7				
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		61.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service	e		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Station Road & King Street West

	٨		\mathbf{r}	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	1.		٦	1.		7	1.		1	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	287	5	9	377	3	3	4	25	18	9	42
Future Volume (vph)	2	287	5	9	377	3	3	4	25	18	9	42
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.89		1.00	0.87	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1801	1820		1805	1840		1805	1693		1805	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.49	1.00		0.54	1.00		0.52	1.00		0.73	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	925	1820		1027	1840		993	1693		1384	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.80	0.42	0.50	0.82	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.78	0.64	0.38	0.25
Adj. Flow (vph)	4	359	12	18	460	8	8	12	32	28	24	168
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	147	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	4	370	0	18	468	0	8	16	0	28	45	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			2			4			4	
Permitted Phases	2			2			4			4		
Actuated Green, G (s)	50.8	50.8		50.8	50.8		9.1	9.1		9.1	9.1	
Effective Green, g (s)	50.8	50.8		50.8	50.8		9.1	9.1		9.1	9.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.70	0.70		0.70	0.70		0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	643	1266		714	1280		123	211		172	202	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.20			c0.25			0.01			c0.03	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.00			0.02			0.01			0.02		
v/c Ratio	0.01	0.29		0.03	0.37		0.07	0.08		0.16	0.22	
Uniform Delay, d1	3.4	4.2		3.4	4.5		28.2	28.2		28.5	28.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	0.6		0.1	0.8		0.2	0.2		0.4	0.6	
Delay (s)	3.4	4.8		3.5	5.3		28.4	28.4		29.0	29.3	
Level of Service	А	А		А	А		С	С		С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		4.8			5.3			28.4			29.3	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			10.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.34									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			73.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			13.1			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		38.6%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

	٠		-	•	5	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷.	1.		Y	
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	147	71	11	69	17
Future Volume (vph)	1	147	71	11	69	17
Peak Hour Factor	0.25	0.84	0.77	0.39	0.72	0.71
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	175	92	28	96	24
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	179	120	120			
Volume Left (vph)	4	0	96			
Volume Right (vph)	0	28	24			
Hadj (s)	0.04	-0.13	0.09			
Departure Headway (s)	4.4	4.3	4.7			
Degree Utilization, x	0.22	0.14	0.16			
Capacity (veh/h)	799	803	726			
Control Delay (s)	8.6	8.0	8.5			
Approach Delay (s)	8.6	8.0	8.5			
Approach LOS	А	А	А			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			8.4			
Level of Service			А			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		20.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	1	×.	Ť	1	1	ţ			
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT			
Lane Configurations	Y		† Ъ		7	* *			
Traffic Volume (vph)	47	42	148	27	121	870			
Future Volume (vph)	47	42	148	27	121	870			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900			
Total Lost time (s)	6.5		7.7		5.3	5.3			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		0.95		1.00	0.95			
Frt	0.94		0.97		1.00	1.00			
Flt Protected	0.97		1.00		0.95	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1651		2773		1787	3471			
Flt Permitted	0.97		1.00		0.62	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1651		2773		1160	3471			
Peak-hour factor. PHF	0.73	0.88	0.84	0.68	0.82	0.83			
Adj. Flow (vph)	64	48	176	40	148	1048			
RTOR Reduction (vph)	42	0	13	0	0	0			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	70	0	203	0	148	1048			
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	10%	31%	7%	1%	4%			
Turn Type	Prot		NA	. , •	Perm	NA			
Protected Phases	8		2			6			
Permitted Phases	Ū		_		6	J			
Actuated Green, G (s)	8.1		44.5		46.9	46.9			
Effective Green g (s)	8.1		44.5		46.9	46.9			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12		0.67		0.70	0.70			
Clearance Time (s)	6.5		77		53	53			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0			
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	200		1847		814	2436			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0 04		0.07		U I-T	c0.30			
v/s Ratio Perm	00.04		0.01		0 13	00.00			
v/c Ratio	0.35		0.11		0.18	0.43			
Uniform Delay d1	26.9		4 0		34	4.2			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00		1 00	1.00			
Incremental Delay d2	1 1		0.1		0.5	0.6			
Delay (s)	28.0		4 1		3.9	4.8			
Level of Service	_0.0 C		A		A	Α			
Approach Delay (s)	28.0		4.1		7.	4.7			
Approach LOS	C		A			A			
Intersection Summary									
HCM 2000 Control Delav			6.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of Serv	ice	A	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	tv ratio		0.44					<i>/</i> 、	
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	, .		66.8	S	um of los	t time (s)		14.2	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		39,1%		CU Level	of Service		A	
Analysis Period (min)			15						
c Critical Lane Group									

	*	٩	Ť	1	1	ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		4 12		٦	**
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	64	25	186	4	6	927
Future Volume (Veh/h)	64	25	186	4	6	927
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.89	0.85	0.33	0.38	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	100	28	219	12	16	1066
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			TWLTL
Median storage veh)						2
Upstream signal (m)			120			300
pX, platoon unblocked	0.95					
vC, conflicting volume	790	116			231	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	225					
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	565					
vCu, unblocked vol	664	116			231	
tC, single (s)	6.8	7.0			4.8	
tC, 2 stage (s)	5.8					
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.5	
p0 queue free %	81	97			99	
cM capacity (veh/h)	539	909			1136	
Direction. Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3
Volume Total	128	146	85	16	533	533
Volume Left	100	0	0	16	0	0
Volume Right	28	0	12	0	0	0
cSH	592	1700	1700	1136	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.22	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.31	0.31
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	12.8	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	12.0 B	0.0	0.0	Δ.2	0.0	0.0
Approach Delay (s)	12.8	0.0		01		
Approach LOS	B	0.0		0.1		
Interpretion Commence	2					
Intersection Summary			4.0			
Average Delay			1.2	10		- 1 0 - 1
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		31.4%	IC	U Level	or Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: Coleraine Drive

	3		-	5		►_	\searrow	×	4	*	×	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations		1			1			^			^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	917	0	0	175	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	917	0	0	175	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)								4.5			4.5	
Lane Util. Factor								0.95			0.95	
Frt								1.00			1.00	
Flt Protected								1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)								3539			3539	
Flt Permitted								1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)								3539			3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	997	0	0	190	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	997	0	0	190	0
Turn Type								NA			NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			6			2	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)								56.5			56.5	
Effective Green, g (s)								56.5			56.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio								0.72			0.72	
Clearance Time (s)								4.5			4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)								3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)								2534			2534	
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.28			0.05	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio								0.39			0.07	
Uniform Delay, d1								4.4			3.4	
Progression Factor								1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2								0.5			0.1	
Delay (s)								4.9			3.4	
Level of Service								A			A	
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			0.0			4.9			3.4	
Approach LOS		A			A			A			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			4.7	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	/ ratio		0.32									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			78.9	S	um of lost	time (s)			9.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		29.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Summary of All Intervals

Dura Nurah an	4	0	2	4	-	A	
Run Number	1	2	3	4	5	Avg	
Start Time	6:30	6:30	6:30	6:30	6:30	6:30	
End Time	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	
Total Time (min)	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60	60	60	
# of Intervals	2	2	2	2	2	2	
# of Recorded Intervals	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Vehs Entered	1933	2051	1927	2006	1968	1978	
Vehs Exited	1913	2050	1922	1987	1967	1966	
Starting Vehs	71	56	79	54	71	64	
Ending Vehs	91	57	84	73	72	75	
Travel Distance (km)	2936	3125	2920	3078	3035	3019	
Travel Time (hr)	70.1	85.9	79.5	76.9	79.2	78.3	
Total Delay (hr)	14.0	26.8	23.9	18.5	21.2	20.9	
Total Stops	1790	2334	2091	1947	2015	2038	
Fuel Used (I)	229.3	257.6	238.6	243.1	244.6	242.7	

Interval #0 Information Seeding

Start Time	6:30		
End Time	7:00		
Total Time (min)	30		
Volumes adjusted by Gro	wth Factors.		
No data recorded this inte	erval.		

Interval #1 Information Recording

Start Time	7:00
End Time	8:00
Total Time (min)	60

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

Run Number	1	2	3	4	5	Avg	
Vehs Entered	1933	2051	1927	2006	1968	1978	
Vehs Exited	1913	2050	1922	1987	1967	1966	
Starting Vehs	71	56	79	54	71	64	
Ending Vehs	91	57	84	73	72	75	
Travel Distance (km)	2936	3125	2920	3078	3035	3019	
Travel Time (hr)	70.1	85.9	79.5	76.9	79.2	78.3	
Total Delay (hr)	14.0	26.8	23.9	18.5	21.2	20.9	
Total Stops	1790	2334	2091	1947	2015	2038	
Fuel Used (I)	229.3	257.6	238.6	243.1	244.6	242.7	

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	LT	R	L	Т	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	36.3	56.4	47.6	17.6	28.4	34.8	31.7	21.2	45.4	55.2	51.9	
Average Queue (m)	14.7	26.2	22.7	2.6	9.9	14.6	10.8	8.0	19.9	26.3	28.2	
95th Queue (m)	30.0	45.6	44.4	9.9	23.4	30.8	25.9	17.3	37.1	43.6	45.3	
Link Distance (m)	235.7			487.5		280.3	280.3			906.1	906.1	
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0		58.0			35.0	70.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)	6	14	0				0			0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	21	14	0				0			0		

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	3.1	37.2	8.9	45.8	8.8	13.1	14.7	20.7
Average Queue (m)	0.2	10.8	1.0	15.3	0.7	5.2	4.0	7.2
95th Queue (m)	2.0	27.4	5.6	34.1	4.6	12.5	11.4	16.3
Link Distance (m)		487.5		233.9		652.1		216.0
Upstream Blk Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0		75.0		28.0		30.0	
Storage Blk Time (%)		1						0
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0						0

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LR
Maximum Queue (m)	20.8	18.7	20.1
Average Queue (m)	12.3	9.3	9.8
95th Queue (m)	19.1	15.3	15.2
Link Distance (m)	499.4	192.0	652.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Intersection: 4: Coleraine Drive & Old Ellwood Drive

Movement	WB	NR	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	I	IR	L		
Maximum Queue (m)	39.1	16.9	16.2	70.6	108.4	112.7
Average Queue (m)	9.2	3.5	4.0	12.5	29.2	34.0
95th Queue (m)	26.5	11.4	12.3	40.2	96.3	100.4
Link Distance (m)	499.4	0.5	0.5		104.7	104.7
Upstream Blk Time (%)		3	2		7	8
Queuing Penalty (veh)		3	2		35	39
Storage Bay Dist (m)				20.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)				1	11	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				3	14	

Intersection: 5: Coleraine Drive & Ellwood Drive West

\//D	CD	CD	CD
VVD	30	SD	30
LR	L	Т	Т
43.6	18.4	109.8	116.7
13.8	0.6	12.0	13.3
31.2	9.3	73.8	78.4
268.5		280.3	280.3
	60.0		
	0	4	
	•	•	
	WB LR 43.6 13.8 31.2 268.5	WB SB LR L 43.6 18.4 13.8 0.6 31.2 9.3 268.5	WB SB SB LR L T 43.6 18.4 109.8 13.8 0.6 12.0 31.2 9.3 73.8 268.5 280.3 60.0 0 4 4

Intersection: 6: Coleraine Drive

Movement	SE	SE	NW	NW
Directions Served	Т	Т	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	8.9	5.8	30.5	31.7
Average Queue (m)	1.2	0.8	3.0	2.9
95th Queue (m)	5.9	4.2	17.7	18.0
Link Distance (m)	0.5	0.5	739.2	739.2
Upstream Blk Time (%)	9	9		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	39	40		
Storage Bay Dist (m)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 209

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

2017 Existing Conditions Timing Plan: Weekday PM Peak

	٠	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		é.	1	1	+	1	5	**	1	7	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	105	148	55	94	189	363	543	164	164	133	9
Future Volume (vph)	6	105	148	55	94	189	363	543	164	164	133	9
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected		0.99	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1805	1615	1725	1921	1593	1725	3411	1581	1707	2986	
Flt Permitted		0.96	1.00	0.61	1.00	1.00	0.59	1.00	1.00	0.42	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1748	1615	1101	1921	1593	1070	3411	1581	757	2986	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.38	0.80	0.80	0.86	0.78	0.84	0.95	0.91	0.76	0.80	0.90	0.32
Adi, Flow (vph)	16	131	185	64	121	225	382	597	216	205	148	28
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	125	0	0	144	0	0	110	0	12	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	147	60	64	121	81	382	597	106	205	164	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2			•.		2			1	1		J
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	7%	1%	1%	23%	0%
	Perm	NA	Perm	nm+nt	NA	Perm	nm+nt	NA	Perm	nm+nt	NA	
Protected Phases	T CITI	8	T CITI	7	4	i cim	1	6	T CITI	5	2	
Permitted Phases	8	U	8	4	т	4	6	Ū	6	2	L	
Actuated Green G (s)	Ū	31.9	31.9	39.6	39.6	39.6	56 1	41.8	41.8	45.8	34 5	
Effective Green g (s)		31.9	31.9	39.6	39.6	39.6	56.1	41.8	41.8	45.8	34.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.29	0.29	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.51	0.38	0.38	0.42	0.32	
Clearance Time (s)		7 1	7 1	3.0	7 1	7 1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grn Can (ynh)		509	470	425	695	576	660	1303	604	415	941	
v/s Ratio Prot		000	10	0.01	c0.06	570	c0 10	0.18	004	0.05	0.05	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0 08	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.05	c0.10	0.10	0.07	0.00	0.05	
v/c Ratio		0.20	0.04	0.05	0 17	0.05	0.58	0.46	0.07	0.10	0 17	
Uniform Delay, d1		30.0	28.5	23.2	23.8	23.5	16.7	25.3	22.4	21.0	27.1	
Progression Factor		1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.00	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.5	1.00	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.4	
Delay (s)		31.4	29.1	23.3	24.3	24.0	18.0	25.6	22.5	21.9	27.5	
Level of Service		с.	23.1	20.0 C	24.0 C	24.0 C	10.0 R	20.0 C	22.0 C	21.5 C	27.5 C	
Approach Delay (s)		30.1	U	U	24.0	U	U	22.6	U	U	24 5	
Approach LOS		C			24.0 C			22.0 C			24.0 C	
Intersection Summarv												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			24.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	tv ratio		0.47		000				J			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			109.4	S	um of los	t time (s)			19.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		62.4%		ULevel	of Service	9		B			
Analysis Period (min)			15				-					
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Station Road & King Street West

	٠		\mathbf{r}	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	1.		7	1.		٦	1		1	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	389	12	29	313	19	11	15	28	9	5	14
Future Volume (vph)	32	389	12	29	313	19	11	15	28	9	5	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.90	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1803	1848		1805	1783		1805	1710		1805	1710	
Flt Permitted	0.54	1.00		0.51	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.72	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1028	1848		973	1783		1394	1710		1364	1710	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.94	0.75	0.56	0.93	0.59	0.55	0.75	0.70	0.56	0.42	0.58
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	414	16	52	337	32	20	20	40	16	12	24
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	37	0	0	22	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	429	0	52	367	0	20	23	0	16	14	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						-
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	8%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			2			4			4	
Permitted Phases	2			2			4			4		
Actuated Green, G (s)	58.8	58.8		58.8	58.8		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	58.8	58.8		58.8	58.8		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.75	0.75		0.75	0.75		0.08	0.08		0.08	0.08	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	770	1384		728	1335		117	143		114	143	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.23			0.21			0.01			0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04			0.05			c0.01			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.31		0.07	0.27		0.17	0.16		0.14	0.10	
Uniform Delay, d1	2.6	3.2		2.6	3.1		33.4	33.4		33.3	33.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1	0.6		0.2	0.5		0.7	0.5		0.6	0.3	
Delay (s)	2.7	3.8		2.8	3.6		34.1	33.9		33.9	33.5	
Level of Service	А	А		А	А		С	С		С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		3.7			3.5			34.0			33.6	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			75	Ц	CM 2000	Level of 9	Service		Δ			
HCM 2000 Volume to Canacity	v ratio		0.30	11	2000	2010101						
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	, 1010		78.5	¢,		time (s)			13.1			
Intersection Canacity Utilization	n		44.8%			of Service			Δ			
Analysis Period (min)			15						п			

	۶	→		•	4	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷	Ţ.		Y	
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	15	145	243	113	56	5
Future Volume (vph)	15	145	243	113	56	5
Peak Hour Factor	0.63	0.76	0.86	0.94	0.78	0.42
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	191	283	120	72	12
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	215	403	84			
Volume Left (vph)	24	0	72			
Volume Right (vph)	0	120	12			
Hadj (s)	0.02	-0.15	0.09			
Departure Headway (s)	4.6	4.2	5.3			
Degree Utilization, x	0.27	0.47	0.12			
Capacity (veh/h)	760	829	598			
Control Delay (s)	9.3	11.0	9.1			
Approach Delay (s)	9.3	11.0	9.1			
Approach LOS	А	В	А			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			10.2			
Level of Service			В			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		30.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	1	٩	Ť	1	1	Ļ			
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT			
Lane Configurations	¥		4 14		5	**			
Traffic Volume (vph)	23	224	892	73	88	245			
Future Volume (vph)	23	224	892	73	88	245			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900			
Total Lost time (s)	6.5		7.7		5.3	5.3			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		0.95		1.00	0.95			
Frt	0.88		0.99		1.00	1.00			
Flt Protected	1.00		1.00		0.95	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1654		3435		1805	3139			
Flt Permitted	1.00		1.00		0.21	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1654		3435		400	3139			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.72	0.77	0.86	0.76	0.76	0.97			
Adj. Flow (vph)	32	291	1037	96	116	253			
RTOR Reduction (vph)	64	0	9	0	0	0			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	259	0	1124	0	116	253			
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	0%	4%	1%	0%	15%			
Turn Type	Prot		NA		Perm	NA			
Protected Phases	8		2			6			
Permitted Phases	-		_		6	-			
Actuated Green, G (s)	14.4		37.7		40.1	40.1			
Effective Green, a (s)	14.4		37.7		40.1	40.1			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22		0.57		0.60	0.60			
Clearance Time (s)	6.5		7.7		5.3	5.3			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	359		1953		241	1898			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.16		c0.33			0.08			
v/s Ratio Perm					0.29				
v/c Ratio	0.72		0.58		0.48	0.13			
Uniform Delay, d1	24.1		9.2		7.3	5.6			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	7.0		1.2		6.7	0.1			
Delay (s)	31.1		10.4		14.0	5.8			
Level of Service	С		В		В	А			
Approach Delay (s)	31.1		10.4			8.4			
Approach LOS	С		В			А			
Intersection Summary									
HCM 2000 Control Delay			13.7	H	CM 2000	Level of Servi	ce	В	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	ity ratio		0.62						
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			66.3	S	um of los	t time (s)		14.2	
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		63.2%	IC	CU Level	of Service		В	
Analysis Period (min)			15						
c Critical Lane Group									

