



THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL
ROPA 30 APPEALS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

AGENDA

R30AOC - 1/2019

DATE: Thursday, May 9, 2019

TIME: 8:30 AM – 9:30 AM

LOCATION: 5th Floor Boardroom
Regional Administrative Headquarters
10 Peel Centre Drive, Suite A
Brampton, Ontario

MEMBERS: A. Groves; N. Iannicca; M. Palleschi; C. Parrish

1. **ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR**
2. **DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**
3. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**
4. **DELEGATIONS**
5. **REPORTS**
6. **COMMUNICATIONS**
 - 6.1 **Yazzie Cosentino, Decisions Unit Administrative Staff, Tribunals Ontario – Environment and Land Division, Local Planning Appeals Tribunal (LPAT)**, Email dated April 2, 2019, Regarding PL170058 – LPAT Decision Issued on the Proposed Official Plan Amendment No. ROPA 30 - Regional Municipality of Peel (Receipt recommended)
 - 6.2 **Nazma Ramjaun, Case Coordinator/Planner, Tribunals Ontario – Environment and Land Division, Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (LPAT)**, Email dated April 17, 2019, Responding to Stephen D’Agostino, Thomson Rogers, on behalf of the Region of Peel, Regarding PL170058 - Request to Cancel April 23, 2019 Prehearing Conference on the Proposed Official Plan Amendment ROPA 30 - Regional Municipality of Peel (Receipt recommended)
7. **IN CAMERA MATTERS**
 - 7.1. Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - Regional Official Plan Amendment 30 (Oral) (Advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose)

8. OTHER BUSINESS

9. NEXT MEETING

10. ADJOURNMENT

Jurrius, Stephanie

From: Cosentino, Yazzie (MAG) <Yazzie.Cosentino@ontario.ca>
Sent: April 2, 2019 9:53 AM
To: cbarnett@osler.com; aclutterbuck@osler.com; ZZG-RegionalClerk; Popadic, Ugljesa (MMAH); Page, Janice (MMAH); qannibale@loonix.com; sferri@loonix.com; bruddick@loonix.com; ronald.webb@daviswebb.com; Hannah.bahmanpour@daviswebb.com; pharrington@airdberlis.com; mbarrett@airdberlis.com; [REDACTED]; abiggart@ritchieketcheson.com; sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com; jwigley@grllp.com; jnehmetallah@grllp.com; info@trca.on.ca; pmorley@blg.com; ppatterson@blg.com; michaelm@davieshowe.com; meaghanm@davieshowe.com; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Egeh, Hodan (MMAH); Simms, Joy
Subject: PL170058 – LPAT Decision Issued (Proposed Official Plan Amendment No. ROPA 30 - Regional Municipality of Peel)
Attachments: PL170058-APR-02-2019.pdf

To all recipients:

Attached is a decision issued today with respect to the above noted file.

NOTE: The attached decision is issued by this email. A hard copy will not be sent.

This email address cannot process any correspondence related to this case.

Should you require further information/assistance concerning this matter, please contact the LPAT Case Coordinator:

- By emailing to: Local.Planning.Appeal.Tribunal@Ontario.ca
- By telephoning: Toronto: 416-212-6349 Toll Free: 1-866-448-2248
- TTY: 1-800-855-1155 via Bell relay

Thank you.

Yazzie Cosentino
 Decisions Unit Administrative Staff
 Tribunals Ontario – Environment and Land Division
 Visit the Tribunals Ontario [website](#)

We are committed to providing accessible services as set out in the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005. If you have any accessibility needs, please contact our Accessibility Coordinator at ELTO@ontario.ca as soon as possible. If you require documents in formats other than conventional print, or if you have specific accommodation needs, please let us know so we can make arrangements in advance.

The information contained in this e-mail is not intended as a substitute for legal or other advice and in providing this response, the Tribunals Ontario - Environment and Land Division assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions and shall not be liable for any reliance placed on the information in this e-mail. This email and its contents are private and confidential, for the sole use of the addressees. If you believe that you received this email in error please notify the original sender immediately.