	1	A.	Ť	1	1	ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		† Ъ		3	**
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	18	16	1054	62	21	315
Future Volume (Veh/h)	18	16	1054	62	21	315
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.80	0.91	0.91	0.75	0.96
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	20	1158	68	28	328
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			TWLTL
Median storage veh)						2
Upstream signal (m)			120			300
pX, platoon unblocked	0.81	0.81			0.81	
vC, conflicting volume	1412	613			1226	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	1192					
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	220					
vCu, unblocked vol	1032	42			801	
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)	5.8					
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	93	98			96	
cM capacity (veh/h)	328	828			670	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3
Volume Total	44	772	454	28	164	164
Volume Left	24	0	0	28	0	0
Volume Right	20	0	68	0	0	0
cSH	452	1700	1700	670	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.10	0.45	0.27	0.04	0.10	0.10
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	13.8	0.0	0.0	10.6	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	В			В		
Approach Delay (s)	13.8	0.0		0.8		
Approach LOS	В					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.6			
Intersection Canacity Litilize	ation		<u>41 1%</u>	IC		of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10		

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: Coleraine Drive

	3		-	5	+	*_	\searrow	×	4	*	ĸ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations		1			1			*			**	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	268	0	0	965	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	268	0	0	965	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)								4.5			4.5	
Lane Util. Factor								0.95			0.95	
Frt								1.00			1.00	
Flt Protected								1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)								3539			3539	
Flt Permitted								1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)								3539			3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	291	0	0	1049	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	291	0	0	1049	0
Turn Type								NA			NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			6			2	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)								56.5			56.5	
Effective Green, g (s)								56.5			56.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio								0.72			0.72	
Clearance Time (s)								4.5			4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)								3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)								2534			2534	
v/s Ratio Prot								0.08			c0.30	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio								0.11			0.41	
Uniform Delay, d1								3.5			4.5	
Progression Factor								1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2								0.1			0.5	
Delay (s)								3.6			5.0	
Level of Service								А			А	
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			0.0			3.6			5.0	
Approach LOS		A			A			A			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			4.7	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	y ratio		0.33									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			78.9	Si	um of lost	time (s)			9.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		30.4%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Summary of All Intervals

		•	•		_		
Run Number	1	2	3	4	5	Avg	
Start Time	6:30	6:30	6:30	6:30	6:30	6:30	
End Time	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	
Total Time (min)	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60	60	60	
# of Intervals	2	2	2	2	2	2	
# of Recorded Intervals	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Vehs Entered	2397	2490	2465	2383	2432	2430	
Vehs Exited	2414	2497	2494	2381	2421	2441	
Starting Vehs	88	98	149	92	77	98	
Ending Vehs	71	91	120	94	88	91	
Travel Distance (km)	3616	3793	3753	3623	3714	3700	
Travel Time (hr)	93.9	98.3	94.6	90.7	96.7	94.8	
Total Delay (hr)	23.5	24.4	21.2	19.9	24.6	22.7	
Total Stops	2750	2869	2735	2667	2843	2770	
Fuel Used (I)	289.1	302.0	299.3	288.4	299.1	295.6	

Interval #0 Information Seeding

Start Time	6:30
End Time	7:00
Total Time (min)	30
Volumes adjusted by Gro	owth Factors.
No data recorded this int	terval.

Interval #1 Information Recording

Start Time	7:00
End Time	8:00
Total Time (min)	60

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

Run Number	1	2	3	4	5	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2397	2490	2465	2383	2432	2430	
Vehs Exited	2414	2497	2494	2381	2421	2441	
Starting Vehs	88	98	149	92	77	98	
Ending Vehs	71	91	120	94	88	91	
Travel Distance (km)	3616	3793	3753	3623	3714	3700	
Travel Time (hr)	93.9	98.3	94.6	90.7	96.7	94.8	
Total Delay (hr)	23.5	24.4	21.2	19.9	24.6	22.7	
Total Stops	2750	2869	2735	2667	2843	2770	
Fuel Used (I)	289.1	302.0	299.3	288.4	299.1	295.6	

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	LT	R	L	Т	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	45.7	22.3	28.6	28.8	57.8	109.8	83.5	30.9	46.9	30.3	33.7	
Average Queue (m)	16.8	10.9	8.1	10.2	42.6	37.9	36.2	12.9	23.7	15.2	13.5	
95th Queue (m)	34.0	18.5	20.0	23.6	64.0	74.1	61.1	23.9	38.5	28.8	28.8	
Link Distance (m)	235.7			487.5		280.3	280.3			906.1	906.1	
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0		58.0			35.0	70.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)	9	0			3	1	9	0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	13	1			8	5	15	0				

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	10.4	49.0	16.2	39.1	9.1	19.7	11.2	10.1
Average Queue (m)	3.2	15.5	3.7	13.1	2.6	6.5	2.5	3.6
95th Queue (m)	9.7	37.5	11.8	29.7	9.1	15.5	8.8	10.5
Link Distance (m)		487.5		233.9		652.1		216.0
Upstream Blk Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0		75.0		28.0		30.0	
Storage Blk Time (%)		2						
Queuing Penalty (veh)		1						

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LR
Maximum Queue (m)	17.8	34.1	16.2
Average Queue (m)	11.7	16.8	8.5
95th Queue (m)	17.6	25.4	14.5
Link Distance (m)	500.7	192.0	652.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 4: Coleraine Drive & Old Ellwood Drive

Movement	\//D	ND	ND	CD	CD	CD
wovernent	VVD	IND	IND	30	30	SD
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	L	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	45.0	24.1	19.1	31.2	33.9	36.7
Average Queue (m)	17.7	10.4	13.5	12.8	7.1	10.9
95th Queue (m)	33.3	17.6	19.9	25.2	22.8	25.3
Link Distance (m)	500.7	1.0	1.0		104.7	104.7
Upstream Blk Time (%)		21	19			
Queuing Penalty (veh)		102	93			
Storage Bay Dist (m)				20.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)				4	2	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				5	2	

Intersection: 5: Coleraine Drive & Ellwood Drive West

Movement	WB	SB
Directions Served	LR	L
Maximum Queue (m)	19.1	11.6
Average Queue (m)	6.9	3.3
95th Queue (m)	15.1	10.7
Link Distance (m)	268.5	
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (m)		60.0
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 6: Coleraine Drive

Movement	SE	SE	NW	NW
Directions Served	Т	Т	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	4.0	4.4	135.2	138.3
Average Queue (m)	0.2	0.3	25.8	24.5
95th Queue (m)	2.4	2.2	86.7	88.3
Link Distance (m)	1.0	1.0	711.8	711.8
Upstream Blk Time (%)	3	3		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	4	4		
Storage Bay Dist (m)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 252

Timings 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

	٨		7	*	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		ŧ	1	7	1	1	7	**	1	7	↑ ₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	96	364	516	35	431	43	215	91	299	944	
Future Volume (vph)	4	96	364	516	35	431	43	215	91	299	944	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Detector Phase	8	8	8	7	4	4	1	6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	41.1	41.1	41.1	8.0	41.1	41.1	8.0	37.6	37.6	8.0	37.6	
Total Split (s)	41.1	41.1	41.1	24.0	65.1	65.1	8.0	39.9	39.9	15.0	46.9	
Total Split (%)	34.3%	34.3%	34.3%	20.0%	54.3%	54.3%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%	12.5%	39.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	Max	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 120												

Cycle Length: 120 Actuated Cycle Length: 118.4 Natural Cycle: 95 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord

Splits and Phases: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

101	Ø2	◆ Ø4		
8s 46,	9 s	65.1s		
₩ø5		\$ Ø7	A 28	
15 s	39.9 s	24 s	41.1 s	

Timings 2: Station Road & King Street West

	٨	-	1	-	1	Ť	1	ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	7	1.	٦	f)	٦	4	٦	t,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	479	9	937	3	5	18	9	
Future Volume (vph)	2	479	9	937	3	5	18	9	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		2		4		4	
Permitted Phases	2		2		4		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	27.1	27.1	27.1	27.1	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	
Total Split (s)	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 80.6	5								
Natural Cycle: 100									
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	oord								
Splits and Phases: 2: Stat	tion Road a	& King St	reet West						
\$ ₀₂								104	

Ø2

Timings 4: Coleraine Drive & Old Ellwood Drive

	1	t	1	ţ
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y	1	7	^
Traffic Volume (vph)	89	269	230	1652
Future Volume (vph)	89	269	230	1652
Turn Type	Prot	NA	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8	2	1	6
Permitted Phases			6	
Detector Phase	8	2	1	6
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.5	25.7	9.5	23.6
Total Split (s)	24.5	40.3	15.2	55.5
Total Split (%)	30.6%	50.4%	19.0%	69.4%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	3.8	1.0	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	7.7	4.5	5.3
Lead/Lag		Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?		Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	Max	None	Max
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 80				
Actuated Cycle Length: 75.1				
Natural Cycle: 80				
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord			

Splits and Phases: 4: Coleraine Drive & Old Ellwood Drive



	×	×		
Lane Group	SET	NWT	Ø4	Ø8
Lane Configurations	^	11		
Traffic Volume (vph)	1741	349		
Future Volume (vph)	1741	349		
Turn Type	NA	NA		
Protected Phases	6	2	4	8
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase	6	2		
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	195.0	195.0
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5	199.5	199.5
Total Split (s)	25.5	25.5	204.5	199.5
Total Split (%)	11.1%	11.1%	89%	87%
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	4.5	4.5		
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	Max	Max	None	None
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 230				
Cycle Length: 230 Actuated Cycle Length: 77.	4			
Cycle Length: 230 Actuated Cycle Length: 77. Natural Cycle: 225	4			

Splits and Phases: 6: Coleraine Drive

× @2	
25.5 s	204.5 s
¥ Ø6	<i>€</i> Ø8
25.5 s	199.5 s

Cima Canada Inc. B000738 - CP Grade Separation EA

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

	٨	+	7	4	-	•	1	Ť	1	4	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	1	7	1	1	7	^	1	7	†]-	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	96	364	516	35	431	43	215	91	299	944	5
Future Volume (vph)	4	96	364	516	35	431	43	215	91	299	944	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1659	1568	1675	1812	1601	1691	2874	1526	1725	3228	
Flt Permitted		0.98	1.00	0.62	1.00	1.00	0.14	1.00	1.00	0.52	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1639	1568	1097	1812	1601	257	2874	1526	944	3228	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.83	0.95	0.88	0.58	0.83	0.83	0.79	0.85	0.84	0.98	0.63
Adj. Flow (vph)	8	116	383	586	60	519	52	272	107	356	963	8
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	109	0	0	242	0	0	78	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	124	274	586	60	277	52	272	29	356	970	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	25%	8%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	27%	7%	0%	13%	4%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Actuated Green, G (s)		34.0	34.0	58.0	58.0	58.0	36.1	32.2	32.2	47.2	40.3	
Effective Green, g (s)		34.0	34.0	58.0	58.0	58.0	36.1	32.2	32.2	47.2	40.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.29	0.29	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.30	0.27	0.27	0.40	0.34	
Clearance Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		468	448	637	883	780	125	778	413	453	1094	
v/s Ratio Prot				c0.16	0.03		0.01	0.09		c0.08	c0.30	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.08	0.18	c0.29		0.17	0.11		0.02	0.23		
v/c Ratio		0.26	0.61	0.92	0.07	0.36	0.42	0.35	0.07	0.79	0.89	
Uniform Delay, d1		32.8	36.7	26.0	16.1	18.9	31.0	34.9	32.2	29.3	37.1	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.4	6.1	18.4	0.1	1.3	2.2	0.3	0.1	8.7	10.7	
Delay (s)		34.2	42.9	44.4	16.3	20.1	33.2	35.2	32.3	38.0	47.8	
Level of Service		С	D	D	В	С	С	D	С	D	D	
Approach Delay (s)		40.8			32.1			34.2			45.2	
Approach LOS		D			С			С			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			38.7	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.94									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			118.9	Si	um of los	t time (s)			19.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	า		94.7%	IC	U Level	of Service)		F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Station Road & King Street West

	٨		$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	ţ,		7	1.		٦	1.		7	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	479	5	9	937	3	3	5	25	18	9	42
Future Volume (vph)	2	479	5	9	937	3	3	5	25	18	9	42
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.87	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	1823		1805	1843		1805	1706		1805	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.09	1.00		0.39	1.00		0.52	1.00		0.73	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	168	1823		740	1843		980	1706		1380	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.80	0.42	0.50	0.82	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.78	0.64	0.38	0.25
Adi, Flow (vph)	4	599	12	18	1143	8	8	15	32	28	24	168
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	69	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	4	610	0	18	1151	0	8	20	0	28	123	0
Confl Peds (#/hr)	2	• • •	, ,			2	•	_•	Ŭ	-•		Ū
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	-	2		-	2			4		-	4	
Permitted Phases	2			2			4			4		
Actuated Green, G (s)	55.8	55.8		55.8	55.8		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Effective Green, a (s)	55.8	55.8		55.8	55.8		11.7	11.7		11.7	11.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.69	0.69		0.69	0.69		0.15	0.15		0.15	0.15	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	116	1262		512	1275		142	247		200	236	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.33			c0.62			0.01			c0.08	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.02			0.02			0.01			0.02		
v/c Ratio	0.03	0.48		0.04	0.90		0.06	0.08		0.14	0.52	
Uniform Delay, d1	3.9	5.7		3.9	10.2		29.7	29.8		30.1	31.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.6	1.3		0.1	10.5		0.2	0.1		0.3	2.1	
Delay (s)	4.5	7.1		4.0	20.7		29.9	29.9		30.4	33.9	
Level of Service	А	А		А	С		С	С		С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		7.0			20.5			29.9			33.5	
Approach LOS		А			С			С			С	
Internetion Commons												
Intersection Summary			40.4		011 0000		<u> </u>					
HOM 2000 Control Delay			18.1	Н	CIM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.84			0.84	-					10.1			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			80.6	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		68.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٠		+	•	1	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	1.		Y	
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	310	152	11	69	17
Future Volume (vph)	1	310	152	11	69	17
Peak Hour Factor	0.25	0.84	0.77	0.39	0.72	0.71
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	369	197	28	96	24
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	373	225	120			
Volume Left (vph)	4	0	96			
Volume Right (vph)	0	28	24			
Hadj (s)	0.04	-0.06	0.09			
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	4.6	5.4			
Degree Utilization, x	0.47	0.29	0.18			
Capacity (veh/h)	763	746	601			
Control Delay (s)	11.5	9.5	9.5			
Approach Delay (s)	11.5	9.5	9.5			
Approach LOS	В	А	А			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			10.5			
Level of Service			В			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		28.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	1	•	Ť	1	1	ŧ		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	¥		* Ъ		7	**		
Traffic Volume (vph)	89	80	269	80	230	1652		
Future Volume (vph)	89	80	269	80	230	1652		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.5		7.7		4.5	5.3		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		0.95		1.00	0.95		
Frt	0.94		0.96		1.00	1.00		
Flt Protected	0.97		1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1651		2782		1787	3471		
Flt Permitted	0.97		1.00		0.44	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1651		2782		834	3471		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.73	0.88	0.84	0.68	0.82	0.83		
Adi, Flow (vph)	122	91	320	118	280	1990		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	36	0	43	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	177	0	395	0	280	1990		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	10%	31%	7%	1%	4%		
Turn Type	Prot		NA		pm+pt	NA		
Protected Phases	8		2		μ μι 1	6		
Permitted Phases	-		_		6	-		
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.9		34.1		50.3	50.3		
Effective Green, g (s)	12.9		34.1		50.3	50.3		
Actuated q/C Ratio	0.17		0.45		0.67	0.67		
Clearance Time (s)	6.5		7.7		4.5	5.3		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	283		1264		677	2327		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.11		0.14		0.05	c0.57		
v/s Ratio Perm					0.23			
v/c Ratio	0.63		0.31		0.41	0.86		
Uniform Delay, d1	28.8		13.0		5.0	9.5		
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	4.3		0.6		0.4	4.3		
Delay (s)	33.1		13.6		5.4	13.8		
Level of Service	С		В		А	В		
Approach Delay (s)	33.1		13.6			12.8		
Approach LOS	С		В			В		
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.4	H	ICM 2000	Level of Servic	e B	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.91					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			75.0	S	Sum of los	t time (s)	18.7	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		65.3%	10	CU Level	of Service	С	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

	4	•	Ť	1	1	ţ		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	Y		* 1;		7	**		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	64	25	324	4	6	1818		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	64	25	324	4	6	1818		
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free		
Grade	0%		0%			0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.89	0.85	0.33	0.38	0.87		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	100	28	381	12	16	2090		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type			None			TWLTL		
Median storage veh)						2		
Upstream signal (m)			120			300		
pX. platoon unblocked	0.73							
vC. conflicting volume	1464	196			393			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	387							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	1077							
vCu, unblocked vol	885	196			393			
tC, single (s)	6.8	7.0			4.8			
tC, 2 stage (s)	5.8							
tF (s)	3.5	33			25			
p0 queue free %	76	97			.98			
cM capacity (veh/h)	422	806			969			
					00.0	00.0		
Direction, Lane #	400		120	<u>38 I</u>	3B Z	30 3		
	128	254	139	16	1045	1045		
Volume Lett	100	0	0	16	0	0		
	28	0	12	0	0	0		
CSH	4/1	1/00	1/00	969	1/00	1/00		
Volume to Capacity	0.27	0.15	80.0	0.02	0.61	0.61		
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (s)	15.5	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	С			А				
Approach Delay (s)	15.5	0.0		0.1				
Approach LOS	С							
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.8					
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		62.0%	IC	U Level	of Service	Э	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: Coleraine Drive

	3		-	5		*	\searrow	×	4	*	ĸ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations		Ť			+			^			^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1741	0	0	349	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1741	0	0	349	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)								4.5			4.5	
Lane Util. Factor								0.95			0.95	
Frt								1.00			1.00	
Flt Protected								1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)								3539			3539	
Flt Permitted								1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)								3539			3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1892	0	0	379	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1892	0	0	379	0
Turn Type								NA			NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			6			2	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)								56.5			56.5	
Effective Green, g (s)								56.5			56.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio								0.72			0.72	
Clearance Time (s)								4.5			4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)								3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)								2534			2534	
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.53			0.11	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio								0.75			0.15	
Uniform Delay, d1								6.8			3.6	
Progression Factor								1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2								2.1			0.1	
Delay (s)								8.9			3.7	
Level of Service								A			A	
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			0.0			8.9			3.7	
Approach LOS		A			A			A			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			8.0	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		Α			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	y ratio		0.60									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			78.9	Si	um of lost	time (s)			9.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		51.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Summary of All Intervals

		•	•		_		
Run Number	1	2	3	4	5	Avg	
Start Time	6:45	6:45	6:45	6:45	6:45	6:45	
End Time	8:15	8:15	8:15	8:15	8:15	8:15	
Total Time (min)	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60	60	60	
# of Intervals	2	2	2	2	2	2	
# of Recorded Intervals	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Vehs Entered	3665	3670	3602	3663	3693	3657	
Vehs Exited	3660	3629	3629	3506	3682	3622	
Starting Vehs	165	162	176	152	160	159	
Ending Vehs	170	203	149	309	171	197	
Travel Distance (km)	6008	5964	5969	5878	6071	5978	
Travel Time (hr)	177.5	197.4	161.3	168.4	169.4	174.8	
Total Delay (hr)	64.4	85.4	49.2	57.7	55.1	62.3	
Total Stops	4659	5512	4036	4287	4403	4580	
Fuel Used (I)	503.8	514.6	486.7	483.6	503.3	498.4	

Interval #0 Information Seeding

Start Time	6:45
End Time	7:15
Total Time (min)	30
Volumes adjusted by Gro	wth Factors.
No data recorded this inte	erval.

Interval #1 Information Recording

Start Time	7:15
End Time	8:15
Total Time (min)	60

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

Run Number	1	2	3	4	5	Avg	
Vehs Entered	3665	3670	3602	3663	3693	3657	
Vehs Exited	3660	3629	3629	3506	3682	3622	
Starting Vehs	165	162	176	152	160	159	
Ending Vehs	170	203	149	309	171	197	
Travel Distance (km)	6008	5964	5969	5878	6071	5978	
Travel Time (hr)	177.5	197.4	161.3	168.4	169.4	174.8	
Total Delay (hr)	64.4	85.4	49.2	57.7	55.1	62.3	
Total Stops	4659	5512	4036	4287	4403	4580	
Fuel Used (I)	503.8	514.6	486.7	483.6	503.3	498.4	

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	82.7	83.0	155.9	194.8	33.2	30.9	64.3	57.8	25.3	136.9	166.8	178.6
Average Queue (m)	23.6	43.6	93.3	39.2	1.1	11.0	29.6	24.3	10.6	59.1	87.6	93.0
95th Queue (m)	57.2	76.5	153.9	234.6	19.0	25.2	52.3	45.6	20.5	104.6	136.2	144.7
Link Distance (m)	235.7			487.5			280.3	280.3			906.1	906.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)				0								
Queuing Penalty (veh)				2								
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0		60.0	58.0			35.0	70.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)	14	40	37				1	4		6	17	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	49	40	174				0	3		30	51	

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	FB	FB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served		TR		TR		TR		TR
Maximum Queue (m)	6.2	58.2	8.9	112.0	8.4	14.4	12.6	26.1
Average Queue (m)	0.3	19.8	1.6	49.1	0.6	5.4	3.6	8.8
95th Queue (m)	2.6	45.2	7.0	92.3	4.6	13.4	10.6	19.2
Link Distance (m)		487.5		233.9		652.1		216.0
Upstream Blk Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0		75.0		28.0		30.0	
Storage Blk Time (%)		4		2				0
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0		0				0

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LR
Maximum Queue (m)	31.3	20.1	23.0
Average Queue (m)	16.7	11.4	10.3
95th Queue (m)	25.2	17.6	18.1
Link Distance (m)	499.4	192.0	652.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 4: Coleraine Drive & Old Ellwood Drive

Movement	\//R	NR	NR	SB	CB	CB.
WOVERNEIN	VVD	IND	ND	30	30	30
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	L	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	68.5	15.2	16.0	79.7	118.2	114.4
Average Queue (m)	24.1	7.1	10.7	21.5	49.1	54.7
95th Queue (m)	52.2	13.8	19.3	52.4	104.7	108.3
Link Distance (m)	499.4	0.5	0.5		104.7	104.7
Upstream Blk Time (%)		15	12		5	6
Queuing Penalty (veh)		26	21		49	54
Storage Bay Dist (m)				20.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)				4	15	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				35	35	

Intersection: 5: Coleraine Drive & Ellwood Drive West

Movement	WB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	L	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	89.5	20.8	170.4	172.8
Average Queue (m)	27.7	1.2	21.3	22.0
95th Queue (m)	71.2	13.2	132.8	135.3
Link Distance (m)	268.5		280.3	280.3
Upstream Blk Time (%)			1	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)			6	9
Storage Bay Dist (m)		60.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)		0	4	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0	0	

Intersection: 6: Coleraine Drive

Movement	SE	SE	NW	NW
Directions Served	Т	Т	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	8.1	6.7	49.5	55.5
Average Queue (m)	0.7	0.7	5.8	7.3
95th Queue (m)	4.5	4.1	27.1	32.8
Link Distance (m)	0.5	0.5	739.2	739.2
Upstream Blk Time (%)	4	4		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	39	38		
Storage Bay Dist (m)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 664

Timings		
1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest	Moon Drive/King	Street West

	٠		7	*	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		र्स	7	ሻ	1	1	٦	**	1	٦	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	105	148	156	94	537	363	873	264	347	353	
Future Volume (vph)	6	105	148	156	94	537	363	873	264	347	353	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Detector Phase	8	8	8	7	4	4	1	6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	32.1	32.1	32.1	8.0	32.1	32.1	8.0	34.6	34.6	8.0	34.6	
Total Split (s)	34.0	34.0	34.0	15.0	49.0	49.0	26.0	54.0	54.0	37.0	65.0	
Total Split (%)	24.3%	24.3%	24.3%	10.7%	35.0%	35.0%	18.6%	38.6%	38.6%	26.4%	46.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Max	Мах	Мах	None	Max	Мах	None	None	None	None	Max	

Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 136.5 Natural Cycle: 95 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord

Splits and Phases: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

101	↓ Ø2	₩ Ø4
26 s	65 s	49 s
ØS	<i>₫</i> Ø6	✓ Ø7
37 s	54 s	15 s 34 s

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis	5
1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King	Street West

2041Do Nothing Timing Plan: Weekday PM Peak

	۶	-	7	4	+	*	1	t	1	4	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		é.	1	7	1	1	7	^	1	7	† 1 ₂	
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	105	148	156	94	537	363	873	264	347	353	9
Future Volume (vph)	6	105	148	156	94	537	363	873	264	347	353	9
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected		0.99	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1805	1615	1725	1921	1592	1725	3411	1581	1708	2975	
Flt Permitted		0.96	1.00	0.54	1.00	1.00	0.51	1.00	1.00	0.11	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1739	1615	980	1921	1592	920	3411	1581	202	2975	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.38	0.80	0.80	0.86	0.78	0.84	0.95	0.91	0.76	0.80	0.90	0.32
Adj. Flow (vph)	16	131	185	181	121	639	382	959	347	434	392	28
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	112	0	0	308	0	0	94	0	3	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	147	73	181	121	331	382	959	253	434	417	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2			1	1		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	7%	1%	1%	23%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Actuated Green, G (s)		27.2	27.2	41.9	41.9	41.9	65.9	47.1	47.1	80.9	59.1	
Effective Green, a (s)		27.2	27.2	41.9	41.9	41.9	65.9	47.1	47.1	80.9	59.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.20	0.20	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.48	0.35	0.35	0.59	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		346	321	364	589	488	555	1176	545	459	1288	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.10	021	0.04	0.06	100	0.09	0.28	0.10	c0.21	0.14	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.08	0.05	0.11		c0.21	0.24		0.16	c0.35		
v/c Ratio		0.42	0.23	0.50	0.21	0.68	0.69	0.82	0.46	0.95	0.32	
Uniform Delay, d1		47.8	45.8	36.8	35.0	41.4	23.5	40.7	34.9	38.3	25.5	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		3.8	1.6	1.1	0.8	7.4	3.5	4.5	0.6	28.5	0.7	
Delay (s)		51.6	47.5	37.8	35.8	48.8	27.0	45.2	35.5	66.8	26.2	
Level of Service		D	D	D	D	D	С	D	D	E	С	
Approach Delay (s)		49.3			45.0			39.1			46.8	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			43.2	Н	CM 2000) Level of	f Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.89									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			136.5	S	um of los	st time (s)		19.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		81.6%	IC	CU Level	of Servic	e		D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Cima Canada Inc. B000738 - CP Grade Separation EA Synchro 9 Report 27/03/2017

Timings 2: Station Road & King Street West

	≯		1	-	1	T.	1	ŧ.	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	¢Î,	٦	¢Î	٢	¢Î,	٦	¢Î,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	672	29	762	11	15	9	5	
Future Volume (vph)	32	672	29	762	11	15	9	5	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		2		4		4	
Permitted Phases	2		2		4		4		
Detector Phase	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.1	26.1	26.1	26.1	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	
Total Split (s)	59.0	59.0	59.0	59.0	31.0	31.0	31.0	31.0	
Total Split (%)	65.6%	65.6%	65.6%	65.6%	34.4%	34.4%	34.4%	34.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	Мах	Мах	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 77.2	2								
Natural Cycle: 75									
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	coord								
			.						

Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West

\$ø2	1 299	Ø4
59 s		31.5

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Station Road & King Street West

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	•	+	•	1	t	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	ţ,		٢	ĥ		٦	f,		٢	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	672	12	29	762	19	11	15	28	9	5	14
Future Volume (vph)	32	672	12	29	762	19	11	15	28	9	5	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.90	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1804	1854		1805	1798		1805	1710		1805	1710	
Flt Permitted	0.28	1.00		0.35	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.72	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	540	1854		657	1798		1394	1710		1364	1710	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.94	0.75	0.56	0.93	0.59	0.55	0.75	0.70	0.56	0.42	0.58
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	715	16	52	819	32	20	20	40	16	12	24
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	37	0	0	22	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	730	0	52	850	0	20	23	0	16	14	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	8%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			2			4			4	
Permitted Phases	2			2			4			4		
Actuated Green, G (s)	58.8	58.8		58.8	58.8		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	58.8	58.8		58.8	58.8		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.75	0.75		0.75	0.75		0.08	0.08		0.08	0.08	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	404	1388		492	1346		117	143		114	143	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.39			c0.47			0.01			0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.07			0.08			c0.01			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.53		0.11	0.63		0.17	0.16		0.14	0.10	
Uniform Delay, d1	2.6	4.1		2.7	4.7		33.4	33.4		33.3	33.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	1.4		0.4	2.3		0.7	0.5		0.6	0.3	
Delay (s)	3.1	5.5		3.1	7.0		34.1	33.9		33.9	33.5	
Level of Service	А	А		А	А		С	С		С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		5.4			6.7			34.0			33.6	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			С	
Interception Summary												
Intersection Summary			0.1		<u> </u>		Comilao					
HCM 2000 Volume to Com	a alturatio		δ. I	H		Level Of	Service		A			
HCIVI 2000 VOIUme to Cap	bacity ratio		0.58	<u> </u>	um effer	t t = (-)			10.1			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)	ration		78.5	5	um of Ios	of Commission	<u>^</u>		13.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		0 7 .5%	IC	JU LEVE	UI SERVIC	е		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Cima Canada Inc. B000738 - CP Grade Separation EA Synchro 9 Report 27/03/2017

	≯	-	←	*	1	1	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	ħ		Y		
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop		
Traffic Volume (vph)	15	256	327	113	56	5	
Future Volume (vph)	15	256	327	113	56	5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.63	0.76	0.86	0.94	0.78	0.42	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	337	380	120	72	12	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total (vph)	361	500	84				
Volume Left (vph)	24	0	72				
Volume Right (vph)	0	120	12				
Hadj (s)	0.01	-0.12	0.09				
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.5	5.9				
Degree Utilization, x	0.47	0.62	0.14				
Capacity (veh/h)	731	788	531				
Control Delay (s)	11.9	14.4	9.8				
Approach Delay (s)	11.9	14.4	9.8				
Approach LOS	В	В	А				
Intersection Summary							
Delay			13.1				
Level of Service			В				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		35.8%	IC	CU Level	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	4	t	4	Ļ
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y	† 1>	٦	† †
Traffic Volume (vph)	31	1245	174	483
Future Volume (vph)	31	1245	174	483
Turn Type	Prot	NA	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8	2	1	6
Permitted Phases			6	
Detector Phase	8	2	1	6
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.5	25.7	9.5	23.3
Total Split (s)	26.0	58.0	16.0	74.0
Total Split (%)	26.0%	58.0%	16.0%	74.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.2
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	3.8	1.0	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	7.7	4.5	5.3
Lead/Lag		Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?		Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	Мах	None	Мах
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 100				
Actuated Cycle Length: 97.	.9			
Natural Cycle: 90				
Control Type: Semi Act-Un	coord			

Splits and Phases: 4: Coleraine Drive & Old Ellwood Drive

Ø1	Ø2	
16 s	58 s	
Ø6		√ Ø8
74 s		26 s

	1	*	T.	1	1	÷.		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	Y		† Ъ		۲	^		
Traffic Volume (vph)	31	301	1245	98	174	483		
Future Volume (vph)	31	301	1245	98	174	483		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	6.5		7.7		4.5	5.3		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		0.95		1.00	0.95		
Frt	0.88		0.99		1.00	1.00		
Flt Protected	1.00		1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1654		3437		1805	3139		
Flt Permitted	1.00		1.00		0.07	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1654		3437		131	3139		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.72	0.77	0.86	0.76	0.76	0.97		
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	391	1448	129	229	498		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	182	0	6	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	252	0	1571	0	229	498		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	0%	4%	1%	0%	15%		
Turn Type	Prot		NA		ta+ma	NA		
Protected Phases	8		2		1	6		
Permitted Phases			_		6	-		
Actuated Green, G (s)	17.3		51.1		68.8	68.8		
Effective Green, g (s)	17.3		51.1		68.8	68.8		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18		0.52		0.70	0.70		
Clearance Time (s)	6.5		7.7		4.5	5.3		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	292		1793		276	2205		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.15		0.46		c0.09	0.16		
v/s Ratio Perm	-				c0.49			
v/c Ratio	0.86		0.88		0.83	0.23		
Uniform Delay, d1	39.2		20.6		27.5	5.1		
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	22.2		6.4		18.3	0.2		
Delay (s)	61.3		27.0		45.7	5.4		
Level of Service	E		С		D	А		
Approach Delay (s)	61.3		27.0			18.1		
Approach LOS	E		С			В		
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			30.1	H	ICM 2000	Level of Servio	e	С
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.89					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	,		97.9	S	Sum of los	st time (s)	18	.7
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		83.1%	l	CU Level	of Service		Е
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

	*	•	t	1	1	Ļ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		15		٢	^	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	18	16	1484	62	21	636	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	18	16	1484	62	21	636	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.80	0.91	0.91	0.75	0.96	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	20	1631	68	28	663	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			TWLTL	
Median storage veh)						2	
Upstream signal (m)			120			300	
pX_platoon_unblocked	0.62	0.60	120		0.60	000	
vC. conflicting volume	2052	850			1699		
vC1_stage 1 conf vol	1665	000			1077		
vC2_stage 2 conf vol	388						
vCu_unblocked vol	1230	0			838		
tC single (s)	6.8	69			4 1		
tC 2 stane (s)	5.8	0.7					
tF (s)	3.5	33			22		
nn queue free %	90	97			Q/		
cM canacity (veh/h)	227	656			18/		
	207						
Direction, Lane #	WB1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	SB 3	
Volume Lotal	44	1087	612	28	332	332	
Volume Left	24	0	0	28	0	0	
Volume Right	20	0	68	0	0	0	
cSH	334	1700	1700	484	1700	1700	
Volume to Capacity	0.13	0.64	0.36	0.06	0.20	0.20	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (s)	17.4	0.0	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	
Lane LOS	С			В			
Approach Delay (s)	17.4	0.0		0.5			
Approach LOS	С						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.5				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		53.0%	IC	CU Level	of Service	е
Analysis Period (min)	-		15				

-	←	×	×
EBT	WBT	SET	NWT
*	*	**	44
1	1	514	1343
1	1	514	1343
NA	NA	NA	NA
4	8	6	2
4	8	6	2
195.0	195.0	5.0	5.0
199.5	199.5	22.5	22.5
199.5	199.5	25.5	25.5
88.7%	88.7%	11.3%	11.3%
3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
None	None	Max	Max
7.4			
Incoord			
	EBT 1 1 1 NA 4 195.0 199.5 199.5 88.7% 3.5 1.0 0.0 4.5 None 7.4	EBT WBT ● ● 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 NA NA 4 8 195.0 195.0 199.5 199.5 199.5 199.5 88.7% 88.7% 3.5 3.5 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 4.5 4.5 None None 7.4 Hocoord	EBT WBT SET I T