REFERRAL TO _____
 RECOMMENDED _____
 DIRECTION REQUIRED _____
 RECEIPT RECOMMENDED _____

Local Planning Appeal Tribunal
Tribunal d'appel de l'aménagement
local



ISSUE DATE: April 02, 2019

CASE NO(S): PL170058

The Ontario Municipal Board (the “OMB”) is continued under the name Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (the “Tribunal”), and any reference to the Ontario Municipal Board or Board in any publication of the Tribunal is deemed to be a reference to the Tribunal.

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 17(24) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant: 2357104 Ontario Inc.
Appellant: Bolton North Hill Landowners Group Inc.
Appellant: Bolton Option 3 Landowners Group
Appellant: Bolton Residential Option 4 & 5 Landowners
Group Inc.; and others
Subject: Proposed Official Plan Amendment No. ROPA 30
Municipality: Upper Tier of Peel
OMB Case No.: PL170058
OMB File No.: PL170058
OMB Case Name: Bolton North Hill Landowners Group Inc. v. Peel
(Region)

Heard: November 2, 2018 in Brampton, Ontario

APPEARANCES:

Parties

Counsel/Representative*

Town of Caledon

C. Barnett and A. Clutterbuck

Ministry of Municipal Affairs

U. Popadic and J. Page

Bolton North Hill Landowners
Group Inc.

Q. Annibale, S. Ferri and B. Ruddick

Gordon Dennis, Gold Humber Station Inc., Flato Developments Inc., Bolton Residential Option 4 and 5 Landowners Group Inc. and 2357104 Ontario Inc.	R. Webb and H. Bahmanpour
Bolton Option 3 Landowners Group	P. Harrington and M. Barrett
Anusha Karalsingam and Benedict Inapanayagam	B. Inapanayagam*
Harry Skiddaw Crease	Self-represented
Zancor Homes (Bolton) Ltd.	J. Hart for A. Biggart
Regional Municipality of Peel	S. D'Agostino
Toronto and Region Conservation Authority	J. Wigley and J. Nehmetallah
Boltcol South Holdings Inc.	P. Morley
Humber Station Villages Landowners Group Inc., Sarno Holdings Corp., Longbrook Holdings Inc., 2440981 Ontario Inc., Ballantry (Bolton 2) Inc., Ballantry (Bolton) Inc., Tesmar Holdings Inc., Nutristock Corp., Equity Inc., Roger Simone and Ben Chladny	M. Melling and M. McDermid

**MEMORANDUM OF ORAL DECISION DELIVERED BY RICHARD JONES ON
NOVEMBER 2, 2018 AND ORDER OF THE TRIBUNAL**

[1] This was the third Pre-Hearing Conference (“PHC”) convened with regard to the appeals of Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 30 (“ROPA 30”) of the Regional Municipality of Peel (“Region”), which proposes to expand the Bolton Rural Service Centre.

[2] The Tribunal was provided with the draft Issues List (Exhibit 1) which is appended as Attachment 1 and, which may be further revised following a future experts' meeting according to introductory submissions.

[3] Stephen D'Agostino, counsel for the Region, but speaking on behalf of all the Parties and Appellants, advised that the complexities involving the appeals were perhaps best addressed by holding a series of four discreet hearing events, each one specializing (in accordance with the schedule described in Exhibit 3) on a particular issue as follows: Phase 1, Opening and Submissions; Phase 2, Agriculture; Phase 3, Natural Heritage; and finally, Phase 4, Transportation.

[4] The Tribunal agreed with the recommended hearing strategy, and pursuant to that schedule, which additionally ascribed a preferred time period for each hearing increment, the Tribunal requested Mr. D'Agostino to provide case management staff with preferred hearing dates after consulting with all the parties, including their respective counsel and witnesses, to ensure that time-related conflicts are avoided given the complexity of the proposed hearing calendar. That information was made available in February 2019.