Splits and Phases: 6: Coleraine Drive

× ø2	→ Ø4
25.5 s	199.5 s
× Ø6	← Ø8
25.5 s	199.5 s

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: Coleraine Drive

	3	-	-*	5	←	*_	\searrow	×	4	*	×	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations		•			1			† †			^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	514	0	0	1343	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	514	0	0	1343	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.5			4.5			4.5			4.5	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00			0.95			0.95	
Frt		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1863			1863			3539			3539	
Flt Permitted		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1863			1863			3539			3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	559	0	0	1460	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	559	0	0	1460	0
Turn Type		NA			NA			NA			NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			6			2	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.4			13.4			56.5			56.5	
Effective Green, g (s)		13.4			13.4			56.5			56.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.17			0.17			0.72			0.72	
Clearance Time (s)		4.5			4.5			4.5			4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		316			316			2534			2534	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.00			0.00			0.16			c0.41	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio		0.00			0.00			0.22			0.58	
Uniform Delay, d1		27.2			27.2			3.8			5.4	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.0			0.0			0.2			1.0	
Delay (s)		27.2			27.2			4.0			6.4	
Level of Service		С			С			А			А	
Approach Delay (s)		27.2			27.2			4.0			6.4	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			5.7	Н	CM 2000) Level of	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.47									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			78.9	S	um of los	st time (s)			9.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on	2	207.1%	IC	CU Level	of Servic	e		Н			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	49.0	25.0	62.2	37.4	93.3	57.9	204.8	196.4	106.0	119.6	60.7	61.6
Average Queue (m)	23.1	13.0	29.2	13.5	24.1	51.4	117.3	110.7	50.0	67.3	29.2	33.8
95th Queue (m)	42.0	21.9	53.9	29.0	73.6	74.9	203.3	201.5	117.4	109.3	48.4	57.5
Link Distance (m)	235.7			487.5			280.3	280.3			906.1	906.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)							0					
Queuing Penalty (veh)							0					
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0		60.0	58.0			35.0	70.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)	21	1	1		3	10	27	39	5	12	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	32	1	7		7	43	99	102	23	21	1	

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	14.6	85.2	19.1	76.7	11.4	17.0	11.2	10.0	
Average Queue (m)	5.4	29.8	5.5	32.8	2.9	7.4	2.3	3.7	
95th Queue (m)	12.9	69.1	14.3	61.9	9.9	15.5	8.3	10.5	
Link Distance (m)		487.5		233.9		652.1		216.0	
Upstream Blk Time (%)									
Queuing Penalty (veh)									
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0		75.0		28.0		30.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)		6		0					
Queuing Penalty (veh)		2		0					

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LR
Maximum Queue (m)	31.6	36.6	16.2
Average Queue (m)	16.0	18.6	8.4
95th Queue (m)	24.6	28.3	14.6
Link Distance (m)	500.7	192.0	652.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 4: Coleraine Drive & Old Ellwood Drive

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	L	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	187.1	23.6	24.2	71.6	96.0	97.8
Average Queue (m)	76.6	11.5	15.5	26.9	21.7	26.9
95th Queue (m)	195.8	19.9	22.2	51.0	67.1	71.1
Link Distance (m)	500.7	1.0	1.0		104.7	104.7
Upstream Blk Time (%)		35	36		1	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)		232	241		4	4
Storage Bay Dist (m)				20.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)				20	9	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				47	15	

Intersection: 5: Coleraine Drive & Ellwood Drive West

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	L	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	26.7	5.6	8.5	16.6	14.2	18.6
Average Queue (m)	7.8	0.2	0.3	4.4	0.5	0.8
95th Queue (m)	18.9	3.9	3.9	13.2	8.3	11.0
Link Distance (m)	268.5	104.7	104.7		280.3	280.3
Upstream Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						
Storage Bay Dist (m)				60.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)					0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)					0	

Intersection: 6: Coleraine Drive

· ·			~-	~-		
Movement	EB	WB	SE	SE	NW	NW
Directions Served	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	3.0	6.7	8.3	12.0	381.2	376.4
Average Queue (m)	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.2	198.0	200.4
95th Queue (m)	4.0	3.8	5.1	9.2	587.8	585.5
Link Distance (m)	209.0	124.5	1.0	1.0	711.8	711.8
Upstream Blk Time (%)			8	7	8	7
Queuing Penalty (veh)			19	19	0	0
Storage Bay Dist (m)						
Storage Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 919

Cima Canada Inc. B000738 - CP Grade Separation EA SimTraffic Report 27/03/2017

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	-	-	•	1	†	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		र्	1	ሻ	†	1	٦	<u></u>	1	ሻ	≜ ⊅	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	
Future Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Detector Phase	8	8	8	7	4	4	1	6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	41.1	41.1	41.1	8.0	41.1	41.1	8.0	37.6	37.6	8.0	37.6	
Total Split (s)	41.1	41.1	41.1	35.0	76.1	76.1	8.0	37.9	37.9	26.0	55.9	
Total Split (%)	29.4%	29.4%	29.4%	25.0%	54.4%	54.4%	5.7%	27.1%	27.1%	18.6%	39.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		-3.1	-3.1	-2.0	-3.1	-3.1	-2.0	-2.6	-2.6	-2.0	-2.6	
Total Lost Time (s)		4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	Max	

Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 136.8 Natural Cycle: 125 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord

Splits and Phases: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West


	۶	-	\mathbf{F}	4	←	•	•	t	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्च	1	۲	1	1	1	<u>†</u> †	1	<u>۲</u>	A ₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	5
Future Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1665	1568	1675	1812	1601	1691	2874	1526	1725	3228	
Flt Permitted		0.99	1.00	0.51	1.00	1.00	0.35	1.00	1.00	0.60	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1649	1568	907	1812	1601	620	2874	1526	1084	3228	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.83	0.95	0.88	0.58	0.83	0.83	0.79	0.85	0.84	0.98	0.63
Adj. Flow (vph)	8	164	341	684	98	619	25	167	156	589	763	8
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	108	0	0	295	0	0	120	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	172	233	684	98	324	25	167	36	589	770	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	25%	8%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	27%	7%	0%	13%	4%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Actuated Green, G (s)		34.1	34.1	69.1	69.1	69.1	32.1	29.2	29.2	55.2	49.3	
Effective Green, g (s)		37.2	37.2	71.1	72.2	72.2	36.1	31.8	31.8	57.2	51.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.27	0.27	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.41	0.38	
Clearance Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		444	422	656	948	837	200	662	351	565	1214	
v/s Ratio Prot				c0.26	0.05		0.00	0.06		c0.19	0.24	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.10	0.15	0.28		0.20	0.03		0.02	0.24		
v/c Ratio		0.39	0.55	1.04	0.10	0.39	0.12	0.25	0.10	1.04	0.63	
Uniform Delay, d1		41.1	43.2	29.5	16.6	19.7	38.2	43.4	41.9	37.6	35.3	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		2.5	5.1	46.7	0.2	1.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	49.4	2.5	
Delay (s)		43.6	48.4	76.2	16.8	21.0	38.5	43.6	42.0	87.0	37.8	
Level of Service		U 40.0	D	E	B	U	D	U	D	F	D	
Approach LOS		40.0			47.7			42.5			59.1	
Approach LOS		U			D			D			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			51.4	Н	CM 2000) Level of	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.81	-					10.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			138.0	S	um of los	st time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		88.2%	IC	U Level	of Servic	e		E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٦	-	4	+	1	t	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	4Î	٦	4Î	ሻ	eî 👘	ሻ	ef 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	499	9	937	194	5	18	9	
Future Volume (vph)	2	499	9	937	194	5	18	9	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		2	7	4		8	
Permitted Phases	2		2		4		8		
Detector Phase	2	2	2	2	7	4	8	8	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	27.1	27.1	27.1	27.1	9.5	30.0	30.0	30.0	
Total Split (s)	88.0	88.0	88.0	88.0	22.0	52.0	30.0	30.0	
Total Split (%)	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	62.9%	15.7%	37.1%	21.4%	21.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-3.0	-3.1	-3.0	-3.1	-3.0	-2.0	-3.0	-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	1.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag					Lead		Lag	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?					Yes		Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	
Intersection Summary									

Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 131 Natural Cycle: 150 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord

Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West

	1 ø4	
88 s	52 s	
	Ø 7	↓ Ø8
	22 s	30 s

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	eî 👘		۲	eî 🕺		ň	el el		۲	ef 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	499	263	9	937	3	194	5	25	18	9	42
Future Volume (vph)	2	499	263	9	937	3	194	5	25	18	9	42
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.1	4.0		4.1	4.0		1.5	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.92		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.87	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	1723		1805	1843		1805	1706		1805	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.05	1.00		0.05	1.00		0.27	1.00		0.73	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	90	1723		90	1843		516	1706		1380	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.80	0.42	0.50	0.82	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.78	0.64	0.38	0.25
Adj. Flow (vph)	4	624	626	18	1143	8	511	15	32	28	24	168
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	68	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	4	1227	0	18	1151	0	511	25	0	28	124	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			2		 7	4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			2			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	81.0	81.0		81.0	81.0		36.9	36.9		14.9	14.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	84.0	84.1		84.0	84.1		39.9	38.9		17.9	16.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.64	0.64		0.64	0.64		0.30	0.30		0.14	0.13	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		4.5	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	57	1106		57	1183		358	506		188	210	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.71			0.62		c0.22	0.01			c0.08	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04			0.20			0.21			0.02		
v/c Ratio	0.07	1.11		0.32	0.97		1.43	0.05		0.15	0.59	
Uniform Delay, d1	8.8	23.5		10.6	22.4		41.2	32.8		49.8	53.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	2.4	62.3		13.9	20.4		207.9	0.0		0.4	4.4	
Delay (s)	11.2	85.8		24.5	42.8		249.1	32.9		50.2	58.2	
Level of Service	В	F		С	D		F	С		D	Е	
Approach Delay (s)		85.5			42.5			230.9			57.2	
Approach LOS		F			D			F			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			93.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		F			
HCM 2000 Volume to Canaci	ty ratio		1 08	11	2000	2010101	0011100					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	ly fallo		131.0	S	im of los	t time (s)			95			
Intersection Canacity Litilization	on		73.6%			of Servic	e		э.о П			
Analysis Daried (min)	U 11		15			0.00110	~		U			

	≯	-	←	•	1	1							
Movement	FRI	FRT	WRT	W/RR	SBI	SBD							
	LDL		••••••	WDIN									
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop								
Traffic Volume (vnh)	0	0	2 Siop	138	213	٥							
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	2	138	213	0							
Peak Hour Factor	0.25	0.84	0 77	0.39	0.72	0.71							
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0.20	0.04 0	3	354	296	0							
	Ū	Ū	Ū	004	200	U							
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1										
Volume Total (vph)	0	357	296										
Volume Left (vph)	0	0	296										
Volume Right (vph)	0	354	0										
Hadj (s)	0.00	-0.59	0.27										
Departure Headway (s)	5.1	4.1	5.0										
Degree Utilization, x	0.00	0.41	0.41										
Capacity (veh/h)	652	832	693										
Control Delay (s)	8.1	9.8	11.3										
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	9.8	11.3										
Approach LOS	А	А	В										
Intersection Summary													
Delay			10.5										
Level of Service			В										
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		27.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service		А	А	А	А	А	A
Analysis Period (min)			15										

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	76.7	74.0	156.9	456.5	16.2	17.9	46.5	46.1	36.2	170.0	432.8	418.7
Average Queue (m)	30.9	37.7	148.5	311.3	0.9	6.1	22.2	19.1	16.8	167.7	305.8	276.8
95th Queue (m)	60.0	68.6	180.6	639.6	11.0	16.3	39.8	37.1	29.4	183.1	510.5	504.4
Link Distance (m)	235.9			487.9			1563.6	1563.6			906.1	906.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)				3								
Queuing Penalty (veh)				36								
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0		60.0	58.0			35.0	70.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)	27	34	67				0	2	0	81	6	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	87	47	385				0	3	0	302	28	

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	22.7	141.6	107.7	573.7	89.1	63.6	15.2	28.0	
Average Queue (m)	0.9	63.1	6.7	293.9	44.8	10.4	4.8	11.5	
95th Queue (m)	15.2	122.4	48.9	701.7	79.1	51.9	12.9	24.2	
Link Distance (m)		487.9		811.0		652.5		216.0	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				3					
Queuing Penalty (veh)				0					
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0		75.0		28.0		30.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)		19		36	40			0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0		3	12			0	

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

WB	SB
TR	LR
16.5	24.8
9.6	14.6
13.3	21.7
192.0	652.5
	WB TR 16.5 9.6 13.3 192.0

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 904

Timings				
1: Coleraine Drive & Harv	est Moon	Drive/King	Street	West

	≯	-	\rightarrow	-	+	•	1	†	1	1	ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		र्भ	1	ሻ	†	1	٦	- † †	1	٦	≜ î≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	
Future Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8	4		4	6		6	2		
Detector Phase	8	8	8	7	4	4	1	6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	32.1	32.1	32.1	8.0	32.1	32.1	8.0	34.6	34.6	8.0	34.6	
Total Split (s)	41.2	41.2	41.2	12.0	53.2	53.2	15.0	37.8	37.8	49.0	71.8	
Total Split (%)	29.4%	29.4%	29.4%	8.6%	38.0%	38.0%	10.7%	27.0%	27.0%	35.0%	51.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		-3.1	-3.1	-3.0	-3.1	-3.1	-3.0	-2.6	-2.6	-3.0	-2.6	
Total Lost Time (s)		4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	Max	

Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 139.7 Natural Cycle: 105

Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord

Splits and Phases: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

		0			
▲ ø1	₽ Ø2		₽ Ø4		
15 s	71.8 s		53.2 s		
Ø5		-√ ø6	√ Ø7	408	
49 s		37.8 s	12 s	41.2 s	

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+	×	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ę	1	۲	•	1	۲	^	1	1	A	
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	9
Future Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	9
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1807	1615	1725	1921	1592	1725	3411	1580	1708	2982	
Flt Permitted		0.95	1.00	0.54	1.00	1.00	0.61	1.00	1.00	0.12	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1733	1615	976	1921	1592	1114	3411	1580	212	2982	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.38	0.80	0.80	0.86	0.78	0.84	0.95	0.91	0.76	0.80	0.90	0.32
Adi, Flow (vph)	16	151	165	214	269	879	260	738	453	658	193	28
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	89	0	0	414	0	0	162	0	8	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	167	76	214	269	465	260	738	291	658	213	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		. •			2			1	1		•
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	7%	1%	1%	23%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	nm+nt	NA	Perm	nm+nt	NA	Perm	nm+nt	NA	070
Protected Phases	r cinn	8	T OIIII	7	4	T OIIII	1	6	T OIIII	5	2	
Permitted Phases	8	Ū	8	4	т	4	6	Ū	6	2	2	
Actuated Green, G (s)	U	34.1	34.1	46 1	46 1	46 1	42.4	30.9	30.9	79 9	65.4	
Effective Green a (s)		37.2	37.2	40.1	40.1	40.1	48.4	33.5	33.5	82.9	68 0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.27	0.27	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.24	0.24	0 59	0.49	
Clearance Time (s)		7 1	7 1	3.0	7 1	7 1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grn Can (ynh)		461	/30	407	676	560	1/0	817	378	650	1/51	
v/s Patio Prot		401	430	0.05	010	500	0.06	c0 22	570	c0 35	0.07	
v/s Ratio Porm		0.10	0.05	0.00	0.14	c0 20	0.00	00.22	0.18	0.25	0.07	
v/s Natio Ferri		0.10	0.05	0.14	0.40	0.23	0.14	0 00	0.10	1.01	0 15	
Uniform Delay, d1		/16	30.5	3/1 1	3/1 1	0.00 /1 /	35.2	51.5	19.5	38.6	10.15	
Progression Factor		1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	
Incremental Delay, d2		2.00	0.0	1.00	1.00	13 /	1.00	13.3	0.3	38.4	0.2	
Delay (s)		/3.8	10.0	35.3	35.8	5/ 8	37.0	64.8	58.8	76.9	20.0	
Level of Service			+.0+ D	00.0 D	00.0 D	0.+0 D	57.0 П	04.0 E	50.0 E	70.5 E	20.0	
Approach Delay (s)		/2 1	D	U	/8.0	U	D	57.9	L	L	62.6	
Approach LOS		42.1 D			40.0 D			57.9 E			02.0 F	
		U			U			L			L	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			54.3	Н	CM 2000) Level of	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.90									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			139.7	S	um of los	t time (s)			8.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		88.7%	IC	CU Level	of Servic	е		E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Timings 2: Station Road & King Street West

	≯	-	-	-	1	†	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	۳	el 👘	ሻ	el 👘	٦	eî 👘	ሻ	ef 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	697	29	762	356	15	9	5	
Future Volume (vph)	32	697	29	762	356	15	9	5	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2		2	7	4		8	
Permitted Phases	2		2		4		8		
Detector Phase	2	2	2	2	7	4	8	8	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.1	26.1	26.1	26.1	9.5	28.0	28.0	28.0	
Total Split (s)	88.6	88.6	88.6	88.6	33.4	61.4	28.0	28.0	
Total Split (%)	59.1%	59.1%	59.1%	59.1%	22.3%	40.9%	18.7%	18.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-3.0	-3.1	-3.0	-3.1	-3.0	-2.0	-3.0	-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	1.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag					Lead		Lag	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?					Yes		Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	
Intersection Summary									

Cycle Length: 150 Actuated Cycle Length: 133.5 Natural Cycle: 150 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord

Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West

\$ø2	ø₄	
88.6 s	61.4 s	
	▲ Ø7	Ø8
	33.4 s	28 s

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	el 👘		۲	eî 🗧		۲	eî 🗧		7	ef 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	697	263	29	762	19	356	15	28	9	5	14
Future Volume (vph)	32	697	263	29	762	19	356	15	28	9	5	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.1	4.0		4.1	4.0		1.5	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.90	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	1740		1805	1798		1805	1710		1805	1710	
Flt Permitted	0.18	1.00		0.05	1.00		0.63	1.00		0.72	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	340	1740		90	1798		1206	1710		1364	1710	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.94	0.75	0.56	0.93	0.59	0.55	0.75	0.70	0.56	0.42	0.58
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	741	351	52	819	32	647	20	40	16	12	24
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	28	0	0	22	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	1082	0	52	850	0	647	32	0	16	14	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	8%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			2		7	4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			2			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	81.7	81.7		81.7	81.7		40.1	40.1		6.6	6.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	84.7	84.8		84.7	84.8		43.1	42.1		9.6	8.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.63	0.63		0.63	0.63		0.32	0.31		0.07	0.06	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1		7.1	7.1		4.5	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	213	1093		56	1130		527	533		97	109	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.62			0.47		c0.29	0.02			0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11			0.58			0.10			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.17	0.99		0.93	0.75		1.23	0.06		0.16	0.12	
Uniform Delay, d1	10.4	24.6		22.4	17.7		44.5	32.5		58.9	59.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.7	25.0		100.9	4.6		118.4	0.0		0.8	0.5	
Delay (s)	12.2	49.6		123.3	22.3		162.9	32.6		59.7	60.1	
Level of Service	В	D		F	С		F	С		E	E	
Approach Delay (s)		48.4			28.1			151.9			60.0	
Approach LOS		D			С			F			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			68.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		Е			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	ity ratio		1.05									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			134.9	S	um of los	t time (s)			9.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		85.7%	IC	U Level	of Servic	е		Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	≯	-	-	•	1	1		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		र्स	₽		M			
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop			
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	9	31	461	154	0		
Future Volume (vph)	0	9	31	461	154	0		
Peak Hour Factor	0.63	0.76	0.86	0.94	0.78	0.42		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	12	36	490	197	0		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total (vph)	12	526	197					
Volume Left (vph)	0	0	197					
Volume Right (vph)	0	490	0					
Hadj (s)	0.00	-0.56	0.20					
Departure Headway (s)	5.0	3.9	5.2					
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.57	0.29					
Capacity (veh/h)	660	889	640					
Control Delay (s)	8.1	12.0	10.3					
Approach Delay (s)	8.1	12.0	10.3					
Approach LOS	А	В	В					
Intersection Summary								
Delay			11.5					
Level of Service			В					
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		45.3%	IC	CU Level of	of Service		A
Analysis Period (min)			15					

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	ED	ED	\//D	\//D	\\/D	ND	ND	ND	ND	CD	CD	CD
wovernent	ED	ED	VVD	VVD	VVD	IND	IND	IND	IND	SD	SD	30
Directions Served	LT	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	53.8	23.8	58.0	82.8	98.0	57.9	187.0	194.6	106.0	168.7	207.1	108.4
Average Queue (m)	25.7	11.0	31.3	37.8	39.2	50.7	98.3	99.1	63.7	110.1	30.0	23.4
95th Queue (m)	45.6	18.4	51.1	68.2	96.1	70.7	163.1	169.3	118.3	169.2	125.5	70.6
Link Distance (m)	235.9			487.8			1024.8	1024.8			906.1	906.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0		60.0	58.0			35.0	70.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)	25	0	1	1	5	4	30	52	22	32		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	33	1	8	10	20	13	74	180	73	28		

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	L	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	105.7	307.0	60.6	143.1	92.4	112.6	12.4	16.2	
Average Queue (m)	11.6	141.0	15.3	76.4	64.7	20.9	2.1	4.7	
95th Queue (m)	51.7	267.6	43.1	131.5	93.4	74.0	8.0	12.5	
Link Distance (m)		487.8		233.9		652.5		216.0	
Upstream Blk Time (%)									
Queuing Penalty (veh)									
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0		75.0		28.0		30.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	30	1	8	47	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	10	6	2	20	1			

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

EB	WB	SB
LT	TR	LR
9.2	31.5	20.2
1.8	18.5	11.6
7.8	26.2	17.6
256.1	192.0	652.5
	EB LT 9.2 1.8 7.8 256.1	EB WB LT TR 9.2 31.5 1.8 18.5 7.8 26.2 256.1 192.0

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 481

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	*	٠	1	1	1	ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		र्स	1	ኘ	1	1	ľ	<u></u>	1	ሻሻ	∱ ∱	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	
Future Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8			4			6			
Detector Phase	8	8	8	7	4	4	1	6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	41.1	41.1	41.1	8.0	41.1	41.1	8.0	37.6	37.6	8.0	37.6	
Total Split (s)	41.1	41.1	41.1	33.0	74.1	74.1	9.0	37.9	37.9	28.0	56.9	
Total Split (%)	29.4%	29.4%	29.4%	23.6%	52.9%	52.9%	6.4%	27.1%	27.1%	20.0%	40.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		-3.1	-3.1	-2.0	-3.1	-3.1	-2.0	-2.6	-2.6	-2.0	-2.6	
Total Lost Time (s)		4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		37.7	37.7	31.5	70.2	70.2	7.7	30.7	30.7	26.5	53.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.28	0.28	0.23	0.51	0.51	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.19	0.39	
v/c Ratio		0.38	0.65	0.91	0.11	0.55	0.26	0.26	0.34	0.91	0.62	
Control Delay		43.9	34.0	68.8	18.2	3.4	70.1	44.5	8.2	72.5	36.6	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		43.9	34.0	68.8	18.2	3.4	70.1	44.5	8.2	72.5	36.6	
LOS		D	С	E	В	A	E	D	A	E	D	
Approach Delay		37.3			36.4			30.1			52.1	
Approach LOS		D			D			С			D	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 140												
Actuated Cycle Length: 136	6.4											
Natural Cycle: 125												
Control Type: Semi Act-Und	coord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91												
Intersection Signal Delay: 4	1.8			lı	ntersectio	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 68.19	%		[(CU Level	of Servic	e C					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2041 Gr 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

2041 Grade Separation + Improvements et West Timing Plan: Weekday AM Peak

	۶	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	•	Ť	۴	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	1	ሻሻ	•	1	ሻ	^	1	ሻሻ	A ₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	5
Future Volume (vph)	4	136	324	602	57	514	21	132	133	495	748	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.97	0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1665	1568	3249	1812	1601	1691	2874	1526	3346	3228	
Flt Permitted		0.99	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1649	1568	3249	1812	1601	1691	2874	1526	3346	3228	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.83	0.95	0.88	0.58	0.83	0.83	0.79	0.85	0.84	0.98	0.63
Adj. Flow (vph)	8	164	341	684	98	619	25	167	156	589	763	8
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	92	0	0	303	0	0	120	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	172	249	684	98	316	25	167	36	589	770	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	25%	8%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	27%	7%	0%	13%	4%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8			4			6			
Actuated Green, G (s)		34.6	34.6	29.5	67.1	67.1	3.5	29.4	29.4	24.5	50.4	
Effective Green, g (s)		37.7	37.7	31.5	70.2	70.2	5.5	32.0	32.0	26.5	53.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.27	0.27	0.23	0.51	0.51	0.04	0.23	0.23	0.19	0.38	
Clearance Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		451	429	743	923	816	67	667	354	643	1242	
v/s Ratio Prot				c0.21	0.05		0.01	0.06		c0.18	c0.24	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.10	c0.16			0.20			0.02			
v/c Ratio		0.38	0.58	0.92	0.11	0.39	0.37	0.25	0.10	0.92	0.62	
Uniform Delay, d1		40.5	43.2	51.9	17.5	20.6	64.4	43.1	41.6	54.5	34.2	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.5	1.9	16.7	0.2	1.4	3.5	0.2	0.1	17.8	2.3	
Delay (s)		41.1	45.1	68.6	17.7	22.0	67.9	43.3	41.7	72.3	36.6	
Level of Service		D	D	E	В	С	E	D	D	E	D	
Approach Delay (s)		43.7			44.4			44.3			52.0	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			47.2	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.73									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			137.7	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		68.1%	IC	CU Level	of Service	9		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	≯	-	\rightarrow	-	+	1	†	1	ŧ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	5	•	1	5	≜t ≽	5	î,	5	ĥ
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	499	263	9	937	194	5	18	9
Future Volume (vph)	2	499	263	9	937	194	5	18	9
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA
Protected Phases		2			2	7	4		8
Permitted Phases	2		2	2		4		8	
Detector Phase	2	2	2	2	2	7	4	8	8
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	27.1	27.1	27.1	27.1	27.1	9.5	32.0	32.0	32.0
Total Split (s)	67.0	67.0	67.0	67.0	67.0	41.0	73.0	32.0	32.0
Total Split (%)	47.9%	47.9%	47.9%	47.9%	47.9%	29.3%	52.1%	22.9%	22.9%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-3.1	-3.1	-3.1	-3.0	-3.1	-3.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0	1.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lead/Lag						Lead		Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?						Yes		Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	63.2	63.2	63.2	63.1	63.2	57.4	54.9	15.7	15.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.46	0.44	0.12	0.12
v/c Ratio	0.03	0.68	0.59	0.08	0.66	0.83	0.06	0.16	0.70
Control Delay	20.0	30.0	6.7	20.4	26.7	40.3	9.3	51.3	44.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	20.0	30.0	6.7	20.4	26.7	40.3	9.3	51.3	44.4
LOS	В	С	A	С	С	D	A	D	D
Approach Delay		18.3			26.6		37.6		45.3
Approach LOS		В			С		D		D
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 140									
Actuated Cycle Length: 126	.1								
Natural Cycle: 80									
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	coord								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83									
Intersection Signal Delay: 20	6.6			li	ntersectio	on LOS: C	;		
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion 50.39	%		10	CU Level	of Servic	e A		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 2: Sta	tion Road	l & Kina S	Street We	st					
.									



	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	↓	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	†	1	1	≜1 ≱		۲	¢Î		۲	eî 🕺	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	499	263	9	937	3	194	5	25	18	9	42
Future Volume (vph)	2	499	263	9	937	3	194	5	25	18	9	42
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0		1.5	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.87	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	1827	1615	1805	3501		1805	1706		1805	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.14	1.00	1.00	0.23	1.00		0.27	1.00		0.73	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	262	1827	1615	435	3501		504	1706		1380	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.50	0.80	0.42	0.50	0.82	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.78	0.64	0.38	0.25
Adi, Flow (vph)	4	624	626	18	1143	8	511	15	32	28	24	168
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	257	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	71	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	4	624	369	18	1151	0	511	29	0	28	121	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			2		7	4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	2			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	60.1	60.1	60.1	60.1	60.1		52.9	52.9		13.8	13.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	63.2	63.2	63.2	63.1	63.2		55.9	54.9		15.8	15.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		0.44	0.44		0.13	0.13	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1		4.5	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	131	915	809	217	1754		611	742		172	203	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.34			0.33		c0.25	0.02			c0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.02		0.23	0.04			0.12			0.02		
v/c Ratio	0.03	0.68	0.46	0.08	0.66		0.84	0.04		0.16	0.60	
Uniform Delay, d1	15.9	23.8	20.3	16.4	23.4		28.2	20.4		49.2	52.1	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	4.1	1.8	0.7	1.9		9.7	0.0		0.4	4.7	
Delay (s)	16.4	27.9	22.2	17.2	25.3		37.9	20.5		49.7	56.8	
Level of Service	В	С	С	В	С		D	С		D	E	
Approach Delay (s)		25.0			25.2			36.4			55.9	
Approach LOS		С			С			D			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Dolou			20.2	Ľ	CM 2000		Sonvice		<u> </u>			
HCM 2000 Volume to Con	acity ratio		29.2	П		Level 0	Service		U			
Actuated Cycle Longth (a)			126 1	0	um of loo	t time (c)			0.5			
Intersection Canacity Litilia	ation		50.2%	5		of Sonvio	0		9.0			
Analysis Period (min)			15	IC.			0		~			
Analysis Fendu (IIIII)			15									

	≯	-	-	•	1	-	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	f,		Y		
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop		
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	32	2	138	213	0	
Future Volume (vph)	0	32	2	138	213	0	
Peak Hour Factor	0.25	0.84	0.77	0.39	0.72	0.71	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	38	3	354	296	0	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total (vph)	38	357	296				
Volume Left (vph)	0	0	296				
Volume Right (vph)	0	354	0				
Hadi (s)	0.03	-0.59	0.27				
Departure Headway (s)	5.2	4.2	5.0				
Degree Utilization, x	0.05	0.41	0.41				
Capacity (veh/h)	637	818	679				
Control Delay (s)	8.5	10.1	11.6				
Approach Delay (s)	8.5	10.1	11.6				
Approach LOS	А	В	В				
Intersection Summary							
Delay			10.6				
Level of Service			В				
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		27.1%	IC	U Level	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	R	L	L	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	L
Maximum Queue (m)	90.0	87.5	96.7	115.5	53.2	42.1	26.4	48.6	43.8	35.8	95.4	92.3
Average Queue (m)	36.6	47.4	59.6	64.1	4.6	4.7	11.3	20.5	17.6	14.6	57.2	54.8
95th Queue (m)	72.7	80.6	86.1	95.8	30.3	22.0	23.9	38.9	36.2	27.5	85.4	84.0
Link Distance (m)	234.3				480.3	480.3		1555.3	1555.3			
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0	57.0			58.0			35.0	70.0	70.0
Storage Blk Time (%)	28	44	10	14					2	0	6	4
Queuing Penalty (veh)	90	62	6	8					3	0	21	16

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	SB	SB	
Directions Served	Т	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	112.0	118.7	
Average Queue (m)	66.0	70.8	
95th Queue (m)	97.2	104.7	
Link Distance (m)	904.7	904.7	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)	6		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	30		

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	R	L	Т	TR	L	TR	L	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	7.0	121.4	55.5	11.2	83.0	76.1	59.8	16.8	20.8	23.8	
Average Queue (m)	0.3	43.4	17.0	2.3	42.2	33.9	29.5	3.2	5.4	9.4	
95th Queue (m)	2.6	94.8	40.3	8.8	72.3	63.5	51.7	10.9	14.9	19.0	
Link Distance (m)		480.3	480.3		159.3	159.3		647.5		211.1	
Upstream Blk Time (%)											
Queuing Penalty (veh)											
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0			75.0			28.0		30.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)		12			0		14		0	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0			0		4		0	0	

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LR
Maximum Queue (m)	10.4	16.7	29.1
Average Queue (m)	5.7	10.2	16.3
95th Queue (m)	13.0	14.4	24.2
Link Distance (m)	256.1	192.0	647.5
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 241

	٭	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		र्स	1	ኘኘ	†	1	<u>۲</u>	^	1	ኘኘ	∱ }	
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	
Future Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8			4			6			
Detector Phase	8	8	8	7	4	4	1	6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	35.1	35.1	35.1	8.0	35.1	35.1	8.0	34.6	34.6	8.0	34.6	
Total Split (s)	47.0	47.0	47.0	17.0	64.0	64.0	35.0	43.0	43.0	33.0	41.0	
Total Split (%)	33.6%	33.6%	33.6%	12.1%	45.7%	45.7%	25.0%	30.7%	30.7%	23.6%	29.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		41.1	41.1	12.9	57.0	57.0	24.6	33.9	33.9	28.9	38.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.30	0.30	0.09	0.42	0.42	0.18	0.25	0.25	0.21	0.28	
v/c Ratio		0.32	0.29	0.68	0.34	0.91	0.84	0.87	0.81	0.94	0.26	
Control Delay		40.5	12.8	71.6	29.2	29.5	76.8	61.6	36.9	74.9	38.3	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		40.5	12.8	71.6	29.2	29.5	76.8	61.6	36.9	74.9	38.3	
LOS		D	В	E	С	С	E	E	D	E	D	
Approach Delay		26.7			36.1			56.6			65.7	
Approach LOS		С			D			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 140												
Actuated Cycle Length: 136	.5											
Natural Cycle: 100												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	coord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94												
Intersection Signal Delay: 4	9.2			li	ntersectio	on LOS: E)					
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion 88.7%	6		l	CU Level	of Servic	e E					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
					(in a 01	- 1 \ \ / 1						

Splits and Phases: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

▲ Ø1		▲ Ø4
35 s	41 s	64 s
Ø5	1 ø6	✓ Ø7
33 s	43 s	17 s 47 s

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2041 Gr 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