[5] Pursuant to Exhibit 3, the following hearing periods are provided and are now fixed in the Tribunal's calendar:

- **Phase 1: June 1 to 19, 2020**, although the Tribunal will not sit on June 10 and 11, 2020.
- **Phase 2: August 10 to 20, 2020.**
- **Phase 3: October 5 to 30, 2020** although the Tribunal will not sit on October 12 and 19, and;
- **Phase 4: November 2 to December 4, 2020** although the Tribunal will not sit on November 11 and 23, 2020.

[6] Notice is required for Phase 1 but is not required for subsequent phases unless the hearing venue and/or date(s) are changed. The first hearing day will begin at **10 a.m.** This Member is not seized.

[7] Chris Barnett, counsel for the Corporation of the Town of Caledon will provide the Tribunal with details of the venue location in connection with the four hearings during the **fourth PHC**, which has been scheduled for **Tuesday, April 23, 2019 at 10 a.m.** at:

**Provincial Offences Office (Brampton)
B6, Court Room
5 Ray Lawson Blvd.
Brampton, ON L6Y 5L7**

[8] So orders the Tribunal.

“Richard Jones”

RICHARD JONES
MEMBER

If there is an attachment referred to in this document,
please visit www.elto.gov.on.ca to view the attachment in PDF format.

Local Planning Appeal Tribunal

A constituent tribunal of Tribunals Ontario - Environment and Land Division
Website: www.elto.gov.on.ca Telephone: 416-212-6349 Toll Free: 1-866-448-2248

EX 1

ATTACHMENT 1

L.P.A.T. Case No. PL170058

June 26, 2018

REGION OF PEEL / ROPA 30 ISSUES LIST BY TOPIC¹

I. Urban Boundary Expansion Issues

Planning Act

1-A (Consolidated with issue 72-J) In adopting ROPA 30, was the Region required to have regard to:

- a. the decision of Town Council to approve a preferred area to expand the existing Bolton settlement area boundary and to apply for a Regional Official Plan Amendment; and
- b. the information and material that Town Council considered in making its decision to apply for a Regional Official Plan Amendment affecting the Town's preferred area,

in accordance with s. 2.1 of the *Planning Act*? If the answer is yes, did the Region have regard to the items in a) and b)?

2-A (Consolidated with issue 71-J) In applying s. 2.1 of the *Planning Act*, what relative regard should the Tribunal have for:

- a. the decision of the Town of Caledon Council to approve a preferred area to expand the existing Bolton settlement area boundary and to apply for a Regional Official Plan Amendment; and
- b. the decision of the Region of Peel Council to adopt ROPA 30?

73-J Was Regional Council's decision to adopt a ROPA that was different than the Town's application in accordance with the purposes of the *Planning Act* (s. 1.1)?

20-C Does ROPA 30 have appropriate regard to the relevant matters of provincial interest set out in section 2 of the *Planning Act*?

¹ The inclusion of an issue on this list does not constitute an admission by any Party concerning the foundation for the issue or that the issue is relevant.

2014 Provincial Policy Statement

22-C (Consolidated with issues 7-A, 46-D, 105-M) Is ROPA 30 consistent with the following policies of the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement:

- a. 1.1.1; (Consolidated with issue 78-J)
- b. 1.1.2;
- c. 1.1.3; (Consolidated with issue 78-J)
- d. 1.2.1;
- e. 1.2.4; (Consolidated with issue 78-J)
- f. 1.2.6;
- g. 1.3.1;
- h. 1.3.2; (Consolidated with issue 78-J)
- i. 1.4.3;
- j. 1.5;
- k. 1.6.6;
- l. 1.6.7; (Consolidated with issue 78-J)
- m. 1.6.8; (Consolidated with issue 78-J)
- n. 1.7;
- o. 2.1;
- p. 2.2; and
- q. 2.6?

112-3 Is the inclusion of the Boltcol “Apex of the Triangle Lands” in ROPA 30 as an employment area consistent with the policies of the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement identified in Issue 22-C?

2006 Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe

23-C (Consolidated with issues 5A, 45-D, 106-M) Does ROPA 30 conform with the following policies of the *2006 Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe*?