2041 Grade Seperation + Improvements et West Timing Plan: Weekday PM Peak

	٭	-	\mathbf{r}	∢	-	*	٩.	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	1	ሻሻ	•	1	5	^	1	ካካ	≜t ≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	9
Future Volume (vph)	6	121	132	184	210	738	247	672	344	526	174	9
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.7
Total Lost time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.97	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1807	1615	3346	1921	1593	1725	3411	1595	3313	2982	
Flt Permitted		0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1730	1615	3346	1921	1593	1725	3411	1595	3313	2982	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.38	0.80	0.80	0.86	0.78	0.84	0.95	0.91	0.76	0.80	0.90	0.32
Adj. Flow (vph)	16	151	165	214	269	879	260	738	453	658	193	28
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	87	0	0	303	0	0	164	0	8	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	167	78	214	269	576	260	738	289	658	213	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2			1	1		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	7%	1%	1%	23%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		8		7	4		1	6		5	2	
Permitted Phases	8		8			4			6			
Actuated Green, G (s)		41.1	41.1	12.9	57.0	57.0	24.6	33.9	33.9	28.9	38.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		41.1	41.1	12.9	57.0	57.0	24.6	33.9	33.9	28.9	38.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.30	0.30	0.09	0.42	0.42	0.18	0.25	0.25	0.21	0.28	
Clearance Time (s)		7.1	7.1	3.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	6.6	6.6	3.0	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		520	486	316	802	665	310	847	396	701	834	
v/s Ratio Prot				0.06	0.14		0.15	c0.22		c0.20	0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.10	0.05			c0.36			0.18			
v/c Ratio		0.32	0.16	0.68	0.34	0.87	0.84	0.87	0.73	0.94	0.26	
Uniform Delay, d1		36.9	35.0	59.8	26.9	36.3	54.0	49.2	47.1	52.9	38.1	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.6	0.7	5.7	1.1	14.2	17.7	9.8	6.8	20.2	0.7	
Delay (s)		38.5	35.7	65.4	28.1	50.5	71.7	59.0	53.9	73.1	38.9	
Level of Service		D	D	E	С	D	Е	Е	D	E	D	
Approach Delay (s)		37.2			48.4			59.7			64.5	
Approach LOS		D			D			Е			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			55.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		Е			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.91									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			136.5	S	um of los	t time (s)			19.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		88.7%	IC	U Level	of Service)		E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBT SBL SBT Lane Configurations 1<		≯	-	\rightarrow	-	-	1	†	1	ŧ
Lane Configurations 1	Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Traffic Volume (vph) 32 697 263 29 762 356 15 9 5 Future Volume (vph) 32 697 263 29 762 356 15 9 5 Turn Type Perm NA Perm NA pm+pt NA Pm NA Protected Phases 2 2 2 4 8 8 Detector Phase 2 2 2 7 4 8 8 Switch Phase 2 2 2 7 4 8 8 8 32.0 <t< td=""><td>Lane Configurations</td><td>5</td><td>+</td><td>1</td><td>5</td><td>≜t≽</td><td>5</td><td>ţ,</td><td>5</td><td>ĥ</td></t<>	Lane Configurations	5	+	1	5	≜t ≽	5	ţ,	5	ĥ
Future Volume (vph) 32 697 263 29 762 356 15 9 5 Turn Type Perm NA Perm NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA Perm NA pm+pt NA Perm NA premited Phases 2 2 7 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 8 Switch Phase 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 8 Minimum Initial (s) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 20 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 35.4 0 4.0	Traffic Volume (vph)	32	697	263	29	762	356	15	9	5
Turn Type Perm NA Perm Perm NA pm+pt NA Perm NA Protected Phases 2 2 2 7 4 8 Permitted Phases 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 Switch Phase 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 Minimum Initial (s) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 5.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 27.1 27.1 27.1 27.1 9.5 32.0 32	Future Volume (vph)	32	697	263	29	762	356	15	9	5
Protected Phases 2 2 7 4 8 Permitted Phases 2 2 2 2 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 Minimum Initial (s) 8.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 </td <td>Turn Type</td> <td>Perm</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Perm</td> <td>Perm</td> <td>NA</td> <td>pm+pt</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Perm</td> <td>NA</td>	Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA
Permitted Phases 2 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 Detector Phase 2 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 8 Switch Phase 8.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 <	Protected Phases		2			2	7	4		8
Detector Phase 2 2 2 2 2 7 4 8 8 Minimum Initial (s) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 5.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 27.1 27.	Permitted Phases	2		2	2		4		8	
Switch Phase Minimum Initial (s) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 5.0 8.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.1 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	Detector Phase	2	2	2	2	2	7	4	8	8
Minimum Initial (s) 8.0 3.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 32.0 <t< td=""><td>Switch Phase</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Switch Phase									
Minimum Split (s) 27.1 27.1 27.1 27.1 27.1 27.1 27.1 9.5 32.0 32.	Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Total Split (s) 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 38.0 70.0 32.0 32.0 Total Split (%) 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 27.1% 50.0% 22.9% 22.9% Yellow Time (s) 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.1 <td< td=""><td>Minimum Split (s)</td><td>27.1</td><td>27.1</td><td>27.1</td><td>27.1</td><td>27.1</td><td>9.5</td><td>32.0</td><td>32.0</td><td>32.0</td></td<>	Minimum Split (s)	27.1	27.1	27.1	27.1	27.1	9.5	32.0	32.0	32.0
Total Split (%) 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 27.1% 50.0% 22.9% 22.9% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 1.1 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) -3.1 -3.1 -3.1 -3.0 -2.0 <t< td=""><td>Total Split (s)</td><td>70.0</td><td>70.0</td><td>70.0</td><td>70.0</td><td>70.0</td><td>38.0</td><td>70.0</td><td>32.0</td><td>32.0</td></t<>	Total Split (s)	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	38.0	70.0	32.0	32.0
Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) -3.1 -3.1 -3.0 -3.1 -3.0 -2.0 -2.0 -2.0 -2.0 Total Lost Time (s) 4.0	Total Split (%)	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	27.1%	50.0%	22.9%	22.9%
All-Red Time (s) 3.1 3.0 -2.0	Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lost Time Adjust (s) -3.1 -3.1 -3.1 -3.0 -3.1 -3.0 -2.0 -2.0 -2.0 Total Lost Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.1 4.0 1.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag	All-Red Time (s)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Lost Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.1 4.0 1.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lag <t< td=""><td>Lost Time Adjust (s)</td><td>-3.1</td><td>-3.1</td><td>-3.1</td><td>-3.0</td><td>-3.1</td><td>-3.0</td><td>-2.0</td><td>-2.0</td><td>-2.0</td></t<>	Lost Time Adjust (s)	-3.1	-3.1	-3.1	-3.0	-3.1	-3.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0
Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lag Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Recall Mode Max Max Max Max Max None	Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0	1.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Recall Mode Max Max Max Max Max Max None	Lead/Lag						Lead		Lag	Lag
Recall Mode Max Max <th< td=""><td>Lead-Lag Optimize?</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Yes</td><td></td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td></th<>	Lead-Lag Optimize?						Yes		Yes	Yes
Act Effct Green (s) 66.1 66.1 66.1 66.1 47.7 45.2 10.3 10.3 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.40 0.38 0.09 0.09 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.72 0.37 0.27 0.45 0.97 0.09 0.14 0.21 Control Delay 15.7 25.6 5.6 20.1 17.3 63.0 10.8 54.8 29.6 Queue Delay 0.0 <td>Recall Mode</td> <td>Max</td> <td>Max</td> <td>Max</td> <td>Max</td> <td>Max</td> <td>None</td> <td>None</td> <td>None</td> <td>None</td>	Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	None
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.40 0.38 0.09 0.09 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.72 0.37 0.27 0.45 0.97 0.09 0.14 0.21 Control Delay 15.7 25.6 5.6 20.1 17.3 63.0 10.8 54.8 29.6 Queue Delay 0.0	Act Effct Green (s)	66.1	66.1	66.1	66.0	66.1	47.7	45.2	10.3	10.3
v/c Ratio 0.13 0.72 0.37 0.27 0.45 0.97 0.09 0.14 0.21 Control Delay 15.7 25.6 5.6 20.1 17.3 63.0 10.8 54.8 29.6 Queue Delay 0.0 <t< td=""><td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td><td>0.55</td><td>0.55</td><td>0.55</td><td>0.55</td><td>0.55</td><td>0.40</td><td>0.38</td><td>0.09</td><td>0.09</td></t<>	Actuated g/C Ratio	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.40	0.38	0.09	0.09
Control Delay 15.7 25.6 5.6 20.1 17.3 63.0 10.8 54.8 29.6 Queue Delay 0.0	v/c Ratio	0.13	0.72	0.37	0.27	0.45	0.97	0.09	0.14	0.21
Queue Delay 0.0 <th< td=""><td>Control Delay</td><td>15.7</td><td>25.6</td><td>5.6</td><td>20.1</td><td>17.3</td><td>63.0</td><td>10.8</td><td>54.8</td><td>29.6</td></th<>	Control Delay	15.7	25.6	5.6	20.1	17.3	63.0	10.8	54.8	29.6
Total Delay 15.7 25.6 5.6 20.1 17.3 63.0 10.8 54.8 29.6 LOS B C A C B E B D C Approach Delay 19.1 17.4 58.5 37.4 Approach LOS B B B E D Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 119.4 Actuated Cycle: 90 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Aximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection LOS: C Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOSBCACBEBDCApproach Delay19.117.458.537.4Approach LOSBBEDIntersection SummaryCycle Length: 140Actuated Cycle Length: 119.4Natural Cycle: 90Control Type: Semi Act-UncoordMaximum v/c Ratio: 0.97Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9Intersection LOS: CIntersection Capacity Utilization 69.7%ICU Level of Service CAnalysis Period (min) 15Splits and Phases:2: Station Road & King Street West	Total Delay	15.7	25.6	5.6	20.1	17.3	63.0	10.8	54.8	29.6
Approach Delay 19.1 17.4 58.5 37.4 Approach LOS B B E D Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 119.4 Actuated Cycle: 90 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection LOS: C Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West Image: Context Capacity Ca	LOS	В	С	A	С	В	E	В	D	С
Approach LOS B B E D Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 119.4 Actuated Cycle: 90 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Approach Delay		19.1			17.4		58.5		37.4
Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 119.4 Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Approach LOS		В			В		E		D
Cycle Length: 140 Actuated Cycle Length: 119.4 Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Intersection Summary									
Actuated Cycle Length: 119.4 Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Cycle Length: 140									
Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Actuated Cycle Length: 119	9.4								
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Natural Cycle: 90									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Control Type: Semi Act-Un	coord								
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97									
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Intersection Signal Delay: 2	28.9			li	ntersectio	on LOS: C)		
Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 69.7°	%		l	CU Level	of Servic	ce C		
Splits and Phases: 2: Station Road & King Street West	Analysis Period (min) 15									
	Splits and Dhasas: 2: St	ation Door	l & King (Stroot M/c	vet					
					ગ					



	٦	-	\mathbf{i}	4	+	*	•	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	•	1	ሻ	≜ 16		5	f,		ሻ	f,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	697	263	29	762	19	356	15	28	9	5	14
Future Volume (vph)	32	697	263	29	762	19	356	15	28	9	5	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0		1.5	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.90	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1804	1863	1495	1805	3416		1805	1710		1805	1710	
Flt Permitted	0.26	1.00	1.00	0.19	1.00		0.63	1.00		0.72	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	497	1863	1495	352	3416		1204	1710		1364	1710	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.94	0.75	0.56	0.93	0.59	0.55	0.75	0.70	0.56	0.42	0.58
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	741	351	52	819	32	647	20	40	16	12	24
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	114	0	2	0	0	25	0	0	22	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	741	237	52	849	0	647	35	0	16	14	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	8%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			2		7	4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	2			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	63.1	63.1	63.1	63.1	63.1		44.6	44.6		6.5	6.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	66.2	66.2	66.2	66.1	66.2		47.6	46.6		8.5	8.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55		0.39	0.39		0.07	0.07	
Clearance Time (s)	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1		4.5	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	272	1020	819	192	1872		656	659		95	120	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.40			0.25		c0.30	0.02			0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.07		0.16	0.15			0.09			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.73	0.29	0.27	0.45		0.99	0.05		0.17	0.11	
Uniform Delay, d1	13.3	20.5	14.7	14.5	16.4		34.8	23.3		52.8	52.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.0	4.5	0.9	3.4	0.8		31.4	0.0		0.8	0.4	
Delay (s)	14.3	25.0	15.6	18.0	17.2		66.2	23.3		53.7	53.0	
Level of Service	В	С	В	В	В		E	С		D	D	
Approach Delay (s)		21.7			17.3			62.6			53.2	
Approach LOS		С			В			E			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			31.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.82									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	•		120.8	S	um of los	t time (s)			9.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		69.7%	IC	CU Level	of Servic	e		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٦	-	-	•	1	∢
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्च	eî 👘		Y	
Sign Control		Stop	Stop		Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	9	31	461	154	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	9	31	461	154	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.63	0.76	0.86	0.94	0.78	0.42
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	12	36	490	197	0
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	12	526	197			
Volume Left (vph)	0	0	197			
Volume Right (vph)	0	490	0			
Hadj (s)	0.00	-0.56	0.20			
Departure Headway (s)	5.0	3.9	5.2			
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.57	0.29			
Capacity (veh/h)	660	889	640			
Control Delay (s)	8.1	12.0	10.3			
Approach Delay (s)	8.1	12.0	10.3			
Approach LOS	А	В	В			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			11.5			
Level of Service			В			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		45.3%	IC	U Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

••												
Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	R	L	L	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	L
Maximum Queue (m)	46.0	23.4	36.2	39.9	57.6	103.3	98.9	115.2	129.5	105.6	94.9	94.0
Average Queue (m)	22.5	11.9	15.4	19.7	24.4	43.6	55.0	71.5	73.2	37.4	61.3	58.0
95th Queue (m)	41.0	19.8	30.1	33.6	49.5	90.4	88.1	105.4	109.6	78.7	87.7	85.5
Link Distance (m)	234.3				480.2	480.2		1016.3	1016.3			
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (m)		20.0	57.0	57.0			58.0			35.0	70.0	70.0
Storage Blk Time (%)	18	1			0		9	19	40	6	6	4
Queuing Penalty (veh)	23	1			1		31	48	137	19	6	4

Intersection: 1: Coleraine Drive & Harvest Moon Drive/King Street West

Movement	SB	SB	
Directions Served	Т	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	43.0	48.0	
Average Queue (m)	19.2	22.7	
95th Queue (m)	37.5	42.0	
Link Distance (m)	904.7	904.7	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 2: Station Road & King Street West

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	R	L	Т	TR	L	TR	L	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	76.0	149.0	39.3	25.7	63.8	70.6	91.6	94.7	13.7	14.1	
Average Queue (m)	7.7	64.3	13.5	6.3	29.6	33.5	54.6	12.3	2.5	4.7	
95th Queue (m)	38.4	127.5	29.9	16.9	52.5	59.9	85.9	52.0	8.9	12.7	
Link Distance (m)		480.2	480.2		153.3	153.3		647.5		211.1	
Upstream Blk Time (%)											
Queuing Penalty (veh)											
Storage Bay Dist (m)	23.0			75.0			28.0		30.0		
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	21			0		37	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	7			0		16	0			

Intersection: 3: Old Ellwood Drive & Station Road

Movement	EB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LR
Maximum Queue (m)	9.2	40.4	20.6
Average Queue (m)	2.8	19.2	12.4
95th Queue (m)	9.6	30.7	19.2
Link Distance (m)	256.1	192.0	647.5
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 292

Junctions 9

ARCADY 9 - Roundabout Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 [] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2017

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 email: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: B000738 - CA01.j9 Path: Z:\Cima-C11\Project\C11-08\B000738_Peel-CP Grade Separation EA\300_CALCULATIONS\ARCADY Report generation date: 6/7/2017 8:43:11 AM

»C-90 - 2041, AM
»C-90 - 2041, PM
»C-90 - 2041 Grade Separated, AM
»C-90 - 2041 Grade Separated, PM
»C-100 - 2041, AM
»C-100 - 2041, PM
»C-100 - 2041 Grade Separated, AM
»C-100 - 2041 Grade Separated, PM

Summary of intersection performance

	1				AM							РМ		
	95% Queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	V/C Ratio	LOS	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS	Network Residual Capacity	95% Queue (Veh)	Delay (s)	V/C Ratio	LOS	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS	Network Residual Capacity
							C-90	- 2041						
1 - SB Emil Kolb	27.5	16.35	0.85	С			-7 %	1.9	5.03	0.49	A			3%
2 - EB Harvest Moon	9.8	85.18	0.72	F	22.04	C	[2 - FB	0.5	7.13	0.18	A	16.81	C	0 /0
3 - NB Coleraine	1.3	3.23	0.23	A	22.94	U U	Harvest	48.3	23.05	0.91	C	10.01	U U	[3 - NB
4 - WB King St	2.3	10.19	0.60	В			Moon]	5.9	19.48	0.57	C			Colerainej
						C-90 ·	2041 Gr	ade Se	parate	ed				
1 - SB Emil Kolb	31.6	19.25	0.87	С			-13 %	2.1	4.67	0.47	Α			61.9/
2 - EB Harvest Moon	35.4	394.77	1.29	F	69.09			1.0	7.19	0.19	A	E 10		01 70
3 - NB Coleraine	1.0	3.31	0.21	A	00.90		Harvest	2.8	3.76	0.38	A	5.10	A	[1 - SB
4 - WB King St	6.2	11.67	0.68	В			Moon]	2.7	6.53	0.41	A			
							C-100	- 2041						
1 - SB Emil Kolb	3.4	5.98	0.67	Α			7 %	2.8	3.29	0.39	A			27.9/
2 - EB Harvest Moon	2.0	20.26	0.36	С	7 70			0.5	5.10	0.13	Α	6.40		21 70
3 - NB Coleraine	0.5	2.42	0.19	A	7.70	A	Harvest	5.1	6.38	0.72	A	6.40	A	[3 - NB
4 - WB King St	2.1	6.19	0.48	A			Moon]	3.2	9.97	0.40	A			Colerainej
						C-100	- 2041 G	rade Se	eparat	ed				
1 - SB Emil Kolb	3.6	6.35	0.68	A			0 %	2.8	3.08	0.37	Α			02.0/
2 - EB Harvest Moon	6.3	34.13	0.57	D	10.07	P		0.5	5.13	0.14	A	2.54		92 %
3 - NB Coleraine	0.5	2.52	0.16	А	10.07	В	l∠ - EB Harvest	1.5	2.62	0.30	А	3.51	A	[1 - SB
4 - WB King St	1.5	6.64	0.54	A			Moon]	2.2	4.58	0.33	A			Emil Kolb]

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Intersection LOS and

Intersection Delay are demand-weighted averages. Network Residual Capacity indicates the amount by which network flow could be increased before a user-definable threshold (see Analysis Options) is met.

File summary

File Description

Title	(untitled)
Location	
Site number	
Date	3/6/2015
Version	
Status	Conceptual
Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	
Analyst	
Description	

Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	Veh	Veh	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Analysis Options

Vehicle	Calculate Queue	Calculate detailed	Calculate residual	Residual capacity	V/C Ratio	Average Delay	Queue
length (m)	Percentiles	queueing delay	capacity	criteria type	Threshold	threshold (s)	threshold (PCE)
7.00	\checkmark		~	Delay	0.85	36.00	20.00

Demand Set Summary

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Results for central hour only	Run automatically
D1	2041	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	✓	✓
D2	2041	PM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓
D3	2041 Grade Separated	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓
D4	2041 Grade Separated	PM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓

C-90 - 2041, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity Area Item		ltem	Description			
Warning Demand Sets D1 - 2041, AM		D1 - 2041, AM	Fime results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)			
Warning Queue variations Analysis Options		Analysis Options	Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.			

Analysis Set Details

ID	Name	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A1	C-90	~	100.000	100.000

Intersection Network

Intersections

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	22.94	С

Intersection Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold
Right	Normal/unknown	-7	2 - EB Harvest Moon

Legs

Legs

Leg	Name	Description
1	SB Emil Kolb	
2	EB Harvest Moon	
3	NB Coleraine	
4	WB King St	

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0 44.0		20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		
2 - EB Harvest Moon	~	100
3 - NB Coleraine		
4 - WB King St	✓	100

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Leg	Final slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.797	2242
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.565	1218
3 - NB Coleraine	0.797	2242
4 - WB King St	0.556	1199

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Leg Capacity Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario	Time Period	Traffic profile	Start time	Finish time	Time segment length	Results for central	Run
	name	name	type	(HH:mm)	(HH:mm)	(min)	hour only	automatically
D1	2041	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
✓	√	Truck Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	✓	1248	89.000
2 - EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	✓	464	89.000
3 - NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	✓	349	89.000
4 - WB King St		ONE HOUR	~	982	89.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

	То											
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St							
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	5	944	299							
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	4	0	364	96							
	3 - NB Coleraine	215	43	0	91							
	4 - WB King St	431	35	516	0							

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

	То											
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St							
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	2	4	13	2							
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	25	2	3	8							
	3 - NB Coleraine	27	2	2	7							
	4 - WB King St	2	6	3	2							

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.85	16.35	5.3	27.5	С	1111	1111
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.72	85.18	2.1	9.8	F	396	89
3 - NB Coleraine	0.23	3.23	0.3	1.3	A	311	311
4 - WB King St	0.60	10.19	1.5	2.3	В	870	490

Main Results for each time segment

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	345	474	1512	0.66 1	995	175	1.1	1.9	6.933	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	356	80	20	291	0	1403	298	0.26 8	79	66	0.2	0.4	16.40 8	С
3 - NB Coleraine	279	279	70	0	291	318	1501	0.18 6	279	1165	0.2	0.2	2.945	A
4 - WB King St	782	441	110	345	0	209	921	0.47 9	440	387	0.6	0.9	7.464	A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	422	580	1441	0.84 9	1210	214	1.9	5.1	14.86 0	В
2 - EB Harvest Moon	436	98	24	357	0	1709	143	0.68 4	92	81	0.4	1.7	65.14 2	F
3 - NB Coleraine	342	342	85	0	357	382	1461	0.23 4	342	1419	0.2	0.3	3.216	A
4 - WB King St	957	540	135	422	0	256	893	0.60 5	538	468	0.9	1.5	10.06 0	в

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	422	582	1439	0.85 0	1222	215	5.1	5.3	16.35 1	С
2 - EB Harvest Moon	436	98	24	357	0	1722	136	0.71 8	96	81	1.7	2.1	85.18 1	F
3 - NB Coleraine	342	342	85	0	357	389	1456	0.23 5	342	1430	0.3	0.3	3.229	A
4 - WB King St	957	540	135	422	0	257	893	0.60 5	540	475	1.5	1.5	10.19 2	в

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	345	478	1509	0.66 2	1012	176	5.3	2.0	7.422	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	356	80	20	291	0	1423	288	0.27 8	87	67	2.1	0.4	18.46 9	С
3 - NB Coleraine	279	279	70	0	291	329	1494	0.18 7	280	1180	0.3	0.2	2.964	A
4 - WB King St	782	441	110	345	0	210	921	0.47 9	443	399	1.5	0.9	7.577	A

Queue Variation Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1.90	0.05	0.48	5.07	8.19			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.36	0.03	0.28	0.54	1.02			N/A	N/A

3 - NB Coleraine	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.90	0.11	0.91	1.25	1.68	N/A	N/A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	5.06	0.03	0.35	10.66	27.52			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	1.75	0.04	0.35	4.30	9.01			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.30	0.03	0.25	0.45	0.48			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.49	0.03	0.27	1.49	1.94			N/A	N/A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	5.33	0.03	0.30	5.33	21.58			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	2.12	0.04	0.45	5.80	9.78			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.31	0.03	0.31	1.01	1.28			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.51	0.03	0.27	1.51	2.33			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	2.00	0.05	0.47	5.40	8.81			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.39	0.03	0.34	1.08	1.08			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.93	0.09	0.90	1.48	1.84			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		✓	90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		✓	90.00

C-90 - 2041, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	Demand Sets	D2 - 2041, PM	Time results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)
Warning	Queue variations	Analysis Options	Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.

Analysis Set Details

ID	Name	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A1	C-90	~	100.000	100.000

Intersection Network

Intersections

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	16.81	С

Intersection Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold	
Right	Normal/unknown	3	3 - NB Coleraine	

Legs

Legs

Leg	Name	Description
1	SB Emil Kolb	
2	EB Harvest Moon	
3	NB Coleraine	
4	WB King St	

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		
2 - EB Harvest Moon	✓	100
3 - NB Coleraine		
4 - WB King St	✓	100

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Leg	Final slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.797	2242
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.565	1218
3 - NB Coleraine	0.797	2242
4 - WB King St	0.556	1199

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Leg Capacity Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario	Time Period	Traffic profile	Start time	Finish time	Time segment length	Results for central	Run
	name	name	type	(HH:mm)	(HH:mm)	(min)	hour only	automatically
D2	2041	PM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
√	√	Truck Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	✓	709	89.000
2 - EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	✓	259	89.000
3 - NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	~	1500	89.000
4 - WB King St		ONE HOUR	~	787	89.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

	То							
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St			
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	9	353	347			
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	6	0	148	105			
	3 - NB Coleraine	873	363	0	264			
	4 - WB King St	537	94	156	0			

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

	То						
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St		
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	2	2	23	1		
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	2	2	2	2		
	3 - NB Coleraine	7	2	2	1		
	4 - WB King St	1	2	2	2		

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.49	5.03	1.0	1.9	A	631	631
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.18	7.13	0.2	0.5	A	231	99
3 - NB Coleraine	0.91	23.05	8.9	48.3	C	1335	1335
4 - WB King St	0.57	19.48	1.3	5.9	С	696	223

Main Results for each time segment

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	430	489	1483	0.38 2	567	701	0.4	0.6	3.924	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	207	89	22	118	0	684	699	0.12 7	89	371	0.1	0.1	5.898	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1200	1200	300	0	118	366	1673	0.71 7	1196	406	1.4	2.5	7.473	A
4 - WB King St	625	200	50	430	0	990	545	0.36 7	199	572	0.4	0.6	10.37 9	В

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	526	593	1415	0.49 1	693	848	0.6	1.0	4.977	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	254	109	27	145	0	836	615	0.17 7	108	450	0.1	0.2	7.103	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1470	1470	367	0	145	448	1617	0.90 9	1447	496	2.5	8.1	19.16 5	С
4 - WB King St	766	245	61	526	0	1199	437	0.56 0	242	697	0.6	1.2	18.23 9	С

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	526	600	1411	0.49 2	695	859	1.0	1.0	5.026	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	254	109	27	145	0	839	614	0.17 7	109	456	0.2	0.2	7.129	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1470	1470	367	0	145	449	1616	0.91 0	1467	499	8.1	8.9	23.04 6	С
4 - WB King St	766	245	61	526	0	1214	429	0.57 1	245	701	1.2	1.3	19.48 0	С

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	430	499	1476	0.38 4	569	718	1.0	0.6	3.971	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	207	89	22	118	0	688	697	0.12 7	89	380	0.2	0.1	5.930	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1200	1200	300	0	118	367	1672	0.71 8	1225	410	8.9	2.6	8.475	A
4 - WB King St	625	200	50	430	0	1014	533	0.37 6	203	578	1.3	0.6	11.00 0	В

Queue Variation Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.61	0.09	0.81	1.36	1.43			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.14			N/A	N/A

3 - NB Coleraine	2.47	0.05	0.46	6.81	11.42	N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.57	0.07	0.74	1.35	1.42	N/A	N/A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.95	0.03	0.26	0.95	0.95			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.21	0.03	0.26	0.46	0.49			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	8.08	0.05	0.47	22.75	42.43			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.22	0.03	0.28	1.22	2.98			N/A	N/A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.96	0.03	0.27	0.96	1.89			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.21	0.03	0.26	0.47	0.50			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	8.87	0.04	0.35	17.98	48.28			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.29	0.03	0.30	1.40	5.91			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.63	0.55	1.00	1.40	1.45			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	2.62	0.04	0.43	7.22	12.80			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.61	0.05	0.58	1.36	1.46			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		✓	90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		✓	90.00

C-90 - 2041 Grade Separated, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Demand Sets	D3 - 2041 Grade Separated, AM	Time results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)
Warning	Queue variations	Analysis Options	Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.

Analysis Set Details

ID Name Include in report		Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)	
A1	C-90	~	100.000	100.000	

Intersection Network

Intersections

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
--------------	------	-------------------	-----------	------------------------	------------------

1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	68.98	F
---	----------------	---------------------	---------	-------	---

Intersection Network Options

Driving side Lighting		Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold	
Right	Normal/unknown	-13	2 - EB Harvest Moon	

Legs

LegNameDescription1SB Emil Kolb2EB Harvest Moon3NB Coleraine4WB King St

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)	
1 - SB Emil Kolb			
2 - EB Harvest Moon	✓	100	
3 - NB Coleraine			
4 - WB King St	\checkmark	100	

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Leg	Final slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.797	2242
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.565	1218
3 - NB Coleraine	0.797	2242
4 - WB King St	0.556	1199

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Leg Capacity Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00

Traffic Demand
Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Results for central hour only	Run automatically
D3	2041 Grade Separated	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
\checkmark	✓	Truck Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	✓	1248	89.000
2 - EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	✓	464	89.000
3 - NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	✓	286	89.000
4 - WB King St		ONE HOUR	✓	1173	89.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

			То		
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	5	748	495
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	4	0	324	136
	3 - NB Coleraine	132	21	0	133
	4 - WB King St	514	57	602	0

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

			То		
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	2	4	13	2
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	25	2	3	8
	3 - NB Coleraine	27	2	2	7
	4 - WB King St	2	6	3	2

Results

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.87	19.25	6.2	31.6	С	1111	1111
2 - EB Harvest Moon	1.29	394.77	17.0	35.4	F	398	125
3 - NB Coleraine	0.21	3.31	0.3	1.0	A	255	255
4 - WB King St	0.68	11.67	2.1	6.2	В	1038	587

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	411	543	1489	0.67 1	995	109	1.2	2.0	7.247	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	358	112	28	259	0	1472	274	0.40 9	111	66	0.3	0.7	21.87 9	С
3 - NB Coleraine	229	229	57	0	259	505	1418	0.16 1	229	1077	0.1	0.2	3.025	A
4 - WB King St	933	527	132	411	0	125	970	0.54 4	526	608	0.8	1.2	8.077	A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypas s deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	504	663	1407	0.86 9	1208	132	2.0	5.8	16.928	С
2 - EB Harvest Moon	439	137	34	317	0	1790	115	1.19 8	105	81	0.7	8.8	212.31 0	F
3 - NB Coleraine	280	280	70	0	317	584	1369	0.20 5	280	1311	0.2	0.3	3.304	A
4 - WB King St	1143	646	161	504	0	153	954	0.67 7	642	711	1.2	2.0	11.436	В

08:30 - 08:45

J8:30 - 08:45														
Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypas s deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	504	666	1404	0.87 1	1221	132	5.8	6.2	19.254	С
2 - EB Harvest Moon	439	137	34	317	0	1806	106	1.29 2	104	81	8.8	17.0	394.76 8	F
3 - NB Coleraine	280	280	70	0	317	589	1366	0.20 5	280	1322	0.3	0.3	3.314	A
4 - WB King St	1143	646	161	504	0	153	954	0.67 7	646	716	2.0	2.1	11.675	В

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	411	547	1485	0.67 2	1015	111	6.2	2.1	7.912	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	358	112	28	259	0	1496	262	0.42 8	177	67	17.0	0.8	74.24 1	F
3 - NB Coleraine	229	229	57	0	259	579	1369	0.16 7	229	1093	0.3	0.2	3.160	A
4 - WB King St	933	527	132	411	0	128	969	0.54 4	531	681	2.1	1.2	8.280	A

Queue Variation Results for each time segment

08:00 - 08:15

Log	Mean	Q05	Q50	Q90	Q95	Percentile	Marker	Probability of reaching or	Probability of exactly
Leg	(Veh)	(Veh)	(Veh)	(Veh)	(Veh)	message	message	exceeding marker	reaching marker

1 - SB Emil Kolb	1.99	0.05	0.47	5.37	8.74	N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.67	0.03	0.34	1.54	2.81	N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.17	0.08	0.97	2.09	2.84	N/A	N/A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	5.82	0.04	0.38	14.29	31.64			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	8.83	1.08	6.93	16.66	20.43			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.26	0.03	0.25	0.46	0.48			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	2.02	0.03	0.28	2.02	6.17			N/A	N/A

08:30 - 08:45

08:30 - 08:45									
Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	6.23	0.03	0.31	7.40	29.61			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	17.02	4.08	14.66	29.80	35.38			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.26	0.03	0.28	0.50	0.98			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	2.06	0.03	0.27	2.06	3.16			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	2.10	0.04	0.45	5.74	9.68			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.81	0.03	0.28	0.83	3.00			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.22	0.07	0.90	2.47	3.38			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		\checkmark	90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		\checkmark	90.00

C-90 - 2041 Grade Separated, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	ty Area Item		Description
Warning	Demand Sets	D4 - 2041 Grade Separated, PM	Time results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)
Warning	Warning Queue variations Analysis Options		Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.

Analysis Set Details

ID	Name	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A1	C-90	✓	100.000	100.000

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	5.10	А

Intersection Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold
Right	Normal/unknown	61	1 - SB Emil Kolb

Legs

Legs

Legs	5	
Leg	Name	Description
1	SB Emil Kolb	
2	EB Harvest Moon	
3	NB Coleraine	
4	WB King St	

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		
2 - EB Harvest Moon	✓	100
3 - NB Coleraine		
4 - WB King St	✓	100

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Final slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
0.797	2242
0.565	1218
0.797	2242
0.556	1199
	Final slope 0.797 0.565 0.797 0.556

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Leg Capacity Adjust	eg Capacity Adjustments												
Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)										
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		90.00										
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		90.00										
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		90.00										
4 - WB King St	Percentage		90.00										

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Results for central hour only	Run automatically
D4	2041 Grade Separated	PM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
✓	√	Truck Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

ļ	Demand overview (Traffic)												
	Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)							
ſ	1 - SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	✓	709	89.000							
ſ	2 - EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	✓	253	89.000							
ſ	3 - NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	✓	591	89.000							
ĺ	4 - WB King St		ONE HOUR	✓	394	89.000							

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

			То		
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	9	174	526
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	0	0	132	121
	3 - NB Coleraine	0	247	0	344
	4 - WB King St	0	210	184	0

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

			То		
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	2	23	1
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	0	2	2	2
	3 - NB Coleraine	0	2	2	1
	4 - WB King St	0	2	2	2

Results

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)
I - SB Emil Kolb 0.47		4.67	0.9	2.1	A	631	631
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.19	7.19	0.2	1.0	A	225	108
3 - NB Coleraine 0.38		3.76	0.6	2.8 A		526	526
4 - WB King St	0.41	6.53	0.7	2.7	A	351	351

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	0	512	1545	0.36 7	567	0	0.4	0.6	3.679	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	202	97	24	106	0	706	703	0.13 8	97	372	0.1	0.2	5.936	A
3 - NB Coleraine	473	473	118	0	106	517	1620	0.29 2	472	286	0.3	0.4	3.137	A
4 - WB King St	315	315	79	0	0	197	959	0.32 9	315	792	0.4	0.5	5.583	A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	0	627	1466	0.47 4	693	0	0.6	0.9	4.654	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	248	119	30	129	0	865	620	0.19 1	118	456	0.2	0.2	7.174	A
3 - NB Coleraine	579	579	145	0	129	633	1537	0.37 7	578	350	0.4	0.6	3.750	A
4 - WB King St	386	386	97	0	0	242	937	0.41 2	385	969	0.5	0.7	6.515	A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	0	628	1465	0.47 4	695	0	0.9	0.9	4.673	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	248	119	30	129	0	866	619	0.19 2	119	457	0.2	0.2	7.194	A
3 - NB Coleraine	579	579	145	0	129	634	1536	0.37 7	579	351	0.6	0.6	3.759	A
4 - WB King St	386	386	97	0	0	242	937	0.41 2	386	971	0.7	0.7	6.535	A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	0	514	1543	0.36 8	569	0	0.9	0.6	3.699	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	202	97	24	106	0	709	702	0.13 8	97	374	0.2	0.2	5.957	A
3 - NB Coleraine	473	473	118	0	106	519	1619	0.29 2	474	287	0.6	0.4	3.144	A
4 - WB King St	315	315	79	0	0	198	959	0.32 9	316	795	0.7	0.5	5.608	A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.58	0.08	0.77	1.35	1.43			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.16			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.41			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.49			N/A	N/A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.89	0.03	0.26	0.89	0.89			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.23	0.03	0.26	0.46	0.49			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.60	0.03	0.25	0.60	0.60			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.69	0.03	0.25	0.69	0.69			N/A	N/A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.90	0.03	0.27	0.90	2.11			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.24	0.03	0.28	0.50	0.96			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.60	0.03	0.29	1.30	2.79			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.70	0.03	0.28	0.87	2.73			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.58	0.55	1.00	1.40	1.45			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.16			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.41			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.49			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	I' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		✓	90.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			90.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		1	90.00

C-100 - 2041, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	verity Area Item		Description				
Warning Demand Sets D1 - 2041, AM		D1 - 2041, AM	Time results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)				
Warning Queue variations Analysis Options		Analysis Options	Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.				