- a. 2.2.1;
- b. 2.2.2;
- c. 2.2.5;
- d. 2.2.6;
- e. 2.2.7;

- f. 2.2.8;
- g. 3.2.1;
- h. 3.2.2;
- i. 3.2.3;
- j. 3.2.4;
- k. 3.2.5;
- l. 3.2.6;
- m. 4.2.1;
- n. 4.2.2; and
- o. 4.2.4?

6-A Does the inclusion of the Boltcol “Apex of the Triangle Lands” in ROPA 30 as an employment area conform with the above-listed policies of the 2006 Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe?

56-D Is the proposed settlement boundary expansion to Bolton sufficient in size and net developable area to properly accommodate a minimum greenfield density target of 42 residents and jobs *per* hectare?

52-D Does the proposed settlement boundary expansion to Bolton accommodate all of the 2031/2031A population and employment forecasts from the Province of Ontario’s Amendment No. 2 (2013) to the *Growth Plan*?

GTA West

26-C Is ROPA 30 appropriate given the GTA North West Corridor Study Area location?

61-D Is it appropriate to identify a Bolton Rural Service Centre expansion area which includes lands within the GTA North West Transportation Corridor Study Area?

62-D Are the lands identified as the area of expansion by the Region of Peel, consisting of a net developable area of approximately 185 hectares, sufficient in size to accommodate planned Town of Caledon and Region of Peel growth to 2031, given the potential impact of the GTA North West Corridor?

63-D Do the policies and schedules under appeal grant planning approvals and allow development within the GTA North West Corridor Study Area in advance of a determination of the Province’s study? If so, is the approval of such policies and schedules premature?

64-D Do the policies and schedules under appeal hinder the protection of future transportation corridors and linkages for the Greater Toronto Area, and in particular, the Region of Peel and the Town of Caledon, as they relate to the proximity of lands to the Mayfield Road major goods movement corridor, and the associated opportunity for the future planning and development of employment lands?

114-3 Is policy 5.4.3.2.9.1.1) of ROPA 30 appropriate for the BoltCol Apex of the Triangle Lands?

Region of Peel Official Plan

47-D (Consolidated with issues 10-A, 24-C) To what extent, if any, are the policies and schedules of ROPA 30 required to “conform with the intent of” the Peel Region Official Plan? If ROPA 30 is required to conform, does the amendment conform with the following policies of the Peel Region Official Plan (as amended by ROPAs 24 and 28):

- a. 1.3.2(b), (c), (d)
- b. 2.2.3;
- c. 5.1.3.1;
- d. 5.2.2; (Consolidated with issue 84-J)
- e. 5.3.1;
- f. 5.3.2
- g. 5.4.3.2;
- h. 5.5.3;
- i. 5.5.4.2;
- j. 5.6.2.7;
- k. 5.5.1;
- l. 5.5.2;
- m. 5.5.4;
- n. 5.9;
- o. 7.3.2.4;
- p. 7.6.2
- q. 7.8.1.2;
- r. 7.8.2.3;
- s. 7.8.2.6; (Consolidated with issue 93-J)
- t. 7.8.2.10; (Consolidated with issue 93-J)
- u. 7.8.2.12; (Consolidated with issue 93-J) and

v. 7.9.2.12? (Consolidated with issue 85-J)

118-3 Is inclusion of the Boltcol Apex within the settlement area boundary appropriate in respect of the employment growth forecast over the planning horizon engaged by ROPA 30?

28-C Will ROPA 30 allow for development to occur within a timeframe that achieves the minimum Peel Region 2031A settlement area population target (outlined in ROPA 24 and Town of Caledon OPA 226)?

Region of Peel Bolton Residential Expansion Study

32-C What is the status and relevance of the criteria developed and used by the Region in assessing the merits of the different settlement area boundary expansion options in the lead up to the adoption of ROPA 30?

33-C If the Region's criteria are relevant, which is the most appropriate settlement area boundary expansion option based on:

- a. natural heritage features?
- b. the protection of prime agricultural lands?
- c. fiscal responsibility?
- d. active transportation?
- e. community facilities and parks?
- f. servicing and stormwater management considerations?
- g. on transportation engineering considerations?
- h. land use compatibility, including potential for impacts on existing and/or planned employment areas?