Analysis Set Details

ID	Name	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)		
A2	C-100	~	100.000	100.000		

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	7.70	А

Intersection Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold
Right	Normal/unknown	7	2 - EB Harvest Moon

Legs

Legs

Leg	Name	Description
1	SB Emil Kolb	
2	EB Harvest Moon	
3	NB Coleraine	
4	WB King St	

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)	
1 - SB Emil Kolb			
2 - EB Harvest Moon	✓	100	
3 - NB Coleraine			
4 - WB King St	✓	100	

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00

_

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

rinal slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
0.797	2492
0.565	1353
0.797	2492
0.556	1332
	0.797 0.565 0.797 0.556

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Leg Capacity Adjustments												
Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)									
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00									
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00									
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00									
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00									

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario	Time Period	Period Traffic profile Start time		Finish time	Time segment length	Results for central	Run	
	name	name	ame type (HH:mm)		(HH:mm)	(min)	hour only	automatically	
D1	2041	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓	

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
✓	√	Truck Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

	Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - \$	SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	✓	1248	89.000
2 - I	EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	~	464	89.000
3 - 1	NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	~	349	89.000
4 - ۱	WB King St		ONE HOUR	~	982	89.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

			То				
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St		
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	5	944	299		
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	4	0	364	96		
	3 - NB Coleraine	215	43	0	91		
	4 - WB King St	431	35	516	0		

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

			То				
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St		
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	2	4	13	2		
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	25	2	3	8		
	3 - NB Coleraine	27	2	2	7		
	4 - WB King St	2	6	3	2		

Results

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)	
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.67	5.98	2.0	3.4	A	1111	1111	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.36	20.26	0.5	2.0	С	396	89	
3 - NB Coleraine	0.19	2.42	0.2	0.5	A	311	311	
4 - WB King St	0.48	6.19	0.9	2.1	A	870	490	

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	345	475	1905	0.52 4	997	175	0.7	1.1	3.958	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	356	80	20	291	0	1405	455	0.17 6	80	66	0.1	0.2	9.594	A
3 - NB Coleraine	279	279	70	0	291	319	1877	0.14 9	279	1166	0.1	0.2	2.252	A
4 - WB King St	782	441	110	345	0	210	1152	0.38 3	440	388	0.5	0.6	5.050	A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	422	581	1826	0.67 0	1219	214	1.1	2.0	5.899	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	436	98	24	357	0	1719	278	0.35 2	97	81	0.2	0.5	19.71 9	С
3 - NB Coleraine	342	342	85	0	357	389	1828	0.18 7	342	1427	0.2	0.2	2.421	A
4 - WB King St	957	540	135	422	0	257	1121	0.48 2	539	474	0.6	0.9	6.167	A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	422	582	1825	0.67 0	1223	215	2.0	2.0	5.976	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	436	98	24	357	0	1724	275	0.35 6	98	81	0.5	0.5	20.26 1	С
3 - NB Coleraine	342	342	85	0	357	391	1827	0.18 7	342	1431	0.2	0.2	2.423	A
4 - WB King St	957	540	135	422	0	257	1121	0.48 2	540	476	0.9	0.9	6.193	A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	345	476	1904	0.52 5	1002	175	2.0	1.1	4.008	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	356	80	20	291	0	1412	451	0.17 8	81	67	0.5	0.2	9.776	A
3 - NB Coleraine	279	279	70	0	291	321	1875	0.14 9	279	1172	0.2	0.2	2.255	A
4 - WB King St	782	441	110	345	0	210	1152	0.38 3	442	391	0.9	0.6	5.077	A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1.09	0.06	0.66	2.36	3.38			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.21			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.17			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.61	0.11	0.86	1.37	1.44			N/A	N/A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1.99	0.03	0.27	1.99	1.99			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.53	0.03	0.26	0.53	0.53			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.23	0.03	0.25	0.46	0.48			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.92	0.03	0.26	0.92	0.92			N/A	N/A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	2.01	0.03	0.26	2.01	2.01			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.54	0.03	0.34	1.11	1.99			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.23	0.03	0.25	0.45	0.48			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.92	0.03	0.27	0.92	2.07			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1.11	0.13	1.05	1.73	1.99			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.22			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.18			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.63	0.17	0.91	1.38	1.44			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	I' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		✓	100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		1	100.00

C-100 - 2041, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Demand Sets	D2 - 2041, PM	Time results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)
Warning	Queue variations	Analysis Options	Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.

Analysis Set Details

ID	Name	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A2	C-100	~	100.000	100.000

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	6.40	A

Intersection Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold
Right	Normal/unknown	27	3 - NB Coleraine

Legs

Legs

Leg	Name	Description
1	SB Emil Kolb	
2	EB Harvest Moon	
3	NB Coleraine	
4	WB King St	

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)				
1 - SB Emil Kolb						
2 - EB Harvest Moon	✓	100				
3 - NB Coleraine						
4 - WB King St	✓	100				

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00

_

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Leg	Final slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.797	2492
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.565	1353
3 - NB Coleraine	0.797	2492
4 - WB King St	0.556	1332

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

eg Capacity Adjustments											
Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)								
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00								
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00								
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00								
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00								

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	e (HH:mm) (H		Time segment length (min)	Results for central hour only	Run automatically	
D2	2041	PM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓	

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
✓	√	Truck Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	✓	709	89.000
2 - EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	~	259	89.000
3 - NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	~	1500	89.000
4 - WB King St		ONE HOUR	~	787	89.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

			То				
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St		
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	9	353	347		
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	6	0	148	105		
	3 - NB Coleraine	873	363	0	264		
	4 - WB King St	537	94	156	0		

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

	То											
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St							
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	2	2	23	1							
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	2	2	2	2							
	3 - NB Coleraine	7	2	2	1							
	4 - WB King St	1	2	2	2							

Results

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)	
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.39	3.29	0.6	2.8	A	631	631	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.13	5.10	0.2	0.5	A	231	99	
3 - NB Coleraine	ine 0.72 6.38		2.6	5.1	A	1335	1335	
4 - WB King St	0.40	9.97	0.7	3.2	A	696	223	

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	430	490	1870	0.30 3	567	702	0.3	0.4	2.762	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	207	89	22	118	0	684	909	0.09 8	89	372	0.1	0.1	4.389	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1200	1200	300	0	118	366	2097	0.57 2	1198	407	0.9	1.3	3.997	A
4 - WB King St	625	200	50	430	0	992	735	0.27 2	200	572	0.3	0.4	6.715	A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	526	598	1791	0.38 8	694	858	0.4	0.6	3.280	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	254	109	27	145	0	837	815	0.13 3	109	455	0.1	0.2	5.092	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1470	1470	367	0	145	448	2034	0.72 3	1465	498	1.3	2.5	6.275	A
4 - WB King St	766	245	61	526	0	1213	608	0.40 3	244	700	0.4	0.7	9.852	A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	526	601	1789	0.38 8	695	861	0.6	0.6	3.287	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	254	109	27	145	0	839	814	0.13 4	109	457	0.2	0.2	5.101	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1470	1470	367	0	145	449	2033	0.72 3	1470	499	2.5	2.6	6.382	A
4 - WB King St	766	245	61	526	0	1217	606	0.40 4	245	702	0.7	0.7	9.974	A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	430	493	1868	0.30 4	568	706	0.6	0.4	2.773	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	207	89	22	118	0	686	908	0.09 8	89	374	0.2	0.1	4.400	A
3 - NB Coleraine	1200	1200	300	0	118	367	2096	0.57 2	1205	408	2.6	1.4	4.060	A
4 - WB King St	625	200	50	430	0	998	732	0.27 3	201	574	0.7	0.4	6.796	A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.43			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	1.32	0.05	0.48	3.29	5.05			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.37	0.37			N/A	N/A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.63	0.03	0.25	0.63	0.63			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.15	0.03	0.26	0.46	0.49			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	2.54	0.03	0.27	2.54	3.44			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.66	0.03	0.26	0.66	0.66			N/A	N/A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.63	0.03	0.29	1.19	2.82			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.15	0.03	0.25	0.45	0.48			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	2.58	0.03	0.26	2.58	2.58			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.67	0.03	0.30	1.02	3.22			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.44			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	1.35	0.10	1.10	2.55	3.32			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.38			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	I' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		✓	100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		1	100.00

C-100 - 2041 Grade Separated, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	rning Demand Sets D3 - 2041 Grade Separated, AM		Time results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)
Warning	Warning Queue variations Analysis Options		Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.

Analysis Set Details

ID	Name	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)				
A2	C-100	✓	100.000	100.000				

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	10.07	В

Intersection Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold		
Right	Normal/unknown	0	2 - EB Harvest Moon		

Legs

Legs

Leg	Name	Description
1	SB Emil Kolb	
2	EB Harvest Moon	
3	NB Coleraine	
4	WB King St	

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0 44.0		20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		
2 - EB Harvest Moon	✓	100
3 - NB Coleraine		
4 - WB King St	✓	100

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00

_

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

rinal slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
0.797	2492
0.565	1353
0.797	2492
0.556	1332
	0.797 0.565 0.797 0.556

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

_eg Capacity Adjustments										
Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)							
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00							
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00							
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00							
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00							

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	cenario name Time Period Traffic profile Start time name type (HH:mm)		Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Results for central hour only	Run automatically	
D3	2041 Grade Separated	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓	

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
✓	√	Truck Percentages	2.00

. _____

Demand overview (Traffic)

Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	✓	1248	89.000
2 - EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	~	464	89.000
3 - NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	✓	286	89.000
4 - WB King St		ONE HOUR	~	1173	89.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

		То											
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St								
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	5	748	495								
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	4	0	324	136								
	3 - NB Coleraine	132	21	0	133								
	4 - WB King St	514	57	602	0								

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

		То											
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St								
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	2	4	13	2								
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	25	2	3	8								
	3 - NB Coleraine	27	2	2	7								
	4 - WB King St	2	6	3	2								

Results

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.68	6.35	2.1	3.6	A	1111	1111
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.57	34.13	1.3	6.3	D	398	125
3 - NB Coleraine	0.16	2.52	0.2	0.5	A	255	255
4 - WB King St	0.54	6.64	1.2	1.5	A	1038	587

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	411	543	1883	0.53 0	997	109	0.7	1.1	4.057	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	358	112	28	259	0	1474	428	0.26 2	111	66	0.2	0.3	11.36 6	В
3 - NB Coleraine	229	229	57	0	259	507	1790	0.12 8	229	1078	0.1	0.1	2.305	A
4 - WB King St	933	527	132	411	0	126	1207	0.43 7	526	610	0.6	0.8	5.285	A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	504	665	1791	0.68 3	1219	133	1.1	2.1	6.250	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	439	137	34	317	0	1803	245	0.56 1	134	81	0.3	1.2	31.59 7	D
3 - NB Coleraine	280	280	70	0	317	617	1712	0.16 4	280	1319	0.1	0.2	2.514	A
4 - WB King St	1143	646	161	504	0	154	1188	0.54 4	644	744	0.8	1.2	6.599	A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1223	1223	306	0	504	666	1790	0.68 3	1223	133	2.1	2.1	6.348	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	439	137	34	317	0	1808	242	0.56 7	137	81	1.2	1.3	34.13 1	D
3 - NB Coleraine	280	280	70	0	317	622	1708	0.16 4	280	1323	0.2	0.2	2.520	A
4 - WB King St	1143	646	161	504	0	154	1188	0.54 4	646	748	1.2	1.2	6.639	A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Delay (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	999	999	250	0	411	546	1881	0.53 1	1002	109	2.1	1.1	4.115	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	358	112	28	259	0	1482	423	0.26 5	116	67	1.3	0.4	11.82 8	В
3 - NB Coleraine	229	229	57	0	259	513	1785	0.12 8	229	1084	0.2	0.1	2.312	A
4 - WB King St	933	527	132	411	0	126	1207	0.43 7	529	616	1.2	0.8	5.325	A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1.12	0.05	0.62	2.50	3.62			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.35			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.77	0.11	0.87	1.41	1.48			N/A	N/A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	2.11	0.03	0.27	2.11	2.11			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	1.18	0.03	0.28	1.18	4.34			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.20	0.03	0.25	0.46	0.48			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.17	0.03	0.26	1.17	1.17			N/A	N/A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	2.13	0.03	0.27	2.13	2.13			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	1.25	0.03	0.34	2.90	6.33			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.20	0.03	0.25	0.45	0.48			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	1.18	0.03	0.27	1.18	1.27			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	1.14	0.12	1.04	1.83	2.34			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.37	0.03	0.33	1.09	1.30			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.78	0.18	0.93	1.40	1.46			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	I' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		✓	100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		1	100.00

C-100 - 2041 Grade Separated, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	rning Demand Sets D4 - 2041 Grade Separated, PM		Time results are shown for central hour only. (Model is run for a 90 minute period.)
Warning	Queue variations	Analysis Options	Queue percentiles may be unreliable if the mean queue in any time segment is very low or very high.

Analysis Set Details

ID	Name	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A2	C-100	✓	100.000	100.000

Intersection	Name	Intersection Type	Leg order	Intersection Delay (s)	Intersection LOS
1	Intersection 1	Standard Roundabout	1,2,3,4	3.51	А

Intersection Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First leg reaching threshold		
Right	Normal/unknown	92	1 - SB Emil Kolb		

Legs

Legs

Leg	Name	Description
1	SB Emil Kolb	
2	EB Harvest Moon	
3	NB Coleraine	
4	WB King St	

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0	
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0	
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0	

Bypass

Leg	Leg has bypass	Bypass utilisation (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		
2 - EB Harvest Moon	✓	100
3 - NB Coleraine		
4 - WB King St	✓	100

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Leg Intercept Adjustments

Leg	Туре	Reason	Percentage intercept adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Leg	Final slope	Final intercept (PCE/hr)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.797	2492
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.565	1353
3 - NB Coleraine	0.797	2492
4 - WB King St	0.556	1332

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

Leg Capacity Adjustments							
Leg Type Reason Percentag			Percentage capacity adjustment (%)				
1 - SB Emil Kolb	Percentage		100.00				
2 - EB Harvest Moon	Percentage		100.00				
3 - NB Coleraine	Percentage		100.00				
4 - WB King St	Percentage		100.00				

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Results for central hour only	Run automatically
D4	2041 Grade Separated	PM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	\checkmark	✓

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCE Factor for a Truck (PCE)
✓	√	Truck Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Leg	Linked leg	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb		ONE HOUR	~	709	89.000
2 - EB Harvest Moon		ONE HOUR	~	253	89.000
3 - NB Coleraine		ONE HOUR	~	591	89.000
4 - WB King St		ONE HOUR	~	394	89.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

	То								
		1 - SB Emil Kolb 2 - EB Harvest		3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St				
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	9	174	526				
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	0	0	132	121				
	3 - NB Coleraine	0	247	0	344				
	4 - WB King St	0	210	184	0				

Vehicle Mix

Truck Percentages

	То							
		1 - SB Emil Kolb	2 - EB Harvest Moon	3 - NB Coleraine	4 - WB King St			
	1 - SB Emil Kolb	0	2	23	1			
From	2 - EB Harvest Moon	0	2	2	2			
	3 - NB Coleraine	0	2	2	1			
Ì	4 - WB King St	0	2	2	2			

Results

Leg	Max V/C Ratio	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (Veh)	Max 95th percentile Queue (Veh)	Max LOS	Average Demand (Veh/hr)	Total Intersection Arrivals (Veh)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.37	3.08	0.6	2.8	A	631	631
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.14	5.13	0.2	0.5	A	225	108
3 - NB Coleraine	0.30	2.62	0.4	1.5	A	526	526
4 - WB King St	0.33	4.58	0.5	2.2	A	351	351

08:00 - 08:15

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	0	512	1950	0.29 1	567	0	0.3	0.4	2.602	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	202	97	24	106	0	707	914	0.10 6	97	373	0.1	0.1	4.407	A
3 - NB Coleraine	473	473	118	0	106	517	2046	0.23 1	473	286	0.2	0.3	2.288	A
4 - WB King St	315	315	79	0	0	198	1196	0.26 3	315	792	0.3	0.4	4.083	A

08:15 - 08:30

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	0	627	1862	0.37 3	694	0	0.4	0.6	3.080	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	248	119	30	129	0	865	821	0.14 4	118	456	0.1	0.2	5.123	A
3 - NB Coleraine	579	579	145	0	129	633	1953	0.29 6	579	350	0.3	0.4	2.619	A
4 - WB King St	386	386	97	0	0	242	1172	0.33 0	386	970	0.4	0.5	4.576	A

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	695	695	174	0	0	628	1862	0.37 3	695	0	0.6	0.6	3.083	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	248	119	30	129	0	866	820	0.14 5	119	457	0.2	0.2	5.129	A
3 - NB Coleraine	579	579	145	0	129	634	1953	0.29 7	579	351	0.4	0.4	2.620	A
4 - WB King St	386	386	97	0	0	242	1172	0.33 0	386	971	0.5	0.5	4.582	A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Total Deman d (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n demand (Veh/hr)	Intersectio n Arrivals (Veh)	Bypass deman d (Veh/hr)	Bypas s exit flow (Veh/hr)	Circulatin g flow (Veh/hr)	Capacit y (Veh/hr)	V/C Rati o	Throughp ut (Veh/hr)	Throughp ut (exit side) (Veh/hr)	Start queu e (Veh)	End queu e (Veh)	Dela y (s)	LO S
1 - SB Emil Kolb	567	567	142	0	0	514	1949	0.29 1	568	0	0.6	0.4	2.607	A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	202	97	24	106	0	708	913	0.10 6	97	373	0.2	0.1	4.414	A
3 - NB Coleraine	473	473	118	0	106	518	2045	0.23 1	473	287	0.4	0.3	2.293	A
4 - WB King St	315	315	79	0	0	198	1196	0.26 4	316	794	0.5	0.4	4.090	A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.41			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.30			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.36			N/A	N/A

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile Marker Probability of reaching or exceeding marker		Percentile Marker Proba message message e		Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.59	0.03	0.25	0.59	0.59			N/A	N/A	
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.17	0.03	0.26	0.46	0.49			N/A	N/A	
3 - NB Coleraine	0.42	0.03	0.25	0.45	0.48			N/A	N/A	
4 - WB King St	0.49	0.03	0.25	0.49	0.49			N/A	N/A	

08:30 - 08:45

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	tile Marker Probability of reaching or message exceeding marker		Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.59	0.03	0.29	1.33	2.77			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.17	0.03	0.25	0.45	0.48			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.42	0.03	0.33	1.34	1.48			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.49	0.03	0.30	1.37	2.20			N/A	N/A

08:45 - 09:00

Leg	Mean (Veh)	Q05 (Veh)	Q50 (Veh)	Q90 (Veh)	Q95 (Veh)	Percentile message	Marker message	Probability of reaching or exceeding marker	Probability of exactly reaching marker
1 - SB Emil Kolb	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.41			N/A	N/A
2 - EB Harvest Moon	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12			N/A	N/A
3 - NB Coleraine	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.30			N/A	N/A
4 - WB King St	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.36			N/A	N/A

Custom A

Roundabout Geometry

Leg	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	I' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Exit only	Leg has bypass	Percentage capacity adjustment (%)
1 - SB Emil Kolb	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
2 - EB Harvest Moon	4.25	4.25	0.0	30.0	48.0	20.0		✓	100.00
3 - NB Coleraine	7.40	8.00	20.0	20.0	44.0	20.0			100.00
4 - WB King St	4.25	4.25	0.0	20.0	48.0	20.0		1	100.00