107-M Was the evaluation process for ROPA 30, the Bolton Residential Expansion Study, flawed?

Town of Caledon Official Plan

25-C To what extent, if any, are the policies and schedules of ROPA 30 required to “have regard to” and/or “implement” and/or “further the objectives of” the Town of Caledon Official Plan (as amended by OPA 226)? If it is determined that it should, does ROPA 30 have regard to and/or implement and/or further the objectives of the Town of Caledon Official Plan, in particular the following policies:

- a. 2.2.3;
- b. 3.1.1;

- c. 3.1.2;
- d. 3.1.3;
- e. 3.3.3;
- f. 4.1.6;
- g. 4.1.8;
- h. 4.2.3;
- i. 5.7.3;
- j. 5.9.3;
- k. 5.9.4; and
- l. 5.9.5?

58-D Will the lands identified as the area of expansion by the Region of Peel adequately contribute to the Regional Land Budget set for 2031 (unallocated greenfield population and employment totals) for the Town of Caledon, as reflected in Caledon OPA 226?

Town of Caledon Residential Expansion Study

30-C What is the status and relevance of the criteria developed and used by the Town in assessing the merits of the different settlement area boundary expansion options in the lead up to the filing of the ROPA application?

31-C If the Town's criteria are relevant, which is the most appropriate settlement area boundary expansion option based on:

- a. natural heritage features?
- b. the protection of prime agricultural lands?
- c. fiscal responsibility?
- d. active transportation?
- e. community facilities and parks?
- f. servicing and stormwater management considerations?
- g. on transportation engineering considerations?
- h. land use compatibility, including potential for impacts on existing and/or planned employment areas?

3-A Did the Town of Caledon's application for a settlement area boundary expansion properly balance all of the factors to be considered in determining the location of such an expansion, as set out in the Bolton Residential Expansion Study (BRES) background reports?

- 4-A Is ROPA 30 required to “balance” the factors established for the BRES? If so
- a. Does ROPA 30 balance all of the factors established for the BRES?
 - b. Does ROPA 30 give undue weight to financial considerations?

Comparison of Expansion Areas

41-D Was the Region of Peel required to make the “correct” decision in selecting Option 6 and the Triangle Lands for the urban expansion area in Bolton? If so, did the Region of Peel do so?

43-D Is there a requirement that there be reports or documents prepared on behalf of a municipality or public agency supporting the selection of Option 6 and the Triangle Lands? If so, are there any such reports or documents?

50-D Is the proposed settlement boundary expansion to Bolton consistent with the financial management and capabilities of the Region of Peel as it relates to the provision, staging and financing of Regional infrastructure?

51-D Does the proposed settlement boundary expansion to Bolton represent the most orderly, fiscally-responsible and efficient progression of development relative to the Region’s Capital Plan, Peel Water and Wastewater Master Plan, and Transportation Master Plans?

59-D Do the policies and schedules provide appropriate recognition for properties that are already developed, or planned to be developed for Residential and Employment Uses?

60-D Do the policies and schedules hinder the ability to expand the Bolton Rural Service Centre to accommodate future *Growth Plan* population and employment targets?

66-D Does the proposed amendment provide sufficient policy direction in regards to guiding the further planning and development of the Bolton Rural Service Centre?

67-D Will ROPA 30 negatively impact the direction of growth and development in Bolton and its overall community structure, infrastructure and transportation needs for the post-2031 period?

68-D Is the decision to expand the Bolton Rural Service Centre boundary required to “maximize and optimize” the use of existing and/or proposed municipal water and sanitary sewer services? If so, does it do so?

69-D Are the lands identified as the area of expansion by the Region of Peel required to be “representative of the best geographic location” for those currently and/or projected to live and/or work in the Town of Caledon? If so required, are they so representative?

70-D Is it appropriate as part of the proposed area-specific boundary expansion lands to require that an Affordable Housing Assessment be pursued, or should the Region of Peel, in cooperation with the Town of Caledon, prepare an affordable housing assessment on a Town-wide basis as a separate amendment?

116-3 Does inclusion of the Boltcol Apex of the Triangle Lands within the settlement area boundary improve any adverse financial or infrastructure burden on the Region of Peel or the Town of Caledon?

117-C Does inclusion of the Boltcol Apex of the Triangle Lands within the settlement area boundary permit a more efficient use of land and infrastructure within the ROPA 28 area?

119-3 Does the inclusion of the BoltCol Apex of the Triangle Lands in ROPA 30 as an employment area represent good planning?

27-C Does ROPA 30 represent good planning in comparison to other expansion options?

42-D Is the decision to select the Option 6 and Triangle Lands, the most appropriate in terms of ensuring that future planning, infrastructure and transportation decisions (including support for the establishment of a local area GO Station) are not prejudiced in the pre-2031 and post-2031 periods?

108-M Should all or any one of the Rounding Out Areas be included in the proposed expansion area, regardless of which option is ultimately preferred?

113-3 Should the BoltCol Apex of the Triangle Lands be included in ROPA 30 as an employment area even if the Option 6 lands are not included in ROPA 30?

Modifications to ROPA 30

103-J Based on the determinations made on the above-noted issues, should the Tribunal modify ROPA 30 to include a different expansion option? If the answer is “yes”, does the Tribunal have jurisdiction to, and if so should it, direct that the lands being removed from ROPA 30 be set aside for consideration as future strategic employment lands?

II. Non-Urban Boundary Expansion Issues

Study Area

14-B Is the inclusion of a 'Study Area Boundary' and associated Official Plan policies in ROPA 30, as adopted by the Region of Peel, consistent with the *PPS* and the *Growth Plan*? More specifically:

- a. 15-B Are Sections 4 and 7 of ROPA 30 consistent with the *PPS*, including but not limited to policies 1.1.2 and 1.3.2.4?
- b. 16-B Do Sections 4 and 7 of ROPA 30 conform to section 2.2.8.2 of the *Growth Plan*?

29-C Is it appropriate for ROPA 30 to establish a Study Area Boundary to guide development beyond 2031?

53-D Are the geographic limits and size of the proposed Study Area Boundary surrounding the Bolton Rural Service Centre, within which additional growth for Bolton beyond the 2031 population target is to be directed, appropriate?

54-D What is the planning horizon upon which additional growth for Bolton beyond 2031 is based, and is it appropriate?

55-D In relation to the planning horizon beyond the 2031 population target to which the Region of Peel has assumed and is planning, is the proposed Study Area Boundary surrounding the Bolton Rural Service Centre, appropriate?

Expansion Area Policy Revisions

53. What modification, if any, are required to ensure that ROPA 30 addresses the Tribunal's decisions on Parts I and II?

54. What policy revisions, modifications and/or updates are required to ensure that ROPA 30 is consistent with Provincial policies, conforms with Provincial plans and constitutes good planning in the greater public interest at the time ROPA 30 comes into force and effect?

Jurrius, Stephanie

From: Ramjaun, Nazma (MAG) <Nazma.Ramjaun@ontario.ca>
Sent: April 17, 2019 9:11 AM
To: sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com
Cc: abiggart@ritchieketcheson.com; aclutterbuck@osler.com; [REDACTED];
bruddick@loonix.com; cbarnett@osler.com; [REDACTED];
Hannah.bahmanpour@daviswebb.com; Egeh, Hodan (MMAH); info@trca.on.ca; Page, Janice
(MMAH); jnehmetallah@grllp.com; Simms, Joy; jwigley@grllp.com; [REDACTED];
mbarrett@airdberlis.com; meaghanm@davieshowe.com; michaelm@davieshowe.com;
pharrington@airdberlis.com; pmorley@blq.com; ppatterson@blg.com; qannibale@loonix.com; ZZG-
RegionalClerk; [REDACTED] ronald.webb@daviswebb.com;
sferri@loonix.com; [REDACTED] Popadic, Ugljesa (MMAH);
Fernandes, Maria V. (MAG); Godley, Rachel
Subject: RE: PL170058 - Request to Cancel April 23 Prehearing Conference - Proposed Official Plan
Amendment ROPA 30 - Regional Municipality of Peel) our file 500470

Good morning Mr. D'Agostino:

Further to your e-mail below and our telephone conversation, this is to confirm that the PHC for April 23, 2019 is now cancelled.

Once we hear from Mr. Barnett on the hearing location for the Phase 1 of the hearing scheduled to commence on June 1, 2020, the Tribunal will issue direction to the Region to serve notice as per the Tribunal decision issued April 2, 2019.

Yours truly,

Nazma Ramjaun
Case Coordinator \Planner
Local Planning Appeal Tribunal
Tribunals Ontario – Environment and Land Division
655 Bay Street, 15th Floor
Toronto, ON M5G 1E5
Tel 416 326 6796 or toll-free 1-866-448 2248
www.elto.ca

REFERRAL TO _____
RECOMMENDED _____
DIRECTION REQUIRED _____
RECEIPT RECOMMENDED _____

NOTICE: Confidential message which may be privileged. If received in error, please delete the message and advise me by return email. Thank you.

AVIS: Message confidentiel dont le contenu peut être privilégié. Si reçu par erreur, veuillez supprimer ce message et aviser l'expéditeur par retour de courriel. Merci.

We are committed to providing accessible services as set out in the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005. If you have any accessibility needs, please contact our Accessibility Coordinator at ELTO@ontario.ca as soon as possible. If you require documents in formats other than conventional print, or if you have specific accommodation needs, please let us know so we can make arrangements in advance.

Nous nous engageons à fournir des services conformément à la Loi de 2005 sur l'accessibilité pour les personnes handicapées de l'Ontario. Si vous avez des besoins en matière d'accessibilité, veuillez communiquer avec notre coordonnateur de l'information sur l'accessibilité le plus tôt possible. Si vous avez besoin de documents présentés d'une

autre manière que l'imprimé habituel ou avez des besoins particuliers, veuillez nous informer pour que nous puissions prendre les dispositions requises à l'avance.

From: sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com [mailto:sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com]

Sent: April-16-19 1:12 PM

To: Ramjaun, Nazma (MAG)

Cc: abiggart@ritchieketcheson.com; aclutterbuck@osler.com; [REDACTED] bruddick@loonix.com; cbarnett@osler.com; [REDACTED] Hannah.bahmanpour@daviswebb.com; Egeh, Hodan (MMAH); info@trca.on.ca; Page, Janice (MMAH); jnehmetallah@grllp.com; joy.simms@peelregion.ca; jwigley@grllp.com; [REDACTED] mbarrett@airdberlis.com; meaghanm@davieshowe.com; michaelm@davieshowe.com; pharrington@airdberlis.com; pmorley@blg.com; ppatterson@blg.com; qannibale@loonix.com; regional.clerk@peelregion.ca; [REDACTED] ronald.webb@daviswebb.com; sferri@loonix.com; [REDACTED] Popadic, Ugljesa (MMAH)

Subject: Re: PL170058 ? Request to Cancel April 23 Prehearing Conference - Proposed Official Plan Amendment ROPA 30 - Regional Municipality of Peel) our file 500470

Ms Ramjaun,

You may recall I am counsel for the Region of Peel in relation to above captioned matter.

At the last prehearing the parties collectively requested an additional prehearing conference in the Spring of 2019 to deal with procedural matters. A prehearing was accordingly scheduled for April 23rd.

It is now the consensus of all of the parties that the prehearing time would be better used as a meeting of counsel to come to an agreement on the phasing and scheduling of the balance of the hearing. Given the number of parties involved it has been a challenge to arrange a time everyone is available for such a meeting. The parties would correspond with the Tribunal concerning the phasing and scheduling of the balance of the hearing following that meeting. Since there are no other matters requiring the Tribunal's attendance we request that the prehearing be cancelled to facilitate the meeting.

I can report that we have pre circulated this request to all of the parties and that it has been agreed to by all represented parties and not opposed by any of the non represented parties.

I would be pleased to answer any questions you may have with respect to the foregoing.

Stephen

Stephen D'Agostino*
Managing Partner
Thomson Rogers
Barristers and Solicitors
Suite 3100, 390 Bay St.,
Toronto, Ontario, Canada
M5H 1W2

416-868-3126 (b)
416-868-3134 (f)
416-201-1074 (m)

<https://www.thomsonrogers.com/municipal/>

*Stephen Joseph D'Agostino
Law Professional Corporation

From: "Cosentino, Yazzie (MAG)" <Yazzie.Cosentino@ontario.ca>
To: "cbarnett@osler.com" <cbarnett@osler.com>, "aclutterbuck@osler.com" <aclutterbuck@osler.com>, "regional.clerk@peelregion.ca" <regional.clerk@peelregion.ca>, "Popadic, Ugljesa (MMAH)" <Ugo.Popadic@ontario.ca>, "Page, Janice (MMAH)" <Janice.Page@ontario.ca>,

"qannibale@loonix.com" <qannibale@loonix.com>, "sferri@loonix.com" <sferri@loonix.com>, "bruddick@loonix.com" <bruddick@loonix.com>, "ronald.webb@daviswebb.com" <ronald.webb@daviswebb.com>, "Hannah.bahmanpour@daviswebb.com" <Hannah.bahmanpour@daviswebb.com>, "pharrington@airdberlis.com" <pharrington@airdberlis.com>, "mbarrett@airdberlis.com" <mbarrett@airdberlis.com>, "abiggart@ritchieketcheson.com" <abiggart@ritchieketcheson.com>, "sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com" <sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com>, "jwigley@grllp.com" <jwigley@grllp.com>, "jnehetallah@grllp.com" <jnehetallah@grllp.com>, "info@trca.on.ca" <info@trca.on.ca>, "pmorley@blg.com" <pmorley@blg.com>, "ppatterson@blg.com" <ppatterson@blg.com>, "michaelm@davieshowe.com" <michaelm@davieshowe.com>, "meaghanm@davieshowe.com" <meaghanm@davieshowe.com>, "Egeh, Hodan (MMAH)" <Hodan.Egeh@ontario.ca>, "joy.simms@peelregion.ca" <joy.simms@peelregion.ca>
Date: 04/02/2019 09:53 AM
Subject: PL170058 – LPAT Decision Issued (Proposed Official Plan Amendment No. ROPA 30 - Regional Municipality of Peel)

To all recipients:

Attached is a decision issued today with respect to the above noted file.

note: The attached decision is issued by this email. A hard copy will not be sent.

This email address cannot process any correspondence related to this case.

Should you require further information/assistance concerning this matter, please contact the LPAT Case Coordinator:

- By emailing to: Local.Planning.Appeal.Tribunal@Ontario.ca
- By telephoning: Toronto: 416-212-6349 Toll Free: 1-866-448-2248
- TTY: 1-800-855-1155 via Bell relay

Thank you.

Yazzie Cosentino
Decisions Unit Administrative Staff
Tribunals Ontario – Environment and Land Division
Visit the Tribunals Ontario [website](#)

We are committed to providing accessible services as set out in the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005. If you have any accessibility needs, please contact our Accessibility Coordinator at ELTO@ontario.ca as soon as possible. If you require documents in formats other than conventional print, or if you have specific accommodation needs, please let us know so we can make arrangements in advance.

The information contained in this e-mail is not intended as a substitute for legal or other advice and in providing this response, the Tribunals Ontario - Environment and Land Division assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions and shall not be liable for any reliance placed on the information in this e-mail. This email and its contents are private and confidential, for the sole use of the addressees. If you believe that you received this email in error please notify the original sender immediately.



[attachment "PL170058-APR-02-2019.pdf" deleted by Stephen D'Agostino/Thomson Rogers]

This message is intended to be confidential and solely for the addressee.
If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it and advise us at
notifier at thomsonrogers.com